Appeal 6014759

Appeal details

Appeal type	Householder
Appeal procedure	Written Part 1
Appeal site	9 Millfieeld Gardens, Crowland, Peterborough, PE6 0HA
Agent contact details	Josh Caley josh@jcarchitectural.co.uk 07917358101
Application number	H02-0361-26

Before you start

Which local planning authority (LPA) do you want to appeal against?	South Holland
What is your appeal about?	Householder planning
What date did you submit your application?	16 Apr 2026
Was your application granted or refused?	Refused
What is the date on the decision letter from the local planning authority?	10 Aug 2026

Appellant's appeal

Was the application made in the appellant's name	No
Applicant's name	Mr & Mrs Greaves
Contact details	Josh Caley JC Architectural Consultant
Phone number	07917358101
Site address	9 Millfieeld Gardens Crowland Peterborough PE6 0HA

What is the area of the appeal site?	400 m^2
Is the site in a green belt	No
Site fully owned	Yes
Will an inspector need to access the land or property?	Yes The extension generally represents a rear extension, with some element of side extension, so therefore would need to be viewed from the rear garden.
Site health and safety issues	No
Application reference	H02-0361-26
Enter the description of development	First floor side/rear extension and alterations
Did the local planning authority change the description of development?	No
Why are you appealing?	This appeal has been submitted as the appellant feels that their application was not fairly assessed at Local Planning Authority level and the involvement of the local parish councillors was biased towards the neighbouring properties, resulting in an unfair level of negative response to the proposal. Alternative options were explored, and subsequently dismissed, by the planning officer, a copy of which has been included as this will not be provided by the LPA. This demonstrates that the applicant made every effort to create a scheme that was satisfactory for both themselves and the community, LPA etc. However, it was clear from the comments of the parish councillors and the neighbours that no option would be suitable, and this approach was pushed on the LPA (as can be seen from the accompanying email conversation with the councillor, which again will not be included by the LPA). There are also a vast number of two storey flat roof extension that have been constructed within the vicinity of the application site, evidence of these can be provided if requested. This shows that the main reason for refusal of a two storey flat roof extension not being reflective of the local setting is not correct and therefore not suitable as a reason for refusal.
Significant changes since application	No
Are there other appeals linked to your development?	No
Did the appellant apply for an award of appeal costs?	No

Appellant's documents

Application form	f__planning_dv_pl_files_H02-0361-26_ApplicationFormRedacted.pdf (/published-document/4e17de02-4bf5-42cf-aaeb-1268c3a51d92)
Decision letter	f__planning_dv_pl_files_H02-0361-26_ODBPDF427720.pdf (/published-document/0b34c99c-ab0a-4c64-9bd3-9fafc54702d9)