

**PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT REAR OF 1a, PETERBOROUGH
ROAD, CROWLAND, PE6 0BB**
FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT



View of site from access at east end

S M Hemmings B Sc C Eng MICE MIWEM,
13 Lea Gardens
Peterborough
PE3 6BY

This flood risk assessment has been prepared solely to support the planning application for a development to rear of 1a Peterborough Road, Crowland. The author has made every effort to provide an accurate assessment of the flood risk but accepts no liability should the information be found to be incorrect or incomplete, or if it is used for any other purposes other than for which it was originally commissioned.

Introduction


A development of one detached bungalow is proposed to the rear of 1a, Peterborough Road, Crowland, PE6 0BB. The site is at present a garden which is mostly grass, and is within the central area of the village of Crowland.

The site of the proposed development is within Flood Zone 1 as shown on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone map.

The Planning Application requires a flood risk assessment to be carried out as specified in the Practice Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework Development and Flood Risk. The site is within a defended area as specified on the South Holland District Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SHDC SFRA) map and is located in the North Level Internal Drainage Board District.

Environment Agency (EA) Flood Zones

The map below is taken from the Environment agency website and shows the flood zones in this area.



It can be seen that all of the site is in Flood Zone 1.

Application Site

The National Grid Reference of the site is 524060 309845.

The position and extent of the site is shown on the plan at the end of this document.

Applying the flood risk vulnerability classification in Table 2 of the Guidance, a development consisting of a bungalow is classified as "more vulnerable".

Table 3 of the Guidance is shown on the next page:

<u>Flood Zones</u>	<u>Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification</u>				
	Essential infrastructure	Highly vulnerable	More vulnerable	Less vulnerable	Water compatible
Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Zone 2	✓	Exception Test required	✓	✓	✓
Zone 3a †	Exception Test required †	X	Exception Test required	✓	✓
Zone 3b *	Exception Test required *	X	X	X	✓*

It can be seen that “More vulnerable” development is considered to be satisfactory in flood zone 1.

Sequential Test

The aim of the Sequential Test, as set out in the Planning Practice Guidance, is to ensure that a sequential approach is followed to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. The flood zones as defined in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for the area provide the basis for applying the Test. The aim is to steer new development to Flood Zone 1 (areas with a low probability of river or sea flooding). Where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 1, local planning authorities in their decision making should take into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and consider reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 2 (areas with a medium probability of river or sea flooding), applying the Exception Test if required. Only where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zones 1 or 2 should the suitability of sites in Flood Zone 3 (areas with a high probability of river or sea flooding) be considered, taking into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and applying the Exception Test if required.

As can be seen the site of this development is within flood zone 1. Most available sites in the Crowland area are within flood zone 3 and therefore this site can be considered to be appropriate.

The maps on the South East Lincolnshire website show that there is a risk of flooding to the site if a breach occurs in the Barrier Bank. The safety of the development will be delivered by ensuring the ground floor levels of the proposed new dwelling is above the predicted residual flood level for this area.

The site can be considered to be an infill site within the town of Spalding. Clause 5.3.5 on page 61 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036, adopted in March 2019 states the following:

Within the defined boundaries there will be numerous opportunities for infill and larger-scale housing development that will be available to the local builder, self-builder, custom-builder and larger house-building companies. It is not practical to identify or anticipate all such opportunities; however, the positive tone of the Local Plan encourages such development provided that the material considerations of the Local Plan and particular sites are met.

Therefore I consider that the sequential test has been passed.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) written for the South Holland District Council (SHDC) in 2010 provides details of the actual flood risk in the Council's area. This information has not been updated and reference to the maps in this document give the following information for the actual flood risk and hazard at the site for the 1% fluvial event and 0.5% tidal event.

or the present day	Depth of flooding ... zero
	Extent of flooding .. Low or medium flood probability
	Peak Velocity Nil
For year 2115	Depth of flooding ... zero
	Extent of flooding.... Low or medium flood probability
	Peak Velocity Nil

The maps showing the residual flood hazard were revised in the 2016 update of the South Holland District Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment which can be found on the website of the South East Lincolnshire Joint Planning Committee. The hazards are as follows for the 1% fluvial or 0.5% tidal event probability:

For the present day	Depth of flooding ... 0 – 500mm
	Extent of flooding .. High
	Hazard rating..... 0.75 – 1.25 (Danger for some)
	Peak Velocity .. 0 – 0.3 metres/second
For the year 2115	Depth of flooding ... 250mm – 1.0metre
	Extent of flooding .. High
	Hazard rating..... 1.25 – 2.0 (Danger for most)
	Peak Velocity .. 0 – 1.0 metres/second

The hazards are as follows for the 0.1% fluvial or tidal event probability:

For the year 2115	Depth of flooding ... 500mm – 1.0metre
	Extent of flooding .. High
	Hazard rating..... West part: above 2.0 (Danger for all)
	East part: 1.25 – 2.0 (Danger for most)
	Peak Velocity .. 0 – 1.0 metres/second

Figure 16 of the general maps show that the site is not within the rapid inundation zone for the present day and 2115.

Maximum flood level and bank levels in the tidal section of the River Welland

Tables in the SFRA show the following details of the defence bank on the east side of the tidal section of the River Welland north of the A16 road bridge and 15.3km north of the development site, between chainage 19.8km and 20.8km.

	2007	2055	2115
Peak 1 in 200 year extreme tide level	5.98m OD	6.31m OD	7.12m OD
Peak 1 in 1,000 year extreme tide level	6.27m OD	6.60m OD	7.41m OD

With an average defence crest level between 7.80 and 7.90 m OD the freeboards are as follows:

	2007	2055	2115
Peak 1 in 200 year freeboard	1870mm	1540mm	730mm
Peak 1 in 1,000 year freeboard	1580mm	1250mm	440mm

Tables in the SFRA show the following details for the defence bank on the east side of the fluvial section of the River Welland at chainage 13.0 km.

	2007	2115
Peak 1 in 100 year flood level	4.93m OD	4.96m OD
Peak 1 in 1,000 year flood level	4.96m OD	4.97m OD

The bank levels on this section are 6.35m OD. Therefore it can be seen there is a minimum freeboard of 1300mm to the predicted design maximum levels in 2115.

Existing Flood Alleviation Measures

The site is within a defended flood plain, as defined in Appendix 1 of the Environment Agency's "Policy and Practice for the Protection of Flood Plains", which is considered to be passive until such time that a flood greater than the defences can withstand occurs. The likelihood of flooding occurring due to overtopping or failures of the defences is considered to be very low.


The site is located approximately 15.3 km south of the tidal section of the River Welland, which has a tidal defence bank which is maintained by the Environment Agency. The site is not considered to be at risk from tidal flooding.

The site is located approximately 600 metres east of the bank of the Crowland Washes.

The internal watercourses in the area are maintained by North Level IDB.

The section of the River Welland from south of Crowland to the southern outskirts of Spalding has two washlands located on the east side of the River, called Crowland Washlands and Cowbit Washlands. These areas are designed to alleviate very high flows in the River Welland. When the water level in the River Welland reaches a level of approximately 5.00m OD large syphons are activated which discharge excess water

onto the Washes. The Barrier Bank is located on the east side of the Washes to contain the water in the Washlands and is the main flood defence bank on the east side of the river.



Existing Ground Levels

Levels have been taken on the proposed site, and these show that the levels on the site vary from 2.08m OD at the east end of the site to 2.35m OD at the western end. The average level of the site where the building will be located is between 2.10m and 2.20m OD. The site is lower than Peterborough Road and Broadway north of the site where the average level of the footpath and the centre of the road is 3.06m OD. The shared driveway to the east of the site falls from the road level down to levels of between 2.17m and 2.31m OD on the east end of the site.

The ground floor level of 1A Peterborough Road south of the site is 2.11m OD.

A plan showing the levels on the site is shown on page 12 of this report.

Potential Sources of Flooding

The potential sources of flooding to the site are:-

1. Failure or overtopping of the Barrier Bank
2. High water levels in IDB drainage channels.
3. Localised flooding in the area.

1. Overtopping of the Barrier Bank.

The Barrier Bank is the eastern bank of the Crowland Washes. The Washes are only flooded in extreme conditions (around 1 in 50 years) and are used the rest of the time as agricultural land. There are two syphons which operate automatically to allow water to flood on to the Washes and alleviate high water levels in the River Welland. When this occurs the level of water in the washes will quickly rise to approaching 5.00m OD.

If a breach occurred in the Barrier Bank in this scenario then flood water would flow eastwards towards the development site.

The predicted flood depths shown on the maps available on the South Lincolnshire Planning website of the Crowland area are the consequence of a possible breach in the banks of the Welland and the Barrier Bank.

Mitigation will be provided by raising the ground floor levels above the 1 in 1000 year flood level in 2115.

2. Flooding from IDB Drain

Surface water from west of Peterborough Road flows into the catchment area of Newborough Pumping Station which discharges the water into the River Welland 2.5km south west of the development site. It is presumed that surface water sewers in Broadway and Peterborough Road discharge into an IDB drain which is located 200 metres west of Peterborough Road which flows southwards.

IDB drains were designed to provide at least a 1 in 10 year standard of service with a freeboard of at least 900mm. This normally provides at least a 1 in 50 year standard of service against overtopping and in many cases a 1 in 100 year standard at the present time.

North Level IDB have a policy of monitoring standards of protection in all their catchments and will carry out improvements to pumping stations, sluices and drainage channels to ensure they do not fall due to higher run-offs predicted with climate change.

It is unlikely that any failure of assets such as pumping stations, sluices or drainage channels would lead to overtopping of the watercourse because North Level IDB have an excellent maintenance regime and monitor all assets with a modern telemetry system.

As the normal water levels of the IDB drains are approximately 0.00m OD then it is unlikely that any overtopping from the IDB drain would affect the proposed new buildings, as the proposed ground floor level will be raised by approximately 900mm above the existing ground level.

3. Localised Flooding in the area

Although there is an adequate surface water drainage system in Broadway and Peterborough Road there is still a risk of accumulations of surface water flowing onto the site. The raising of the ground floor levels by approximately 900mm above ground level will provide adequate mitigation against any surface water flooding on the development site.

Extent of known Flooding

During the preparation of this assessment, no evidence was discovered of the existing site or any of the adjoining properties having been flooded in the past.

Probabilities and Trends of Flooding

The probability of this development flooding from Environment Agency main river is very low. In an extreme event any effect on this location would not be sudden and there would be time for residents to take precautionary measures to limit the impact of any flooding that may occur.

Residual Risk – Extreme Events

The residual risk from extreme events is very low on this site. The major risk to the site is from a breach or overtopping of the tidal defences

The risk of this happening in this case is low and the hazard from any flooding is also low.

Climate Change

The recommendations for flood depths for this flood risk assessment use information mostly taken from the South Holland DC SFRA which was last updated in 2010. The EA have issued new guidance on recommended contingency allowances for predicted sea rises, fluvial flows and rainfall intensities which from 19th February 2016 needs to be considered in the FRA. The effects of these new recommendations are considered in Appendix A of this report (pages 13 to 16). It is concluded that no extra mitigation measures are necessary to comply with the new guidance on climate change.

South East Lincs Advice Matrix

Advice can be found on the recommended mitigation required by referring to a spreadsheet on the South East Lincolnshire website. The only designated advice in the Matrix for this type of development in flood zone 1 is “No Comment”.

If the development were to be considered to be in flood zone 3 with a flood hazard is “danger to Most” (1.25 to 2.0) reference would be made to Category D8 of the matrix. Alternately with a flood hazard of “danger for all” (greater than 2.0) then Category C8 should be considered. Both categories C8 and D8 state for a predicted flood depth of between 500mm and 1.0 metre the finished ground floor level should be set 1.0 metre above ground level, with flood resilient construction to a height of 300mm above the predicted flood depth.

However the conclusions set out below will consider the ground levels on the site and this will enable a more accurate assessment of the flood level to be made, and a recommended level for the finished floor level of the building.

Conclusions

The plan (shown on the next page) of predicted depths of flooding on the South East Lincolnshire website show the site to be within the red band which indicates flood depths of between 500mm and 1.0 metre in a 1 in 1000 year fluvial event in 2115.



The levels taken on the site have been superimposed on an enlarged extract of the above map which is shown below.



The map indicates there is no predicted flooding on the road north east of Peterborough Road where the level is 3.20m OD. The lowest level of the site can be taken as being 2.10m OD. Using these levels the flood level in a 1 in 1000 year event in 2115 can be taken to be 3.10m OD and therefore it is recommended that the finished ground floor level of the proposed bungalow should be 3.10m OD.

The risk of flooding to the building from IDB drains can be considered low, especially as the ground floor level will be raised approximately between 900mm and 1.0 metre above ground level.

The IDB have adequate arrangements to bring in contractors and use their own staff if a failure of any part of the pumping stations or the sluices occurred. If drains become full any flooding that would occur would happen very slowly and affect lower land in

the area before the development site. It would be very unlikely to reach a level above 2.30m OD and flood the proposed development.

The proposed development is not in a functional flood plain as defined by PPS 25.

Recommendations

In any area at risk of flooding it is preferable that new dwellings should be of two story construction with all bedrooms at first floor level. This is to provide a refuge for residents if the buildings were to become flooded after a major breach of the tidal bank, and ensure there is no danger to residents when they are asleep.

As the proposed building will be single story with bedrooms on the ground floor the finished ground floor level should be above the 1 in 1000 year flood level in 2115.

The ground floor level of the proposed bungalow should be a minimum level of 3.10m OD which is approximately between 900mm and 1.0 metre above the existing ground level on the site and is approximately 1.0 metre above the ground floor level of the existing house (1a Peterborough Road) south of the site.

The bungalow should be designed incorporating flood resistant and resilient techniques to allow it to be refurbished after being flooding to a depth of approximately 300mm above the floor level of the new building.

The developer should advise owners and occupiers of the properties to register with the Environment Agency's Floodline Warnings Direct Service.

Rainwater from the roof of the building should be discharged if possible into soakaways and these should be designed to BRE Digest 365 and approved under Building regulations. All hardstandings around the building should be constructed with permeable paving.

S M HEMMINGS B Sc C Eng MICE MIWEM


stuart.hemmings@btinternet.com

7th May 2024

LOCATION PLAN




PLAN OF IDB DRAINS



PLAN SHOWING SITE LEVELS



PLAN OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT



APPENDIX A CLIMATE CHANGE

The Environment Agency has issued revised guidance on climate change and have now stated that the new predictions should be considered and incorporated into all flood risk assessments produced after 19th February 2016.

Listed below are the climate change allowances in three documents:

- South Holland SFRA
- EA guidance (2013)
- Revised EA guidance

The recommendations in each document are shown below.

2010 South Holland DC SFRA

The SHDC SFRA states that the the following allowances have been made for climate change:

4.4 Climate Change

Scenarios for the years 2055 and 2115 include for climate change contingency allowances to the amount suggested by PPS25¹. These allowances are expressed in Table 2. Percentage increases are relative to the present-day.

Table 2 – Adopted Climate change contingency allowances

Parameter	Year 2055	Year 2115
Sea level rise (m)	+ 0.33	+ 1.14
Extreme wave height	+ 10%	+ 10%
Peak river flow and volume	+ 20%	+ 20%
Peak rainfall intensity	+ 20%	+30%

Where flows arise from pumping rather than natural run-off, notably in the Vernatt's Drain and for the Fenland subcatchments of the South Forty Foot Drain, peak flow rates for future eras have been taken as equal to current rates since this SFRA assumes all flood risk management measures will remain in their current state.

2013 Guidance to Planners

Guidance to planners was issued by EA in September 2013

Table 1: Recommended contingency allowances for net sea level rises (Net sea level rise (mm per year) relative to 1990)

	1990 to 2025	2025 to 2055	2055 to 2085	2085 to 2115
East of England, east midlands, London, south-east England (south of Flamborough Head)	4.0	8.5	12.0	15.0
South-west England	3.5	8.0	11.5	14.5
North-west England, north-east England (north of Flamborough Head)	2.5	7.0	10.0	13.0

Table 2: Recommended national precautionary sensitivity ranges for peak rainfall intensity, peak river flow, offshore wind speed and wave height

Parameter	1990 to 2025	2025 to 2055	2055 to 2085	2085 to 2115
Peak rainfall intensity	+5%	+10%	+20%	+30%
Peak river flow	+10%	+20%		
Offshore wind speed	+5%		+10%	
Extreme wave height	+5%		+10%	

Revised 2016 EA Guidance

Table 1 peak river flow allowances by river basin district (use 1961 to 1990 baseline)

River basin district	Allowance category	Total potential change anticipated for '2020s' (2015 to 39)	Total potential change anticipated for '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Anglian	Upper end	25%	35%	65%
	Higher central	15%	20%	35%
	Central	10%	15%	25%

For more vulnerable development in flood zone 1 the central and higher central should be used to assess the range of allowances.

Table 2 peak rainfall intensity allowance in small and urban catchments (use 1961 to 1990 baseline)

Applies across all of England	Total potential change anticipated for 2010 to 2039	Total potential change anticipated for 2040 to 2059	Total potential change anticipated for 2060 to 2115
Upper end	10%	20%	40%
Central	5%	10%	20%

Table 3 sea level allowance for each epoch in millimetres (mm) per year with cumulative sea level rise for each epoch in brackets (use 1990 baseline)

Area of England	1990 to 2025	2026 to 2050	2051 to 2080	2081 to 2115	Cumulative rise 1990 to 2115 / metres (m)
East, east midlands, London, south east	4 (140 mm)	8.5 (212.5 mm)	12 (360 mm)	15 (525 mm)	1.24 m

Table 4 offshore wind speed and extreme wave height allowance (use 1990 baseline)

Applies around all the English coast	1990 to 2050	2051 to 2115
Offshore wind speed allowance	+5%	+10%
Offshore wind speed sensitivity test	+10%	+10%
Extreme wave height allowance	+5%	+10%
Extreme wave height sensitivity test	+10%	+10%

Effects on Predictions of Flood Risk in FRA

The report has identified that the main sources of flooding to the proposed development are from the River Welland and the IDB drainage system

As the development is in flood zone 1 and is classed as more vulnerable, the central climate change allowance, which is 25%, should firstly be considered. After considering the effects of this increase the higher central, which is 35%, should be considered to assess the effect of this.

The EA have been using an allowance of 20% for climate change over the past few years in their assessments and modelling of their systems. The SHDC SFRA also has used this figure of 20%. The increase to 25% and 35% will not significantly change the conclusion in the SFRA of what might happen if a breach occurred in the bank of the the Barrier Bank. If there are additional flows along this part of the River Welland it will lead to the storage systems of the Cowbit and Crowland Washes operating and additional overtopping over lower banks rather than any significant increase in levels in the river.

IDB's have been using an allowance of 20% for climate change over the past few years in their assessments and modelling of their systems. Generally IDB's are happy that their systems provide a 1 in 100 year standard to most urban areas at the present time.

North Level IDB, and all IDB's, are aware that climate change will affect the operations of pumping stations, sluices and drainage channels. Pumping stations and sluices only have a 30 year life and will need to be refurbished or rebuilt within this timespan. It is assumed that North Level IDB will continue to review the modelling they have already carried out and when the Board consider these refurbishments adequate arrangements will be made to incorporate the latest climate change projections in order that the Board continues to provide the same standard of service as the present day.

Therefore it is considered that the mitigation proposed for the development, with the recommendation that the floor levels should be raised by approximately 900mm – 1.0 metre above ground level and set at a level of 3.10m OD is satisfactory.