DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No:	**H02-1259-25**	**Applicant:**	**Lincolnshire County Council**
Proposal:	Construction of new pedestrian footpath		
Location:	South View Community Primary School Postland Road Crowland		
Terminal Date:	7th January 2026		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01	Spatial Strategy
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
05	Meeting Physical Infrastructure and Service Needs
28	The Natural Environment
32	Community, Health and Well-being
33	Delivering a More Sustainable Transport Network
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework December 2024

Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development
Section 4 - Decision-making
Section 8 - Promoting healthy and safe communities
Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 11 - Making effective use of land
Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places
Section 14 - Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
NORTH LEVEL INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD	0	0	0	1

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

South Holland District Council (SHDC) wishes to make the following comments regarding application (Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) Reference) PL/0074/25.

Development Plan

The adopted South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036, adopted March 2019 (SELLP), is the development plan for the district, and is the basis for decision making in South Holland.

The policies and provisions set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, December 2024 (NPPF) are also a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, alongside adopted Supplementary Planning Documents.

There are no adopted Neighbourhood Plans for the area within which the site is located.

The main considerations of relevance in this case are summarised below.

Development to Support Schools

Policy 5 of the SELLP relates to infrastructure provisions, including additional school places. Broadly, the policy would support developments which enhance the proper function of schools. Therefore, some weight would be given in favour of the proposal.

Design and Visual Impact

Likewise, Policy 2 of the SELLP outlines sustainable development considerations for proposals; providing a framework for an operational policy to be used in assessing the sustainable development attributes of all development proposals. Furthermore, Policy 3 of the SELLP requires development to comprise good design; identifying issues that should be considered when preparing schemes so that development sits comfortably with, and adds positively to, its historically designated or undesignated townscape or landscape surroundings.

These policies accord with the provisions of the NPPF and require that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable. Proposals for new development would therefore require the aforementioned considerations to be adequately assessed and designed, including the siting, design, and scale to be respectful of surrounding development and ensure that the character of the area is not compromised.

SHDC does not wish to raise any objections regarding the proposed siting and appearance of the footpath.

Highway Safety, Parking and Accessibility

In respect of highway matters, Policy 2 details that proposals requiring planning permission for development will be permitted provided that sustainable development considerations are met, specifically in relation to access and vehicle generation. Policy 3 details that development proposals will demonstrate how accessibility by a choice of travel modes including the provision of public transport, public rights of way and cycle ways will be secured, where they are relevant to the proposal. Policy 33 further reinforces the need for developments to be accessible via sustainable modes of transport.

The proposal would ensure the safe movement of students throughout the site, without impeding upon existing access routes. The proposal would be in accordance with Policies 2, 3 and 33 of the SELLP in this regard.

Conclusion

To conclude, SHDC does not wish to raise objections to the proposed development. The proposal broadly aligns with the provisions of the SELLP and NPPF.