

A Dispersion Modelling Study of the Impact of Odour from the Proposed Free Range Egg Laying House at Deeping Fen Farm, near Baston Fen in Lincolnshire

Prepared by Steve Smith

AS Modelling & Data Ltd.

Email: stevesmith@asmodata.co.uk

Telephone: 01952 462500

22nd August 2018

1. Introduction

AS Modelling & Data Ltd. has been instructed by Claire Preston of Bowlers Eggs on behalf of the applicant, to use computer modelling to assess the impact of odour emissions from the proposed free range egg laying chicken house at Deeping Fen Farm, Baston Fen, Lincolnshire. PE6 9PU.

Odour emission rates from the proposed poultry house have been assessed and quantified based upon an emissions model that takes into account the likely internal odour concentrations and ventilation rates of the poultry house. The odour emission rates so obtained have then been used as inputs to an atmospheric dispersion model which calculates odour exposure levels in the surrounding area.

This report is arranged in the following manner:

- Section 2 provides relevant details of the site and potentially sensitive receptors in the area.
- Section 3 provides some general information on odour; details of the method used to estimate odour emissions from the proposed poultry house; relevant guidelines and legislation on exposure limits and where relevant, details of likely background levels of odour.
- Section 4 provides some information about ADMS, the dispersion model used for this study and details the modelling parameters and procedures.
- Section 5 contains the results of the modelling.
- Section 6 provides a discussion of the results and conclusions.

2. Background Details


The site of the proposed free range chicken house is in an isolated rural area, approximately 4 km to the north-east of the village of Baston in Lincolnshire. The surrounding land is used largely for arable farming, although there are also some isolated areas of semi natural grasslands and flooded gravel pits nearby. The site is at an altitude of around 3 m on level drained fenland.

The proposed poultry house would provide accommodation for up to 32,000 free range egg laying chickens. The poultry house would have pop holes which would provide the birds with daytime access to outside ranging areas and would be ventilated primarily via uncapped high speed ridge/roof fans, with gable end fans for supplementary ventilation in hot weather conditions. Every four days, the birds' droppings would be removed by a belt collection system and stored temporarily on the farm, prior to removal from the site.

There are some isolated residences and commercial properties in the area surrounding the site of the proposed poultry house at Deeping Fen Farm. The closest residences are those on the corner of Black Drove and Baston Outgang Road, which are approximately 270 m to the south-west of the site of the proposed poultry house.

A map of the surrounding area is provided in Figure 1, where the position of the proposed poultry house is outlined in blue.

Figure 1. The area surrounding the site of the proposed poultry house at Deeping Fen Farm



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

3. Odour, Emission Rates, Exposure Limits & Background Levels

3.1 Odour concentration, averaging times, percentiles and FIDOR

Odour concentration is expressed in terms of European Odour Units per metre cubed of air (ou_E/m^3). The following definitions and descriptions of how an odour might be perceived by a human with an average sense of smell may be useful. However, it should be noted that within a human population there is considerable variation in acuity of sense of smell.

- $1.0 \text{ ou}_E/\text{m}^3$ is defined as the limit of detection, in laboratory conditions.
- At $2.0 - 3.0 \text{ ou}_E/\text{m}^3$, a particular odour might be detected against background odours in an open environment.
- When the concentration reaches around $5.0 \text{ ou}_E/\text{m}^3$, a particular odour will usually be recognisable, if known, but would usually be described as faint.
- At $10.0 \text{ ou}_E/\text{m}^3$, most would describe the intensity of the odour as moderate or strong and if persistent, it is likely that the odour would become intrusive.

The character, or hedonic tone, of an odour is also important; typically, odours are grouped into three categories.

Most offensive:

- Processes involving decaying animal or fish remains.
- Processes involving septic effluent or sludge.
- Biological landfill odours.

Moderately offensive:

- Intensive livestock rearing.
- Fat frying (food processing).
- Sugar beet processing.
- Well aerated green waste composting.

Less offensive:

- Brewery.
- Confectionery.
- Coffee roasting.
- Bakery.

Dispersion models usually calculate hourly mean odour concentrations; Environment Agency guidelines and findings from UK Water industry Research (UKWIR) are also framed in terms of hourly mean odour concentration.

The Environment Agency guidelines and findings from UKWIR use the 98th percentile hourly mean; this is the hourly mean odour concentration that is equalled or exceeded for 2% of the time period considered, which is typically one year. The use of the 98th percentile statistic allows for some consideration of both frequency and intensity of the odours.

At some distance from a source, it would be unusual if odour concentration remained constant for an hour and in reality, due to air turbulence and changes in wind direction, short term fluctuations in concentration are observed. Therefore, although average exposure levels may be below the detection threshold, or a particular guideline, a population may be exposed to short term concentrations which are higher than the hourly average. It should be noted that a fluctuating odour is often more noticeable than a steady background odour at a low concentration. It is implicit that within the models' hourly averaging time and the Environment Agency guidelines and findings from UKWIR that there would be variation in the odour concentration around this mean, i.e. there would be short periods when odour concentration would be higher than the mean and lower than the mean.

The FIDOR acronym is a useful reminder of the factors that will determine the degree of odour pollution:

- **F**requency of detection.
- **I**ntensity as perceived.
- **D**uration of exposure.
- **O**ffensiveness.
- **R**eceptor sensitivity.

3.2 Environment Agency Guidelines

In April 2011, the Environment Agency published H4 Odour Management guidance (H4). In Appendix 3 – Modelling Odour Exposure, benchmark exposure levels are provided. The benchmarks are based on the 98th percentile of hourly mean concentrations of odour modelled over a year at the site/installation boundary. The benchmarks are:

- 1.5 ou_E/m³ for most offensive odours.
- 3.0 ou_E/m³ for moderately offensive odours.
- 6.0 ou_E/m³ for less offensive odours.

Any modelled results that project exposures above these benchmark levels, after taking uncertainty into account, indicate the likelihood of unacceptable odour pollution.

3.3 UK Water Industry Research Findings

The main source of research into odour impacts in the UK has been the wastewater industry. An in-depth study of the correlation between modelled odour impacts and human response was published by UKWIR in 2001. This was based on a review of the correlation between reported odour complaints and modelled odour impacts in relation to nine wastewater treatment works in the UK with ongoing odour complaints. The findings of this research and subsequent UKWIR research indicate the following. Based on the modelled 98th percentile of hourly mean concentrations of odour:

- At below 5.0 ou_E/m³, complaints are relatively rare, at only 3% of the total registered.
- At between 5.0 ou_E/m³ and 10.0 ou_E/m³, a significant proportion of total registered complaints occur, 38% of the total.
- The majority of complaints occur in areas of modelled exposures of greater than 10.0 ou_E/m³, 59% of the total.

3.4 Choice of Odour Benchmarks for this Study

Odours from poultry rearing are usually placed in the moderately offensive category. Therefore, for this study, the Environment Agency's benchmark for moderately offensive odours, a 98th percentile hourly mean of 3.0 ou_E/m³ over a one year period, is used to assess the impact of odour emissions from the proposed poultry unit at potentially sensitive receptors in the surrounding area.

3.5 Quantification of Odour Emissions

Odour emission rates from poultry houses depend on many factors and are highly variable. When only minimum ventilation is required the odour emission rate may be relatively small, but in hot weather, ventilation requirements and odour emission rates are greater.

The primary source of odour would be from the chimneys of the ridge mounted fans, which would be used for the majority of the ventilation requirements. Some fugitive emissions from open pop holes would be possible, but because the houses would normally be under negative pressure, these emissions would be minimal. The chickens have/would have access to ranging areas and some odour would arise from the manure deposited on the ranging areas.

In traditional egg laying houses, peak odour emission rates occur when the housing is cleared of spent litter and manure at the end of each crop. Emissions at this time may be several times greater than normal emissions from the housing. However, although the houses at Deeping Fen Farm are/would be cleaned between flocks (approximately once per year), because the manure would be collected and removed throughout the flock cycle, the magnitude of odours during cleaning would be lower than from more traditional houses in which manure collects within the house.

To calculate an odour emission rate it is necessary to know the internal odour concentration and ventilation rate of the poultry house. For the calculation, the internal concentration is assumed to be constant at 750 ou_E/m³; this figure is based upon a review of available literature and measured values from similar poultry houses that are available to AS Modelling & Data Ltd. Under high ventilation rates in layer chicken housing there may be a purging effect, that is, internal odour concentrations are reduced because the ventilation system removes odour faster than it is produced; this effect is not considered in the calculations, therefore, if anything, peak emission rates during hot weather may be overestimated. The housing is also assumed to be continuously occupied; however, in reality there would be periods between flocks when the housing is empty and clean and emitting little or no odour.

The ventilation rates used in the calculations are based on industry standard practices. For the calculations, the minimum ventilation rate is set at 1.0 m³-air/bird/h and the maximum ventilation rate is 7.5 m³-air/bird/h. If the external temperature is 13 Celsius, or lower, minimum ventilation only is assumed for the calculation. If the external temperature is 23 Celsius, or more, then the maximum ventilation rate is assumed. A transitional ventilation rate is calculated between these extremes.

Based upon these principles, an emission rate for each hour of the period modelled is calculated by multiplying the concentration by the ventilation rate. A summary of the emission rates used in this study is provided in Table 1. As additional information, the 98th percentile emission rate is approximately 1.35 ou_E/bird/s. As an example, a graph of the specific emission rate over the first year of the meteorological record is shown in Figure 2.


Table 1. Summary of odour emission rates from the proposed poultry house

Season	Emission rate (ou _E /bird/s)			
	Average	Night-time Average	Day-time Average	Maximum
Winter	0.211	0.209	0.215	0.949
Spring	0.368	0.253	0.482	1.562
Summer	0.653	0.375	0.820	1.562
Autumn	0.256	0.226	0.287	1.428

The chickens have/would have access to ranging areas. It is assumed that 20%¹ of the droppings are deposited on the ranging area and an emission rate of 0.25 ou_E/bird/s is used to calculate the emission rate. The emission is assumed to be continuous with no diurnal, seasonal, or temperature dependent variations. N.B. This emission is additional to emissions from the housing, it is probably quite precautionary and is also intended to account for any fugitive emissions from the pop holes, which allow the birds access to the ranging areas, that might occur when ventilation rates are low.

1. It should be noted that this figure is probably based primarily upon the widely accepted figure of 80% of dropping occurring at night when birds are housed and a single report; however, because, even under optimal conditions, not all of the birds go outside (50% is considered a high percentage), this does not imply that 20% of droppings occur outside the house.

Figure 2. Specific emission rate over the first year of the meteorological record (2014)



4. The Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) and Model Parameters

The Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) ADMS 5 is a new generation Gaussian plume air dispersion model, which means that the atmospheric boundary layer properties are characterised by two parameters: the boundary layer depth and the Monin-Obukhov length, rather than in terms of the single parameter Pasquill-Gifford class.

Dispersion under convective meteorological conditions uses a skewed Gaussian concentration distribution (shown by validation studies to be a better representation than a symmetrical Gaussian expression).

ADMS has a number of model options including: dry and wet deposition; NO_x chemistry; impacts of hills, variable roughness, buildings and coastlines; puffs; fluctuations; odours; radioactivity decay (and γ -ray dose); condensed plume visibility; time varying sources and inclusion of background concentrations.

ADMS has an in-built meteorological pre-processor that allows flexible input of meteorological data both standard and more specialist. Hourly sequential and statistical data can be processed and all input and output meteorological variables are written to a file after processing.

The user defines the pollutant, the averaging time (which may be an annual average or a shorter period), which percentiles and exceedance values to calculate, whether a rolling average is required or not and the output units. The output options are designed to be flexible to cater for the variety of air quality limits, which can vary from country to country and are subject to revision.

4.1 Meteorological Data

Computer modelling of dispersion requires hourly sequential meteorological data and to provide robust statistics, the record should be of a suitable length; preferably four years or longer.

The meteorological data used in this study is obtained from assimilation and short term forecast fields of the Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) system known as the Global Forecast System (GFS).

The GFS is a spectral model and data are archived at a horizontal resolution of 0.25 degrees, which is approximately 25 km over the UK (formerly 0.5 degrees, or approximately 50 km). The GFS resolution adequately captures major topographical features and the broad-scale characteristics of the weather over the UK. Smaller scale topological features may be included in the dispersion modelling by using the flow field module of ADMS (FLOWSTAR). The use of NWP data has advantages over traditional meteorological records because:

- Calm periods in traditional observational records may be over represented, this is because the instrumentation used may not record wind speeds below approximately 0.5 m/s and start up wind speeds may be greater than 1.0 m/s. In NWP data, the wind speed is continuous down to 0.0 m/s, allowing the calms module of ADMS to function correctly.
- Traditional records may include very local deviations from the broad-scale wind flow that would not necessarily be representative of the site being modelled; these deviations are difficult to identify and remove from a meteorological record. Conversely, local effects at the site being modelled are relatively easy to impose on the broad-scale flow and provided horizontal resolution is not too great, the meteorological records from NWP data may be expected to represent well the broad-scale flow.
- Information on the state of the atmosphere above ground level which would otherwise be estimated by the meteorological pre-processor may be included explicitly.

A wind rose showing the distribution of wind speeds and directions in the GFS derived data is shown in Figure 3a. Wind speeds are modified by the treatment of roughness lengths (see Section 4.7) and because terrain data is included in the modelling, wind speeds and directions will be modified. The terrain and roughness length modified wind rose for the site of the proposed poultry house is shown in Figure 3b. Note that elsewhere in the modelling domain, modified wind roses may differ markedly and that the resolution of the wind field in the terrain runs is 100 m.

Figure 3a. The wind rose. GFS derived data, for 52.736 N, 0.293 W, 2014 - 2017





Figure 3b. The wind rose. FLOWSTAR modified GFS derived data NGR 515250, 316750, 2014-2017



4.2 Emission Sources

Emissions from the uncapped chimneys of the high speed ridge mounted fans that would be used for the primary ventilation of the proposed poultry house are represented by three point sources within ADMS (PR1 a, b & c).

The proposed poultry house would also be fitted with gable end fans which would be used to provide supplementary ventilation in hot weather conditions. The emissions from the gable end fans are represented by a single volume source within ADMS (GAB). The volume source is assumed to emit 50% of the total emissions only when the ambient temperature equals or exceeds 21 Celsius; when the volume source is emitting, emissions from the associated point sources are reduced by 50%.

The poultry house would have ranging areas, which are represented by two area sources within ADMS (PR1_RAN_S & PR1_RAN_N). Note that the area sources cover the parts of the ranges that are most likely to be used frequently and not the whole ranging area.

Details of the source parameters are provided in Tables 2a, 2b and 2c. The positions of the sources are shown in Figure 4.

Table 2a. Point source parameters

Source ID	Height (m)	Diameter (m)	Efflux velocity (m/s)	Emission temperature (°C)	Emission rate per source (ou _E /s)
PR1 and PR2 a, b & c	7.2	0.8	11.0	Variable ¹	Variable ^{1 & 2}

Table 2b. Volume source parameters

Source ID	Length (m)	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Base height (m)	Emission temperature (°C)	Emission rate per source (ou _E /s)
GAB	5.0	31.0	3.0	1.0	Ambient	Variable ^{1 & 3}

Table 2c. Area source parameters


Source ID	Area (m ²)	Base Height (m)	Emission temperature (°C)	Emission rate (ou _E /s)
PR_RAN_S	3,490.4	0.0	Ambient	800.0
PR_RAN_N	3,489.3	0.0	Ambient	800.0

1. Dependent on crop stage and ambient temperature.
2. Reduced by 50% when the ambient temperature equals or exceeds 21 Celsius.
3. 50% of the total emission is emitted when the ambient temperature equals or exceeds 21 Celsius.

4.3 Modelled Buildings

The structure of the proposed poultry house may affect the plumes from the point sources. Therefore, the building is modelled within ADMS. The position of the modelled building may be seen in Figure 4, where it is marked by a grey rectangle.

Figure 4. The positions of the modelled building and sources



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

4.4 Discrete Receptors

Nine discrete receptors have been defined at a selection of nearby residences and commercial properties. The receptors are defined at 1.5 m above ground level within ADMS and their positions may be seen in Figure 5, where they are marked by enumerated pink rectangles.

4.5 Nested Cartesian grid

To produce the contour plots presented in Section 5 of this report, nested Cartesian grids have been defined within ADMS. The grid receptors are defined at 1.5 m above ground level within ADMS. The positions of the receptors may be seen in Figure 5, where they are marked by green crosses, or grey lines.


4.6 Terrain data

Terrain has been considered in the modelling. The terrain data are based upon the Ordnance Survey 50 m Digital Elevation Model. A 6.4 km x 6.4 km domain has been resampled at 50 m horizontal resolution for use within ADMS. N.B. The resolution of FLOWSTAR is 64 x 64 grid points; therefore, the effective resolution of the wind field is 100 m.

4.7 Other model parameters

A fixed surface roughness length of 0.15 m has been applied over the entire modelling domain. As a precautionary measure, the GFS meteorological data is assumed to have a roughness length of 0.125 m. The effect of the difference in roughness length is precautionary as it increases the frequency of low wind speeds and the stability and therefore increases predicted ground level concentrations.

Figure 5. The discrete receptors and nested Cartesian grid receptors



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

5. Details of the Model Runs and Results

For this study, ADMS was run a total of eight times (once for each year of the four year meteorological record, with calms module of ADMS and with and without terrain). Statistics for the annual 98th percentile hourly mean odour concentration at each receptor were compiled for each of the eight runs.


A summary of the results at the discrete receptors is shown in Table 3, where the maximum annual 98th percentile hourly mean odour concentration is shown. A contour plot of the maximum annual 98th percentile hourly mean odour concentration is shown in Figure 6.

In Table 3, predicted odour exposures in excess of the Environment Agency's benchmark of 3.0 ou_E/m³ as an annual 98th percentile hourly mean are coloured blue; those in the range that UKWIR research suggests gives rise to a significant proportion of complaints, 5.0 ou_E/m³ to 10.0 ou_E/m³ as an annual 98th percentile hourly mean, are coloured orange and predicted exposures likely to cause annoyance and complaint are coloured red.

Table 3. Maximum annual 98th percentile hourly mean odour concentrations at the discrete receptors

Receptor number	X(m)	Y(m)	Name/Location	Maximum annual 98 th percentile hourly mean odour concentration (ou _E /m ³)	
				GFS Calms	GFS Calms Terrain
1	515097	316493	Black Drove/Baston Outgang Road	0.93	0.93
2	515064	316142	Baston Drove Farm	0.21	0.21
3	514677	316178	Black Drove Cottage	0.21	0.21
4	514508	316079	Black Drove Lodge	0.14	0.14
5	514593	317330	Windmill Farm	0.12	0.12
6	514193	316355	Home Farm (camping site)	0.08	0.08
7	514754	317684	Counter Drain Road	0.10	0.10
8	515981	316779	Unnamed Residence to the east	0.28	0.28
9	516344	317174	Shillakers Farm	0.11	0.11

Figure 6. Predicted maximum annual 98th percentile hourly mean odour concentration



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

6. Summary and Conclusions

AS Modelling & Data Ltd. has been instructed by Claire Preston of Bowlers Eggs on behalf of the applicant, to use computer modelling to assess the impact of odour emissions from the proposed free range egg laying chicken house at Deeping Fen Farm, Baston Fen, Lincolnshire. PE6 9PU.

Odour emission rates from the proposed poultry house have been assessed and quantified based upon an emissions model that takes into account the likely internal odour concentrations and ventilation rates of the poultry house. The odour emission rates so obtained have then been used as inputs to an atmospheric dispersion model which calculates odour exposure levels in the surrounding area.

The modelling predicts that, at all of the residential receptors considered, the odour exposure would be well below the Environment Agency's benchmark for moderately offensive odours, which is a 98th percentile hourly mean of 3.0 ou_e/m³ over a one year period.

7. References

Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management website. Control of Odour.

<http://www.ciwem.org/policy-and-international/policy-position-statements/control-of-odour.aspx>

Environment Agency, April 2007. H4 Odour Management, How to comply with your environmental permit.

<http://a0768b4a8a31e106d8b0-50dc802554eb38a24458b98ff72d550b.r19.cf3.rackcdn.com/geho0411btqm-e-e.pdf>

S. Fournela, F. Pelletierb, S. Godboutb. Odour emissions, hedonic tones and ammonia emissions from three cage layer housing systems.

Nimmermark S. & Gustafsson G. Influence of Temperature, Humidity and Ventilation Rate on the Release of Odour and Ammonia in a Floor Housing System for Laying Hens.