DECISION DELEGATED TO DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Application No:	**H05-0404-21**	**Applicant:**	**Larkfleet Homes**
Proposal:	Details of external materials (Condition 9 of H05-0773-17)		
Location:	Land At Lowgate Fleet Bank Fleet		
Terminal Date:	11th June 2021		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2019

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

Details of external materials (Condition 9 of H05-0773-17).

Site Description

As original application, except works have commenced on site.

History

H05-1033-19 - Details of surface and foul water, proposed levels, external materials and storage and disposal of refuse (Conditions 4, 6, 9 and 10 of H05-0773-17). Approved December 2019.

H05-0773-17 - Erection of 24 (in total) log cabins for holiday/leisure use including 6 No. additional log cabins; 6 No. cabins granted under planning permission reference H05-0699-16 and 12 No. cabins granted permission under H05-0114-15. Approved July 2018.

H04-0114-15 - Construction of 12 log cabins for holiday/leisure use. Approved September 2015.

H05-0699-16 - Construct 6 log cabins for holiday/leisure use. Approved September 2016.

Consultation Responses

None received.

Planning Considerations

Condition 9 was previously discharged under application ref. H05-1033-19, however the applicant is now seeking to substitute the external materials of the cabins. The overall appearance would remain very similar, just with the materials being from a different source. In light of this, the newly proposed materials are considered acceptable.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Conclusion

Taking the above into account, it is considered that Condition 9 of H05-0773-17 can be discharged.