FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT
FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT
MILL BANK, HOLBEACH

FINAL REPORT

ECL0536/ANDREW M WRIGHT LTD

DATE JULY 2021

ELLINGHAM CONSULTING LTD
Email: tim@ellinghamconsulting.co.uk

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION
- 2.1 Site Location
- 2.2 Existing Site
- 2.3 Proposed Development
- 2.4 Local Development Documents
- 2.5 Available Flood Risk Information

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY
- 3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test
- 3.2 Vulnerability Classification
- 3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK
- 4.1 Local Flood Assets
- 4.2 Sources of Flooding
- 4.3 Probability of Flooding
- 4.4 Historic Flooding
- 4.5 Climate Change
- 4.6 Residual Risks

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION
- 5.1 Summary of Risks
- 5.2 Mitigation Measures

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

ATTACHMENT 1 – Schematic Proposals (Dwg 022106 SK01 D1)

DISCLAIMER
This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk Assessment in support of a planning application for proposed residential development at Mill Bank, Holbeach. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any use made of this document other than by the client "Mr P Busby" for the purposes it was originally commissioned and prepared. All comments and opinions made are based upon information available to "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" during the necessary investigative process, and the conclusions and recommendations, could therefore, differ in the event of material subsequently being found erroneous, incomplete, or misleading. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" therefore, accepts no liability should this prove to be the case.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and supporting planning practice guidance (PPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change.

In areas at risk of flooding or for sites of 1 hectare or more, developers are required to undertake a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment to accompany an application for planning permission. This Flood Risk Assessment has been produced on behalf of Mr P Busby in respect of a development that consists of the conversion of an agricultural building to become one dwelling at Mill Bank, Holbeach.

A planning application for the proposed development is to be submitted by Andrew M Wright Ltd.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Location

The site is located at Mill Bank, Holbeach, Mill Bank, Spalding, PE12 8QW. The National Grid Reference of the site is 53775/32203.

The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Location Plan (© OpenStreetMap contributors)

2.2 Existing Site

The site is part of an agricultural holding on the southern side of Mill Bank. Within the site is an agricultural building with an existing access to Mill Bank. The area of development is approximately 0.05 hectares.

Environment Agency LIDAR shows that the site is flat with levels between +2.5m OD and +2.7m OD. The carriageway level of Mill Bank is +2.8m OD. The agricultural land surrounding the site is typically between +1.9m OD and +2.3m OD.

The site is in the South Holland Internal Drainage Board (IDB) District. Surface water at the site would naturally drain through soakaway and hence to the riparian drainage network and then to the Board's main drain system. There is a riparian drain approximately 40m east of the site that discharges to the nearest IDB Ordinary Watercourse approximately 300m south of the site.

The online British Geological Survey maps indicate that the site is likely to be underlain by Ampthill Clay Formation mudstone. The bedrock is shown to be overlain with superficial deposits of clay and silt.

2.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of the conversion of the barn to form one new dwelling. The dwelling will be single storey and use the existing access to Mill Bank. Details of the proposed development are provided in Attachment 1.

2.4 Local Development Documents

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011 – 2036, adopted in March 2019, is the Local Plan for the district. Policy 4: Approach to Flood Risk states the requirements for flood risk reduction.

The South East Lincolnshire Level 1 and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was prepared in June 2017.

The Joint Lincolnshire Flood Risk and Drainage Management Strategy has been prepared by Lincolnshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The purpose of the Strategy is to increase the safety of people across Lincolnshire by reducing the number of people at risk of flooding, increasing the resilience of local communities, and reducing the impact of flooding

2.5 Flood Zones

The site is located within Flood Zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding, of the Environment Agency Flood Maps for Planning as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2 – Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

The Environment Agency Long Term Flood Risk maps show that:

- the site has a low risk of flooding from rivers or the sea (annual probability between 0.1% and 1%);
- the site has a very low risk of surface water flooding (annual probability less than 0.1%); and
- the site is not within an area at risk of reservoir flooding.

Table 1 shows the level of risk at the site within the South East Lincolnshire SFRA.

SFRA Map	Present Day	2116
Residual Flood Hazard Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area
Residual Peak Depth Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal	The site is outside the area at risk	The site is outside the area at risk

Table 1 – Flood Risk within SFRA Maps

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY

3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test

The NPPF requires the application of a Sequential Test to ensure that new development is in areas with the lowest probability of flooding.

The Exception Test is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available.

3.2 Vulnerability Classification

Table 2 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change categorises different types of uses and development according to their vulnerability to flood risk. The proposed development is covered by the description of buildings used for dwellings and is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.

Table 3 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change sets out Flood Risk Vulnerability and flood zone 'compatibility'. The site is in Flood Zone 3 and the development is 'More Vulnerable' therefore it is necessary to complete the Exception Test.

PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change defines that the lifetime of the development in terms of flood risk and coastal change is 100 years.

3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

It is for the Local Planning Authority, taking advice from the Environment Agency as appropriate, to consider the Sequential Test.

Paragraph 033 of planning practice guidance (PPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change states that 'The Sequential Test does not need to be applied for applications for Change of Use (except for a change of use to a caravan, camping or chalet site, or to a mobile home or park home site)'.

Paragraph 048 of the PPG states that 'A Change of Use may involve an increase in flood risk if the vulnerability classification of the development is changed. In such cases, the applicant will need to show in their flood risk assessment that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime.' The mitigation measures proposed in Section 5.2 of this flood risk assessment are such that risks to future users are mitigated.

The Exception Test requires consideration of the wider sustainability benefits of a development and that the development would be safe and residual risks managed.

The Local Plan has a target of a net increase of at least 11,681 dwellings in South Holland over the 25-year local plan period. The Plan considers this new housing is required to ensure the sustainability of the Local Plan area. The proposed

development will contribute to this target and the provision of rural housing is a benefit.

Section 5 of this Flood Risk Assessment describes the flood mitigation measures and the management of the residual risks, demonstrating that this development will be safe and not increase flood risk elsewhere. The development is considered to pass the Exception Test.

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK

4.1 Local Flood Assets

The South Holland IDB district is protected by the Wash tidal defences along the Holbeach Marsh frontage with embankment levels at a minimum of +7.0m OD. The Wash tidal defences are 12.1km from the site. The River Nene tidal defences are 9.8km to the east of the site. The River Welland tidal defences are 11.7km to the north west of the site. All three defences are the responsibility of the Environment Agency.

There is a long-term strategy for the maintenance of the Environment Agency defences which is reviewed and updated periodically.

There is an extensive local drainage network managed by South Holland IDB. There is an IDB Ordinary Watercourse 400m south of the site. The site and surrounding land are within the Little Holland catchment and drains to the Little South Holland Drain which discharges to the South Holland Main Drain at the Little Holland Pumping Station. The South Holland Main Drain discharges to the tidal River Nene at the Sutton Bridge Tidal Sluice.

During the operation and maintenance of its pumping stations, associated structures and channel systems, the IDB seeks to maintain a general standard capable of providing flood protection to its district. A routine maintenance programme is in place to ensure that the Boards assets are commensurate with the standard of protection that is sought.

Current maintenance standards of the South Holland Internal Drainage Board and the Environment Agency are generally good.

4.2 Sources of Flooding

The potential sources of flooding that have been identified during this assessment are:

- local blockages to the South Holland IDB drainage system;
- an event in the local drainage network greater that exceeds the standard of protection;
- failure of Little Holland Pumping Station; and
- overtopping and breaching of the Wash, River Nene, or River Welland tidal defences.

4.3 Probability of Flooding

The probability of flooding associated with blockages in the South Holland IDB drainage system is low due to the maintenance standards achieved and managed by the IDB.

The standard of drainage provided by South Holland IDB is assessed at 2% annual probability (1 in 50 chance each year), compatible with the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) target level of service for rural drainage and flood defence works. The risk associated with flooding due to events greater than 2% annual probability (1 in 50 chance each year) is lowered due to the South Holland IDB high priority watercourses incorporating a freeboard. This provides storage during events greater than 2% annual probability (1 in 50 chance each year).

The site is within an area benefitting from defences. The flood embankments to the Wash and the River Nene and River Welland tidal defences provide protection during a 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) tidal event. The flood risk from the Wash is lowered further by second line defences and various informal banks constructed during the reclamation of Holbeach Marsh.

4.4 Historic Flooding

During the preparation of this assessment, no evidence was discovered of the site being flooded.

4.5 Climate Change

Climate change is likely to impact the site through increased rainfall intensity and duration affecting the local drainage network and increased flood levels.

The tidal flood level during the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) event inclusive of climate change to 2115 is estimated to be 7.2m AOD. The minimum defence level of the Wash, River Nene, and River Welland embankments is 7.0m AOD. There is a low risk during such an event for wave and wind action to cause overtopping and/or breaching of the tidal defences. However, the second line defences with embankment levels of 6.0m AOD would further reduce the probability of flood water reaching the development site.

In summary the existing systems and defences are appropriate for the design life of the development (i.e., 100 years).

4.6 Residual Risk

There is a residual risk of flooding at the site should a breach occur. The South East Lincolnshire SFRA includes maps demonstrating the residual peak depth in 2116. When climate change allowances are applied to the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) tidal event the site is not at risk from direct overtopping together with breach failure. An extract from this map is shown in Figure 3 below.




Figure 3 – SFRA 2116 Residual Peak Depth Map

The proposed development is single storey and therefore any mitigation measures will need to consider the 0.1% annual probability (1in 1000 chance each year) event in 2115. The South East Lincolnshire SFRA includes 0.1% annual probability (1 in 1000 chance each year) maps for specific locations, but these do not cover the site. Through a comparison of the flood depths in the areas covered by the maps during the 1% and 0.1% annual probability events for 2115 it can be estimated that flood depths are 0.1m higher for the more extreme event. Based upon a review of the flood extent in Figure 3 and the LiDAR data it is not anticipated the site would be at risk during the 0.1% annual probability (1 in 1000 chance each year) event.

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

5.1 Summary of Risks

The probability of this development flooding from localised drainage systems is low. Failure of Little Holland Pumping Station could lead to an increased level of risk at the site.

The probability of the site flooding from any Environment Agency system is less than 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) because of the standards of the existing flood defences. Over time there will be a gradual increase in risk to the site due to climate change. During the design life of the development it is not anticipated that the site would flood.

The SFRA considers the residual risk associated with overtopping and a breach in the defences in 2116. The maps show that the site is not at risk.

Any increase in impermeable area associated with the development will be minimal so there is no potential that flood risk will be increased elsewhere due to surface water.

5.2 Mitigation Measures

The site has a low 'actual risk' of flooding. The recommended mitigation against the remote risk of flooding has considered the maximum height to which floor levels can be raised. The development utilises an existing building and therefore floor raising is constrained by buildings height and structure. Based upon the information available during the preparation of this flood risk assessment it is recommended that the floor level of the dwelling is a minimum of 0.15m above the surrounding ground levels. Furthermore, it is recommended that there is 0.3m of flood resilient construction above finished floor level.

The developer should ensure that the eventual occupier of the dwelling is sufficiently aware of the risk of flooding, and the standard of the existing defences. The Environment Agency provides a Flood Warning Service which includes Flood Warning Codes and uses direct warning methods where the risks and impacts of flooding are high.

In addition to direct and indirect flood warnings, the Environment Agency operates a 24 hour a day Floodline Service providing advice and information on flooding. The occupier of the dwelling should register with the Floodline Direct Warnings Service to receive any future flood warnings.

During an exceedance event it is anticipated that sufficient time would be available to take precautionary actions to limit the potential impact of flooding.

Should there be a failure of Little Holland Pumping Station and conditions were such to put properties and land at risk of flooding, the Internal Drainage Board would take

emergency action to maintain the drainage level of service by utilising temporary pumping equipment.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the assessment, the following conclusions have been reached.

- The proposed development consists of the conversion of the barn to form one single storey dwelling at Mill Bank, Holbeach.

- The proposed development is in Flood Zone 3. The site benefits from defences that provide protection during the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200) tidal event including climate change.

- The site is located within an Internal Drainage Board catchment with a minimum standard of drainage of 2% annual probability (1 in 50 chance each year) which accords with DEFRA guidelines for rural development. The risk of flooding is lowered further due to the South Holland IDB high priority watercourses incorporating a significant freeboard. This provides storage during events greater than 2% annual probability (1 in 50 chance each year).

- It is recommended that the floor level of the dwelling is a minimum of 0.15m above the surrounding ground levels and there is 0.3m of flood resilient construction above finished floor level.

- The development passes the Sequential Test and Exception Test and is therefore suitable for the proposed location.

ATTACHMENT 1

SCHEMATIC PROPOSALS
(Dwg 022106 SK01 D1)


All dimensions to be verified on site by Main Contractor before the start of any shop drawings or work whatsoever either on their own behalf or that of sub-contractors.

Report any discrepancies to the Contract Administrator at once.


This drawing is to be read with all relevant Architect's and Engineer's drawings and other relevant information.

Andrew M Wright Ltd. Registered In England & Wales No. 9399024. Registered office: 22 Church Street, Holbeach, Spalding, Lincs. PE12 7LL


LEGEND: DO NOT SCALE




North Elevation [facing road] (1:100)




East Elevation




South Elevation




West Elevation




Ground Floor Plan (1:100)




Existing




North Elevation [facing road] (1:100)




East Elevation




South Elevation



West Elevation



Ground Floor Plan (1:100)



0 1 2 3 4 5m

Schematic Proposals

Revision:	Date:	Description:	Drw:	Chk:
D1	16.03.21	Draft Issue	AMW	AMW
		-		

ANDREW M WRIGHT LTD
CHARTERED ARCHITECT

26 Joys Bank, Holbeach St Johns, Spalding, Lincs, PE12 8SD
Telephone: 01406 540573 Mobile: 07940 914778

Project:
Barn at Mill Bank
Holbeach
Lincolnshire

Drawing Title:
Schematic proposals (barn conversion)

Client:
Mr P Busby

Drawn:	Checked:	Date:	Scale:	Paper Size:
AMW	AMW	16.03.21	As shown	A3

Job No.:	Dwg No.:	Stage:	Revision:
022106	SK01	-	D1