FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT
FOR TOURISM DEVELOPMENT AT
OLD MAIN ROAD, FLEET HARGATE, SPALDING

FINAL REPORT

ECL1159/PETER HUMPHREY ASSOCIATES

DATE NOVEMBER 2023

ELLINGHAM CONSULTING LTD
Email: tim@ellinghamconsulting.co.uk

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION
- 2.1 Site Location
- 2.2 Existing Site
- 2.3 Proposed Development
- 2.4 Local Development Documents
- 2.5 Available Flood Risk Information

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY
- 3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test
- 3.2 Vulnerability Classification
- 3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK
- 4.1 Local Flood Assets
- 4.2 Sources of Flooding
- 4.3 Probability of Flooding
- 4.4 Historic Flooding
- 4.5 Climate Change
- 4.6 Residual Risks

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION
- 5.1 Summary of Risks
- 5.2 Mitigation Measures

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

ATTACHMENT 1 – Proposed Drawing (Dwg 6837/EX01)

DISCLAIMER
This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk Assessment in support of a planning application for proposed tourism development at Old Main Rd, Fleet Hargate. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any use made of this document other than by the client "Mr S Lee" for the purposes it was originally commissioned and prepared. All comments and opinions made are based upon information available to "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" during the necessary investigative process, and the conclusions and recommendations, could therefore, differ in the event of material subsequently being found erroneous, incomplete, or misleading. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" therefore, accepts no liability should this prove to be the case.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and supporting planning practice guidance (PPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change.

In areas at risk of flooding or for sites of 1 hectare or more, developers are required to undertake a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment to accompany an application for planning permission. This Flood Risk Assessment has been produced on behalf of Mr S Lee in respect of a development that consists of two glamping pods at Old Main Road, Fleet Hargate, Spalding.

A planning application for the proposed development has been submitted by Peter Humphrey Associates.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Location

The site is located at Delph Bank Touring Park, Old Main Road, Fleet Hargate, Spalding, PE12 8LL. The National Grid Reference of the site is 53977/32462.

The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Location Plan (© OpenStreetMap contributors)

2.2 Existing Site

The site is within the Delph Bank, a touring park on the southern side of Old Main Road. The site is an area of the park that consists of grass pitches and a domestic outbuilding together with the access. The site has an existing access road to Old Main Road. The area of development is approximately 0.2 hectares.

Environment Agency LiDAR data shows that the site is flat with ground levels typically between +3.0m OD and +3.1m OD. The carriageway level of Old Main Road at the entrance to the site is +3.6m OD.

The site is in the South Holland Internal Drainage Board (IDB) District. Surface water at the site would naturally drain through soakaway and hence to the riparian drainage network and then to the Board's main drain system. There is a riparian drain on the eastern boundary of the site that discharges to an IDB high priority watercourse 200m south of the site.

The online British Geological Survey maps indicate that the site is likely to be underlain by Ampthill Clay Formation mudstone. The bedrock is shown to be overlain with superficial deposits of clay and silt.

2.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of two glamping pods and the change of use of the land from domestic. The outbuilding at the site will be demolished. Details of the proposed development are provided in Attachment 1.

2.4 Local Development Documents

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011 – 2036, adopted in March 2019, is the Local Plan for the district. Policy 4: Approach to Flood Risk states the requirements for flood risk reduction.

The South East Lincolnshire Level 1 and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was prepared in June 2017.

The Joint Lincolnshire Flood Risk and Drainage Management Strategy has been prepared by Lincolnshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The purpose of the Strategy is to increase the safety of people across Lincolnshire by reducing the number of people at risk of flooding, increasing the resilience of local communities, and reducing the impact of flooding.

2.5 Flood Zones

An extract from the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning is shown in Figure 2. The site is located within Flood Zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.




Figure 2 – Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

The Environment Agency Long Term Flood Risk maps show that:

- the site has a low risk of flooding from rivers or the sea (annual probability between 0.1% and 1%);
- the site has a very low risk of surface water flooding (annual probability less than 0.1%); and
- the site is not within an area at risk of reservoir flooding.

Table 1 shows the level of risk at the site within the South East Lincolnshire SFRA.

SFRA Map	Present Day	2116
Residual Flood Hazard Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area
Residual Peak Depth Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal	The site is outside the area at risk	The site is outside the area at risk

Table 1 – Flood Risk within SFRA Maps

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY

3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test

The NPPF requires the application of a Sequential Test to ensure that new development is in areas with the lowest probability of flooding.

The Exception Test is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available.

3.2 Vulnerability Classification

Table 2 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change categorises different types of uses and development according to their vulnerability to flood risk. The proposed development is covered by the description of sites used for holiday or short-let caravans and camping and is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.

Table 3 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change sets out Flood Risk Vulnerability and flood zone 'compatibility'. The site is in Flood Zone 3 and the development is 'More Vulnerable' therefore it is necessary to complete the Exception Test.

PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change defines that the lifetime of the development in terms of flood risk and coastal change is 100 years.

3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

It is for the Local Planning Authority, using the evidence provided and taking advice from the Environment Agency as appropriate, to consider whether an application passes the Sequential Test.

Large parts of the South Holland district between the River Welland and River Nene lie within Flood Zone 3. As such, opportunities to undertake the development at an alternative site with a lower flood risk are limited.

The SFRA states that as it is necessary to use the refined flood risk information (hazard and depth maps) to assist with the application of the sequential test. The refined flood risk information contained within the SFRA demonstrates the site is not at risk during the present day 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event. The site therefore has a low probability of flooding and is considered to pass the Sequential Test.

The Exception Test requires consideration of the wider sustainability benefits of a development and that the development would be safe and residual risks managed.

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan recognises that tourism plays a significant role in the local economy. Tourist facilities can offer benefits to local communities directly through employment, and indirectly, such as by supporting local food

producers, local shops, pubs, and restaurants. The proposed change of use will therefore provide a wider sustainability benefit.

Section 5 of this Flood Risk Assessment describes the flood mitigation measures and the management of the residual risks, demonstrating that this development will be safe and not increase flood risk elsewhere. The development is considered to pass the Exception Test.

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK

4.1 Local Flood Assets

The South Holland IDB district is protected by the Wash tidal defences along the Holbeach Marsh frontage with embankment levels at a minimum of +7.0m OD. The Wash tidal defences are 8.6km from the site. The River Nene tidal defences are 9.1km to the east of the site. The River Welland tidal defences are 10.7km to the north west of the site. All three defences are the responsibility of the Environment Agency.

There is a long-term strategy for the maintenance of the Environment Agency defences which is reviewed and updated periodically.

There is an extensive local drainage network managed by South Holland IDB. There is an IDB High Priority Watercourse 200m south of the site. The site and the surrounding land are within the Lutton Leam catchment and which discharges to the tidal River Nene at Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice.

During the operation and maintenance of its pumping stations, associated structures and channel systems, the IDB seeks to maintain a general standard capable of providing flood protection to its district. A routine maintenance programme is in place to ensure that the Boards assets are commensurate with the standard of protection that is sought.

Current maintenance standards of the South Holland Internal Drainage Board and the Environment Agency are generally good.

4.2 Sources of Flooding

A summary of the sources of flooding is provided in Table 2.

Source of Flooding	Level of Risk
Drainage Network Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3.
Surface Water Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the risk is very low.
Fluvial Flooding	The risk is not at risk of fluvial flooding.
Tidal Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6.
Reservoir Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the site is not at risk of flooding from reservoirs.
Groundwater Flooding	There is no evidence to suggest the site is at risk of groundwater flooding.

Table 2 – Sources of Flooding

4.3 Probability of Flooding

The probability of flooding associated with blockages in the South Holland IDB drainage system is low due to the maintenance standards achieved and managed by the IDB. Failure of Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice could lead to an increased level of risk in the IDB catchment.

Through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 years and 1 in 100 years, respectively. The risk associated with flood events that exceed the standard of protection provided is lowered due to the South Holland IDB main drains incorporating freeboard. This freeboard provides storage during the exceedance events.

The site is within an area benefitting from defences. The flood embankments to the Wash and the River Nene and River Welland tidal defences provide protection during a 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) tidal event. The flood risk from the Wash is lowered further by second line defences and various informal banks constructed during the reclamation of Holbeach Marsh.

4.4 Historic Flooding

During the preparation of this assessment, no evidence was discovered of the site being flooded.

4.5 Climate Change

Climate change is likely to impact the site through increased rainfall intensity and duration affecting the local drainage network and increased flood levels.

The tidal flood level during the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) event inclusive of climate change to 2115 is estimated to be 7.2m AOD. The minimum defence level of the Wash, River Nene, and River Welland embankments is 7.0m AOD. There is a low risk during such an event for wave and wind action to cause overtopping and/or breaching of the tidal defences. However, the second line defences with embankment levels of 6.0m AOD would further reduce the probability of flood water reaching the development site.

In summary the existing systems and defences are appropriate for the design life of the development (i.e., 100 years).

4.6 Residual Risk

There is a residual risk of flooding at the site should a breach occur. The South East Lincolnshire SFRA includes maps demonstrating the residual peak depth in 2115.

The peak flood depth in the vicinity of the site during the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) event is shown in Figure 3 below. The site is outside the area at risk during a breach.




Figure 3 – 0.5% (1 in 200) 2115 Residual Peak Depth Map

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

5.1 Summary of Risks

The probability of this development flooding from localised drainage systems is low. Failure of Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice could lead to an increased level of risk at the site.

The probability of the site flooding from any Environment Agency system is less than 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) because of the standards of the existing flood defences. Over time there will be a gradual increase in risk to the site due to climate change. During the design life of the development it is not anticipated that the site would flood.

The SFRA considers the residual risk associated with overtopping and a breach in the defences in 2115. During the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) plus climate change event the site is outside the area at risk.

The proposed arrangement increases the impermeable area so there will be an increased volume of surface water. This has the potential to increase flood risk.

5.2 Mitigation Measures

The site has a low 'actual risk' of flooding. Based upon the information available during the preparation of this flood risk assessment it is recommended that the floor level of the glamping pods is 0.3m above surrounding ground level.

The developer should ensure that the operator of the site is sufficiently aware of the risk of flooding, and the standard of the existing defences. The Environment Agency operates a flood warning system for properties at risk of flooding to enable householders to protect life or take actions to manage the effect of flooding on property. Floodline Warnings Service is a national system run by the Environment Agency for broadcasting flooding warnings. The operator of the site should register to receive flood warnings.

During an exceedance event it is anticipated that sufficient time would be available to take precautionary actions to limit the potential impact of flooding.

Should there be a failure of Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice and conditions were such to put properties and land at risk of flooding, the Internal Drainage Board would take emergency action to maintain the drainage level of service by using temporary pumping equipment.

It is recommended that surface water run-off is managed so that stormwater from the development will not increase the flood risk elsewhere.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the assessment, the following conclusions have been reached.

- The proposed development consists of two glamping pods and the change of use of the land from domestic at Delph Bank Touring Park, Old Main Road, Fleet Hargate.

- The site is located within an Internal Drainage Board catchment and through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 and 1 in 100 years, respectively.


- The proposed development is in Flood Zone 3. The site benefits from defences that provide protection during the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200) tidal event including climate change.

- It is recommended that the floor level of the glamping pods is 0.3m above the surrounding ground levels and the operators of the site receive flood warnings.

- The development passes the Sequential Test and Exception Test and is therefore suitable for the proposed location.

ATTACHMENT 1

PROPOSED DRAWING
(DWG 6837/EX01)




LOCATION PLAN 1:1250

0m 25 50 75 100 125m




EXISTING SITE PLAN 1:500

0m 10 20 30 40 50m




PROPOSED SITE PLAN 1:500

0m 10 20 30 40 50m



PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN 1:50

0m 1 2 3 4 5m



PROPOSED ELEVATIONS 1:100

0m 2 4 6 8 10m

N

REVISIONS

PETER HUMPHREY
ASSOCIATES

ADDRESS: 2 CHAPEL ROAD, WISBECH, CAMBS, PE13 1RG.

TELEPHONE: 01945 466966
E-MAIL: info@peterhumphrey.co.uk
WEB: www.peterhumphrey.co.uk

CLIENT

STEWART LEE

PROJECT

PROPOSED SITING OF 2x GLAMPING PODS AND CONSTUCTION OF ACCESS

SITE

DELPH BANK TOURING PARK
OLD MAIN ROAD
SPALDING
LINCOLNSHIRE
PE12 8LL

DRAWING

PROPOSED DRAWINGS

JOB NO.	PAPER SIZE	DATE
6837/EX01	A1	OCT 2023

Notes:
This drawing is the permission of Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd. and may not be reissued, loaned or copied in whole or part without written consent.

All dimensions shown on the drawing are in millimeters unless stated otherwise. If the drawing is received electronically (PDF) it is the recipient's responsibility to ensure it is printed to the correct paper size. All dimensions to be checked on site prior to commencing work and any discrepancies to be highlighted immediately.

The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015:
Peter Humphrey Associates' form of appointment with the client confirms whether the agent is appointed as 'Designer' or 'Principal Designer' under these regulations. Nevertheless, the design phase has been carried out with due consideration for the safety during construction, occupation and maintenance of the finished project. No extraordinary hazards or risks were identified outside of the routine construction operations that would not already been apparent to a competent contractor.