FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT
FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AT
HAYCROFT LANE, HOLBEACH

FINAL REPORT

ECL1176/GR MERCHANT LTD

DATE DECEMBER 2023

ELLINGHAM CONSULTING LTD
Email: tim@ellinghamconsulting.co.uk

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION
- 2.1 Site Location
- 2.2 Existing Site
- 2.3 Proposed Development
- 2.4 Local Development Documents
- 2.5 Available Flood Risk Information

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY
- 3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test
- 3.2 Vulnerability Classification
- 3.3 Application of the Sequential Test

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK
- 4.1 Local Flood Assets
- 4.2 Sources of Flooding
- 4.3 Probability of Flooding
- 4.4 Historic Flooding
- 4.5 Climate Change
- 4.6 Residual Risks

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION
- 5.1 Summary of Risks
- 5.2 Mitigation Measures

6.0 CONCLUSION

ATTACHMENT 1 – Existing & Proposed Site Plan, Location Plan (Dwg 4198-23 01B)

DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk Assessment in support of a planning application for proposed agricultural development at Haycroft Lane, Holbeach. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any use made of this document other than by the client "GR Merchant" for the purposes it was originally commissioned and prepared. All comments and opinions made are based upon information available to "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" during the necessary investigative process, and the conclusions and recommendations, could therefore, differ in the event of material subsequently being found erroneous, incomplete, or misleading. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" therefore, accepts no liability should this prove to be the case.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and supporting planning practice guidance (PPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change.

In areas at risk of flooding or for sites of 1 hectare or more, developers are required to undertake a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment to accompany an application for planning permission. This Flood Risk Assessment has been produced on behalf of GR Merchant Ltd in respect of a development that consists of a stable block, barn and menage at Haycroft Lane, Holbeach.

A planning application for the proposed development is to be submitted by GR Merchant Ltd.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Location

The site is located at The Coach House, Haycroft Lane, Holbeach, Lincolnshire, PE12 8LB. The National Grid Reference of the site is 53809/32474.

The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Location Plan (© OpenStreetMap contributors)

2.2 Existing Site

The site is on the north eastern side of Haycroft Lane. The site consists of agricultural land. The northern boundary of the site is formed by Fleet Road. The area of development is approximately 2.3 hectares.

Environment Agency LiDAR data shows that the site is flat with ground levels between +2.8m OD and +3.1m OD.

The site is in the South Holland Internal Drainage Board (IDB) District. Surface water at the site drains through the network of local drains and hence to the IDB drain system. There is an IDB watercourse on the southern boundary of the site.

The online British Geological Survey maps indicate that the site is likely to be underlain by Ampthill Clay Formation - Mudstone. The bedrock is shown to be overlain with superficial deposits of clay and silt.

2.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of a stable block, barn and menage. Details of the proposed development are provided in Attachment 1.

2.4 Local Development Documents

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011 – 2036, adopted in March 2019, is the Local Plan for the district. Policy 4: Approach to Flood Risk states the requirements for flood risk reduction.

The South East Lincolnshire Level 1 and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was prepared in June 2017.

The Joint Lincolnshire Flood Risk and Drainage Management Strategy has been prepared by Lincolnshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The purpose of the Strategy is to increase the safety of people across Lincolnshire by reducing the number of people at risk of flooding, increasing the resilience of local communities, and reducing the impact of flooding.

2.5 Flood Zones

An extract from the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning is shown in Figure 2. The site is located within Flood Zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.




Figure 2 – Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

The Environment Agency Long Term Flood Risk maps show that:

- the site has a low risk of flooding from rivers or the sea (annual probability between 0.1% and 1%);
- the site has a very low risk of surface water flooding (annual probability less than 0.1%); and
- the site is not within an area at risk of reservoir flooding.

Table 1 shows the level of risk at the site within the South East Lincolnshire SFRA.

SFRA Map	Present Day	2116
Residual Flood Hazard Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area	The site is in the 'Danger for Some' area
Residual Peak Depth Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal	The site is outside the area at risk of flooding.	The site is at risk with a maximum depth between 0.25m and 0.5m

Table 1 – Flood Risk within SFRA Maps

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY

3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test

The NPPF requires the application of a Sequential Test to ensure that new development is in areas with the lowest probability of flooding.

The Exception Test is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available.

3.2 Vulnerability Classification

Table 2 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change categorises different types of uses and development according to their vulnerability to flood risk. The proposed development is covered by the description of agricultural buildings and is classified as 'Less Vulnerable'.

Table 3 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change sets out Flood Risk Vulnerability and flood zone 'compatibility'. The site is in Flood Zone 3 and the development is 'Less Vulnerable' therefore it is not necessary to complete the Exception Test.

PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change defines that the lifetime of the development in terms of flood risk and coastal change is 100 years.

3.3 Application of the Sequential Test and Exception Test

It is for the Local Planning Authority, using the evidence provided and taking advice from the Environment Agency as appropriate, to consider whether an application passes the Sequential Test.

Large parts of the South Holland district between the River Welland and River Nene lie within Flood Zone 3. As such, opportunities to undertake the development at an alternative site with a lower flood risk are limited. At this location it is not possible to position the development on higher ground within the proposed site.

The SFRA states that as it is necessary to use the refined flood risk information (hazard and depth maps) to assist with the application of the sequential test. The refined flood risk information contained within the SFRA demonstrates the site is not at risk during the present day 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event. The site therefore has a low probability of flooding and is considered to pass the Sequential Test.

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK

4.1 Local Flood Assets

The South Holland IDB district is protected by the Wash tidal defences along the Holbeach Marsh frontage with embankment levels at a minimum of +7.0m OD. The Wash tidal defences are approximately 10km from the site. The River Nene tidal defences are 10.6km to the east of the site. The River Welland tidal defences are approximately 9.8km to the north west of the site. All three defences are the responsibility of the Environment Agency.

There is a long-term strategy for the maintenance of the Environment Agency defences which is reviewed and updated periodically.

There is an extensive local drainage network managed by South Holland IDB. There is an IDB High Priority Watercourse on the southern boundary of the site. The site and the surrounding land are within the Lutton Leam catchment which discharges to the tidal River Nene at Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice.

During the operation and maintenance of its pumping stations, associated structures, and channel systems, the IDB seeks to maintain a general standard capable of providing flood protection to its district. A routine maintenance programme is in place to ensure that the Boards assets are commensurate with the standard of protection that is sought.

Current maintenance standards of the South Holland Internal Drainage Board and the Environment Agency are generally good.

4.2 Sources of Flooding

A summary of the sources of flooding is provided in Table 2.

Source of Flooding	Level of Risk
Drainage Network Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3.
Surface Water Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the risk is very low.
Fluvial Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3 and 4.5.
Tidal Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6.
Reservoir Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the site is not at risk of flooding from reservoirs.
Groundwater Flooding	There is no evidence to suggest the site is at risk of groundwater flooding.

Table 2 – Sources of Flooding

4.3 Probability of Flooding

The probability of flooding associated with blockages in the South Holland IDB drainage system is low due to the maintenance standards achieved and managed by the IDB. Failure of Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice would lead to an increased level of flood risk within the IDB catchment.

Through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 years and 1 in 100 years, respectively. The risk associated with flood events that exceed the standard of protection provided is lowered due to the South Holland IDB main drains incorporating freeboard. This freeboard provides storage during the exceedance events.

The site is within an area benefitting from defences. The flood embankments to the Wash and the River Nene and River Welland tidal defences provide protection during a 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) event. The flood risk from the Wash is lowered further by second line defences and various informal banks constructed during the reclamation of Holbeach Marsh.

4.4 Historic Flooding

During the preparation of this assessment, no evidence was discovered of the site being flooded. Previous historic rainfall events of 1968 and 1978, estimated to be greater than 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year), caused no flooding to any residential properties.

4.5 Climate Change

Climate change is likely to impact the site through increased rainfall intensity and duration affecting the local drainage network and increased tide levels.

The tidal flood level during the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) event inclusive of climate change to 2115 is estimated to be 7.2m AOD. The minimum defence level of the Wash, River Nene, and River Welland embankments is 7.0m AOD. During such an event it is likely that wave and wind action would cause overtopping of the tidal defences. However, the second line defences with embankment levels of 6.0m AOD would further reduce the probability of flood water reaching the development site.

In summary the site is not at risk for the design life of the development (i.e., 100 years).

4.6 Residual Risk

The South East Lincolnshire SFRA includes maps demonstrating the impact of climate change in 2116. The Residual Peak Depth maps within the SFRA indicate the

maximum flood depths associated with a breach of the tidal defences. When climate change allowances are applied to the combination of a 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and a 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) tidal event the peak depth at the site from direct overtopping together with breach failure is 0.25m-0.5m. An extract from this map is shown in Figure 3 below.




Figure 3 – SFRA 2116 Residual Peak Depth Map

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

5.1 Summary of Risks

The probability of this development flooding from localised drainage systems is low. Failure of Lutton Leam Tidal Sluice could lead to an increased level of risk at the site.

The probability of the site flooding from any Environment Agency system is less than 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) because of the standards of the existing flood defences. Over time there will be a gradual increase in risk to the site due to climate change. During the design life of the development, it is not anticipated that the site would flood from overtopping of the defences.

The SFRA considers the residual risk associated with overtopping and a breach in the defences in 2116. During the 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) plus climate change event the maximum flood depth at the site is between 0.25m and 0.5m.

The proposed arrangement increases the impermeable area and therefore there will be an increased volume of surface water that has the potential to increase flood risk.

5.2 Mitigation Measures

The site has a low 'actual risk' of flooding. Considering the development is a stable block, barn and menage there are no specific mitigation measures proposed associated with the design.

The developer should ensure that the eventual user of the buildings is sufficiently aware of the risk of flooding, and the standard of the existing defences. The Environment Agency operates a flood warning system for properties at risk of flooding to enable householders to protect life or take actions to manage the effect of flooding on property. Floodline Warnings Service is a national system run by the Environment Agency for broadcasting flooding warnings. The user of the buildings should register to receive flood warnings.

During an extreme event it is anticipated that sufficient time would be available to take precautionary actions to limit the potential impact of flooding.

Should there be a failure of Lutton Leam Outfall Sluice and conditions were such to put properties and land at risk of flooding, the Internal Drainage Board would take emergency action to maintain the drainage level of service by using temporary pumping equipment.

It is recommended that surface water run-off is managed so that stormwater from the development will not increase the flood risk elsewhere.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the assessment, the following conclusions have been reached.

- The proposed development consists of a stable block, barn and menage at The Coach House, Haycroft Lane, Holbeach.

- The site is located within an Internal Drainage Board catchment and through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 and 1 in 100 years, respectively.

- The proposed development is in Flood Zone 3. The site benefits from defences on the Wash, River Nene, and River Welland that provide protection during the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200) tidal event including climate change.

- The site is at risk from a breach in the defence during a tidal event in 2115 with a flood depth up to 0.5m.

- There are no specific recommendations associated with the development to reduce the risk of flooding to the development.


- The development passes the Sequential Test and is therefore suitable for the proposed location.

ATTACHMENT 1


EXISTING AND PROPOED SITE PLAN, LOCATION PLAN (DWG 4198-23-01B)



SITE PLAN - EXISTING 1:1000



PART SITE PLAN - PROPOSED 1:250



Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2023. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

LOCATION PLAN 1:1250

ref:	revision	date
B	BOUNDARIES AMENDED - PLANNING	DEC 2023
A	CLIENT AMENDMENTS	NOV 2023

G. R. MERCHANT LTD.

ARCHITECTURAL CONSULTANTS

4 Wrights Mews
12A Park Road, Holbeach,
Spalding, Lincs. PE12 7EE
Tel: 01406 490800
E-Mail: office@grmerchantltd.com
Website: www.grmerchantltd.com

Project
PROPOSED STABLES, BARN & MENAGE
'THE COACH HOUSE' HAYCROFT LANE
FLEET
SPALDING, LINCS. PE12 8LB

Client
MRS A WRIGHT

Drawing
SITE PLAN - EXISTING
SITE PLAN - PROPOSED
LOCATION PLAN

Job Ref.	Drawing No.
4198-23	01B
Date	**Drawn**
OCT 2023	SLB

Scales
1:50 & 1:100 (Unless Otherwise Stated)

DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING

This drawing is copyright and may not be altered, traced, photographed or used for any other purpose other than that for which it is issued. The General Contractor is to check all dimensions on site and report any discrepancies to G. R. Merchant Ltd. All details shown on this drawing including foundations are based upon typical site conditions related to the area. No responsibility can be accepted for abnormal conditions which may be discovered during construction unless reported to the G. R. Merchant Ltd so that design amendments may be considered, Site soil surveys are recommended in respect of foundations to establish specific site conditions before foundation work is undertaken, This drawing is limited in the amount of information contained and does not purport to contain a full specification of the works, It is solely for the purpose of obtaining Planning / Building Regulation approval. This drawing must not be used as a contract document alone, The works will not be supervised or inspected on site by G R Merchant Ltd or any representative thereof, It is the client or their appointed contractor(s) responsibility to control workmanship, substitution of materials, adherence to specification, variations to contract etc,All materials to be new unless otherwise stated and all components and materials etc, to be used fitted and installed etc, in strict accordance with manufacturers instruction and relevant code of practice, Materials shall conform to appropriate British standard specification or BBA certificate or European code equivalent, The Employer / Client shall ensure that the selected contractor is conversant with and adopts all measures necessary to achieve compliance with Health and Safety legislation for Building sites and Work places, The Employer / Client is advised that should the works need to comply with the Construction Design and Management Regulations an independent Principal Designer will be required, The works shall comprise of all that is shown on the drawing and details, Work that may not specifically be shown but may be reasonably inferred as necessary to carry out the works shall be deemed to be included, It is the plot / land owners responsibility to be aware of the Party Wall etc, Act 1996 when building in close proximity to adjoining neighbours boundaries.