




STORAGE YARD FRONT ELEVATION



STORAGE YARD PART SIDE ELEVATION




REAR ELEVATION



SIDE ELEVATION



GROUND FLOOR PLAN



PART SITE PLAN
1:500

Clark's Hill

[illegible]

G. R. MERCHANT LTD.
I, ENG AMICE FMO

**ARCHITECTURAL, STRUCTURAL
AND LAND DRAINAGE
CONSULTANTS**
4 Wrights Mews
12A Park Road, Holbeach,
Spalding, Lincs. PE12 7EE
Tel 01 406 490800
Fax 01 406 425744
E-Mail: gr.merchant@btconnect.com

PROPOSED STORE EXTENSION
CLARKSHILL FARM
CLARKS HILL
SPALDING, Lincs. PE12 0DQ

ARCHIE WELLS LTD

FLOOR PLANS - PROPOSED
ELEVATIONS - PROPOSED
SITE PLAN - PROPOSED

Job Ref:	Drawing No:
3656-20	023
Date:	Drawn:
APR 2020	SLP

1:200 (unless Otherwise Stated)

The Ontario Court of Appeal in *Isaacs* held the following contract to be a sale of future goods, and not a contract for the sale of goods. The contract was for the purchase of 100,000 bushels of wheat, to be delivered by the seller to the buyer in 1981. The contract provided that the wheat was to be delivered to the buyer in 1981, and the buyer was to pay for the wheat in 1981. The court held that the contract was a sale of future goods, and not a contract for the sale of goods, because the wheat was not ascertained at the time the contract was made. The court also held that the contract was not a contract for the sale of goods, because the wheat was not ascertained at the time the contract was made. The court's decision in *Isaacs* is a landmark decision in the law of sales, and it has been followed by many other courts. The court's decision in *Isaacs* is also a landmark decision in the law of contracts, and it has been followed by many other courts. The court's decision in *Isaacs* is a landmark decision in the law of sales, and it has been followed by many other courts. The court's decision in *Isaacs* is also a landmark decision in the law of contracts, and it has been followed by many other courts.