DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No:	**H07-0927-23**	**Applicant:**	**Mr A Dubber**
Proposal:	Proposed timber framed double garage		
Location:	Little Birch Barn Station Road Gedney Hill		
Terminal Date:	29th January 2024		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01	Spatial Strategy
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
33	Delivering a More Sustainable Transport Network
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2023

Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 12 - Achieving well-designed and beautiful places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Description of Proposal

The proposal seeks householder planning permission for the erection of a detached garage at Little Birch Barn, Station Road. The garage would measure 7950mm (width) by 6000mm (depth) with a height of 3770mm. The garage would feature an irregular dual pitched roof. The garage would feature timber cladding and dark slate roof tiles. Timber barn doors are proposed.

Site Description

The site is within the settlement boundaries of Gedney Hills, as outlined within the South-East Lincolnshire Local Plan, 2019. The dwelling is towards the south of the settlement, along Station Road.

Little Birch Barn is a conversion of a 19th century barn. The dwelling is constructed of red brick, with a dark slate roof. The conversion has incorporated several modern additions, such as a wide glass frontage.

Relevant History

H07-1118-16 - Condition Compliance. Details of external materials, roof lights, boundary treatment, mitigation measures for bats and archaeological details (Conditions 3, 8, 11, 14, 17 and 18 of H07-0210-16). Approved 05/12/16.

H07-0210-16 - Full. Proposed conversion of barns into residential accommodation - re-submission of H07-0389-15 (part retrospective). Approved 05/05/16.

H07-0389-15 - Full. Proposed conversion of barns into residential accommodation. Approved 06/07/15.

H07-0018-12 - Full. Proposed conversion of barns into residential accommodation (renewal of H07-1067-08). Approved 25/04/12.

H07-1067-08 - Full. Proposed conversion of barns into residential accommodation. Approved 12/01/09.

Consultation Responses

The responses received from consultees during the initial consultation exercises, which can be viewed in their entirety through the South Holland website, can be summarised as follows:

Public Representations

This application has been advertised in accordance with the Development Procedure Order and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement. In this instance, no letters of representation have been received.

Key Planning Considerations

Evaluation

Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended by the 2004 Act, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The adopted South-East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036, adopted March 2019 (SELLP), is the development plan for the district, and is the basis for decision making in South Holland. The relevant development plan policies are detailed within the report above.

The policies and provisions set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, 2023 (NPPF) are also a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, alongside adopted Supplementary Planning Documents.

Principle of Development

Policy 1 of the SELLP sets out the settlement hierarchy in respect of delivering sustainable development, which meets the social and economic needs of the area whilst protecting and enhancing the environment; in order to provide enough choice of land for housing to satisfy local need, whilst making more sustainable use of land, and to minimise the loss of high-quality agricultural plots by developing in sustainable locations and at appropriate densities.

Policy 1 expresses this sustainable hierarchy of settlements, ranking the settlements deemed to be most sustainable in descending order. The most sustainable locations for development are situated within the 'Sub-Regional Centres', followed by 'Main Service Centres'. Lower down the hierarchy are areas of limited development opportunity including Minor Service Centres, with areas of development constraint comprising 'Other Service Centres and Settlements'. The countryside is at the bottom of the settlement hierarchy and represents the least sustainable location.

The site is within the settlement of Gedney Hill which is classed as a minor service centre within Policy 1. As such development will be permitted that supports Gedney Hill's role as a service centre, helps sustain existing facilities or helps meet the service needs of other local communities.

As the site is within Gedney Hill development within this location is considered appropriate. The proposal be entirely within the residential curtilage of Little Birch Barn and would not be tantamount to the creation of a new dwelling.

Therefore, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policy 1 of the SELLP. The principle of development on this site is considered acceptable subject to other material considerations being met.

Layout, Design, Scale and Consideration of the Character of the Area

Section 12 of the NPPF, "Achieving well-designed and beautiful places", states that " creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve" and as such, it is generally accepted that good design plays a key role towards sustainable development.

Paragraph 135, contained within Section 12 of the NPPF, states that new development should function well and add to the overall quality of the area (including beyond the short term) and should be visually attractive as a result of good architecture and appropriate landscaping. This goes on to establish that it is important that new development should be of the highest quality, to enhance and reinforce good design characteristics, and that decisions must have regard towards the impact that the proposed development would have on local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting such as topography, street patterns, building lines, boundary treatment and through scale and massing. Developments create places that are safe, inclusive, and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, among other considerations.

Likewise, Policy 2 of the SELLP outlines sustainable development considerations for proposals; providing a framework for an operational policy to be used in assessing the sustainable development attributes of all development proposals. Furthermore, Policy 3 of the SELLP requires development to comprise good design; identifying issues that should be considered when preparing schemes so that development sits comfortably with, and adds positively to, its historically designated or undesignated townscape or landscape surroundings.

These policies accord with the provisions of the NPPF and require that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable. Proposals for new development would therefore require the aforementioned considerations to be adequately assessed and designed, including the siting, design, and scale to be respectful of surrounding development and ensure that the character of the area is not compromised.

The proposed scale of the development is well proportioned to the host. The relatively low height ensures that the garage would appear visually subservient. The use of a design which pays homage to the historic use of the site as a barn conforms to Paragraph 56 of the National Design Guide which states that well designed places should "draw upon the architectural precedents that are prevalent in the local area". Likewise, Paragraph 48 states that well designed places are influenced by "the history and heritage of the site". It is considered that the proposal adheres to both paragraphs, and as such, displays the principles of good design.

The proposed use of timber cladding would complement the host building well, providing a degree of contrast will still maintaining the historic character of the property. Likewise, the use of wooden barn doors pays homage to the historic use of the site. These materials are in line with Paragraph 57 of the National Design Guide, which states that materials should "contribute to visual appeal and local distinctiveness" and that "in well-designed buildings, the materials and details suit the design

concept." It is considered that the materials adhere to both points and therefore are appropriate.

Taking account of the design, scale, and nature of the development, as detailed above, the proposal is considered to be acceptable. The proposal would not cause an adverse impact to the character or appearance of the area and would therefore be in accordance with Policies 2 and 3 of the SELLP and Section 12 of the NPPF.

Impacts Upon Resident Amenity

Paragraph 135 of the NPPF states that development should create places that are safe, inclusive, and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users.

Policies 2 and 3 of SELLP sets out that residential amenity and the relationship to existing development and land uses is a main consideration when making planning decisions.

The garage would be located approximately 10 metres from the nearest residential curtilage and over 25 metres from the nearest dwelling. At this distance, and with the 2-metre-high border fence present, the potential for overshadowing is negligible. No windows would be present, ensuring no overlooking occurs.

As detailed above, the scale and design of the proposal is considered to have no significant or unacceptable impact on the residential amenities of the occupiers of adjacent properties or land users, when also taking account of the conditions recommended. As such, the proposal is considered to accord with the Section 12 of the NPPF and Policies 2 and 3 of the Local Plan in terms of impact upon residential amenity.

Highway Safety and Parking

Section 9 of the NPPF is titled 'Promoting sustainable transport'. Within this, Paragraph 115 advises that "development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe".

In respect of highway matters, Policy 2 details that proposals requiring planning permission for development will be permitted provided that sustainable development considerations are met, specifically in relation to access and vehicle generation. Policy 3 details that development proposals will demonstrate how accessibility by a choice of travel modes including the provision of public transport, public rights of way and cycle ways will be secured, where they are relevant to the proposal. Policy 33 further reinforces the need for developments to be accessible via sustainable modes of transport.

Policy 36 of the SELLP, in conjunction with Appendix 6, sets out minimum vehicle parking standards and requires at least two spaces for dwellings of up to three bedrooms and three spaces for dwellings with four or more bedrooms.

The proposal would increase the number of parking spaces available, without causing any undue harm on the highway network. The works would not impact upon vehicle's ability to turn.

The proposal would therefore be acceptable and would not have an unacceptable adverse impact on highway safety in accordance with Policies 2, 3, 33 and 36 of the SELLP, as well as Section 9 of the NPPF.

Planning Balance

As detailed above, Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended by the 2004 Act, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The proposal represents appropriate development within the defined settlement boundary. The development hereby proposed does not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality, or amenity of nearby residents, and provides adequate parking, whilst conforming with the SELLP and the provisions of the NPPF when viewed as a whole.

Conclusion

Taking these factors into consideration, the proposal is considered to comply with Policies 1, 2, 3, 33, and 36 of the SELLP, as well as Sections 9 and 12 of the NPPF. There are no significant factors in this case that would outweigh the benefits of the proposal; therefore, the planning balance is in favour of the proposal.

Based on the assessment detailed above, it is recommended that the proposal should be approved under Delegated Authority.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.