



Application no: H09-0385-10

Applicant: Mr Sisin
8 St Mary Street
Ely
Cambs
CB7 4ES

Agent: Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd
124 London Road
Kings Lynn
Norfolk
PE30 5ES

FILE COPY

Description: Erection of pair of flats

Location: Rear of 56 High Street
Holbeach
Spalding

Amendment: Revised boundary treatment (Dwg no. KL/10652/01C)

Letter Sent: 14th June 2010

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above and confirm that the changes are able to be incorporated in the application which will now **only be considered in its amended form**.

Your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully



Head of Planning & Development

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET - LIVE APPLICATION

Admin to Complete

Date Received KP 9-6 Registered ☒

SAS
10-6-10

Case Officer to Complete

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No

If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin if in doubt)
If No, but amendment requested, the following must be completed if the amendment is to be accepted

Certified That The Development Objectives Outweigh The Financial Objectives

Senior Officer's Signature: Date:

Re-Consults as a result of this amendment

Partial ☐ Parish Council ☒ Ward Member ☒ None ☒ All ☒
Press ☐ Site Notice ☐

Residents/Other ☒ - Name/Address:

Case Officer's Decision:

REJECTED - New Application Required ☐

Reason

Do you want this reason to be added to the Rejection Notice? YES/NO

ACCEPTED - Superseded Details Removed ☒

Note

Do you want this note to be added to the Acceptance Notice? YES/NO

Revised Description (if applicable)

Case Officers Signature MG Date 11/6

Admin To Complete

Agreed Term Date Updated ☒ Re-Consultations Carried Out (if necessary) ☒

Public Register Updated ☒ Acceptance/Rejection Letter Issued ☒
(Plus Library if Re-Consulting Residents)

14/6

Gedney, David

SHDC
Planning & Development

- 8 JUN 2010

From: James Lewis [JamesL@peterhumphrey.co.uk]
Sent: 08 June 2010 10:40
To: Gedney, David
Subject: RE: H09-0385-10. Erection of pair of flats, R/o 56 High St, Holbeach - Mr Sisin
Attachments: KL-10652-01C (A1).pdf

Ref: 0385-10



KL-10652-01C
(A1).pdf (2 MB)

David

AMENDED PLAN

Ref. A2

Please find attached a revised copy of the drawing for the above.

As you can see, the boundary to the South West is currently covered in almost impenetrable planting and is part of a right of way that the client has from the access to the neighbouring property.

Due to this access being maintained, we therefore intend to keep the planting to that side however we have shown the existing rear fence to be extended to the planting and thus isolate the site somewhat from the adjacent neighbour.

I hope this is of assistance and the application can proceed however should you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

James

-----Original Message-----

From: Gedney, David [mailto:DGedney@sholland.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 June 2010 16:01
To: James Lewis
Subject: H09-0385-10. Erection of pair of flats, R/o 56 High St, Holbeach - Mr Sisin

James,

Thank you for the revised plans relating to the above.

We still need clarification in respect of boundary treatment along the rear and side boundaries, to protect the amenity of nearby residents. Can you please indicate on the drawings where and how the boundaries would be screened and whether there would be any gates/openings. It is noted that the rear boundaries are presently screened by fencing, which I assume would be retained. The southern boundary is more sensitive because it is open to public view. Walling would therefore be appropriate along this boundary.

I hope the above is of assistance, but must stress that the views expressed are purely my professional opinion and offered without prejudice to any subsequent decision by this Authority.

Regards.

David Gedney.

Development Control Officer,
South Holland District Council,
Council Offices,
Priory Rd,
Spalding.
PE11 2XE

22

Application Amendments

Application: H09-0385-10

Location: Rear of 56 High Street

Holbeach

Spalding

Position: [] House Number: []

Amendment: 2

Date: 08-06-2010

Type: CUR

Current Application

Sub-Type:

[]

[]

Description: Revised boundary treatment (Dwg no. KL/10652/01C)

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

Address: A60

Postcode: []

Name: Peter Humphrey Associates

Address: 80 Old Market

Wisbech

Cambs

[]

[]

Project: PE13 1NB

[]

Ref: 01945 466966

Ref: 01945 466433

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

Please check description OK!

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For Office Use only

Application No: H09-0385-10A2

Date Registered:

08 JUN 2010

Case Officer:

David Gedney

S O U T H



(Please complete using block capitals and black ink)

APPLICANT NAME AND ADDRESS mr Sisin FILE COPY Telephone:	AGENT NAME AND ADDRESS Peter Humphrey Telephone:
SITE ADDRESS DETAILS Rear of 56 High Street, Holbeach	
CURRENT APPLICATION NO: This amendment cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated.	H09-0385-10
Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if applicable)	

I attach four copies of this form and necessary plans and request that the changes described below can be accepted as an amendment. As the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date (if applicable).

Signed :

Date:

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT OF CURRENT APPLICATION THAT THIS AMENDMENT RELATES TO	Erection of pair of flats	
State details of amendments proposed. (Give a full detailed list of the changes; and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered)	Revised boundary treatment	
State drawing numbers of the current submission		
Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)	YES/NO	
Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers		
Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? (If so, state Building Control Surveyor dealing with application)	YES/NO	