







SIDE ELEVATION




REAR ELEVATION




SIDE ELEVATION




FRONT ELEVATION




FRONT ELEVATION




SIDE ELEVATION




REAR ELEVATION




SIDE ELEVATION



FIRST FLOOR PLAN.



GARAGE FLOOR PLAN.



GROUND FLOOR PLAN.

[illegible]

G. R. MERCHANT LTD.
LENG AMICE FWO
ARCHITECTURAL, STRUCTURAL
AND LAND DRAINAGE
CONSULTANTS

4 Wrights Mews
12A Park Road, Holbeach,
Spalding, Lincs. PE12 7EE
Tel: 01406 490800
Fax: 01406 425744
E-Mail: gr.merchant@btconnect.com

Project
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT,
OFF CHAPEL DROVE
HOLBEACH DROVE
SPALDING, Lincs, PE12 0PT.

Client
R K WILSON BUILDERS LTD

Drawing
PLOT 1 & 5 (PLOT 1 HANDED)
FLOOR PLANS & ELEVATIONS

Job Ref.	Drawing No.
3947-21.	03A.

Date	JUNE 2022.
Drawn	S.D.

Scale:
1/50 1/100

other than their effect which it is limited. The General Contractor is to check all dimensions on all other drawings before they are issued. All details shown on this drawing including foundations must report any discrepancies to the Architect. As stated above, no responsibility can be accepted for anomalies upon typical site conditions related to the work. No responsibility can be accepted for anomalies under conditions which may be discovered during construction unless reported to the Architect so that design amendments may be considered. Site soil surveys are recommended in respect of foundations to establish specific site conditions before foundation work is undertaken. This activity is linked to the design process and does not and cannot provide a full specification of the works. The contractor shall ensure that the design team has been consulted and approved by the relevant authority for the purpose of obtaining Planning / Building Regulation approval. This drawing must note:

others in the industry. The 2005 study by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, for example, found that the current system of controlling potentially harmful substances is "inadequate, inefficient, and inconsistent" and that the "control mechanisms, distribution of financial incentives to production, verification of compliance, and enforcement are not well understood or integrated" (IOM, 2005, p. 10). The IOM report also noted that the current system of control is "inadequate, inefficient, and inconsistent" and that the "control mechanisms, distribution of financial incentives to production, verification of compliance, and enforcement are not well understood or integrated" (IOM, 2005, p. 10). The IOM report also noted that the current system of control is "inadequate, inefficient, and inconsistent" and that the "control mechanisms, distribution of financial incentives to production, verification of compliance, and enforcement are not well understood or integrated" (IOM, 2005, p. 10).