H10-0290-12

Comments have been received on the above application via the website and have been transfered into Ocella.

The comments are as follows:

Consultee Number:	86
Consultee Name:	Little Sutton Parish Meeting
Address:	Curlew Lodge Guys Head Rd
Consultee Type:	RES
Date Transferred:	4th March 2015
Planning Officer:	MSS
Support/Object:	OBJ

Comments:

Little Sutton Parish Meeting

Re: H10-0290-12 Planning Application Wind Turbine Little Sutton

Little Sutton Parish Meeting objected to the planning application on two previous occasions, it is disappointing that the comments made in 2014 have been removed from the documents on the website. The comments included objections on the grounds that a number of residents felt that the development would have a detrimental effect on the visual amenity of the community. There are already a number of industrial buildings and turbines in the area, residents feel that the cumulative effect would be overwhelming to add yet another large structure. At a Parish Meeting residents had also expressed concern about noise and flicker affecting properties. The sun lounge of one property looks out directly onto the proposed site and the outside areas of other properties would be adversely affected.

Please find below the comments of Little Sutton Parish Meeting regarding the document 'Additional Information Requested by the Planning Committee' produced by Susenco in support of the wind turbine development
The document addresses three points of need, flicker and impact on residents.

- Need - Susenco say that 'there are a good many members of the community who have expressed an interest in purchasing a share of the turbine and running it on a community owned basis.' The Parish Meeting is unaware of any residents who have expressed this view. Residents have attended meetings where community ownership has been discussed and further information has been provided on how schemes have developed elsewhere. However the Parish meeting feels that community ownership is not a planning consideration and should not be included in support of the application.
- Flicker- residents remain concerned that if the development were allowed those houses experiencing, and at risk of flicker, would not have any control in turning the turbine off. Residents would need to be confident that there would be ongoing monitoring and action when required to ensure that flicker did not occur.
- Impact on views - the Parish Meeting do not feel that the photomontages give a true representation of the development. Specifically at 144 Bridge Rd the turbine is shown behind conifers. The plans show that the turbine would actually be to the right of the hedge

and in full view. Susenco have argued that these trees provide screening, however these are not on land owned or controlled by the resident and should not be relied upon when considering the impact of the turbine.
- Impact on views - The photomontages do not appear to be accurately scaled. The turbine, as shown superimposed onto photographic images, does not show any change in size, despite the variety of viewpoints at various distances to the turbine.

Little Sutton Parish Meeting does not feel that the additional information ameliorates the plans. Therefore the Parish Meeting continues to object to the planning application.