H10-0290-12

Comments have been received on the above application via the website and have been transfered into Ocella.

The comments are as follows:

Consultee Number:	43
Consultee Name:	Sutton Bridge Parish Council
Address:	The Curlew Centre Bridge Road
Consultee Type:	PC
Date Transferred:	9th March 2015
Planning Officer:	MSS
Support/Object:	OBJ

Comments:

Sutton Bridge Parish Council objects to planning application ref. H10-0290-12 on the following grounds;
Visual amenity & cumulative impact - a significant number of residents feel that the proposed development would have a negative effect on visual amenity in the community. The cumulative impact of the structure along with industrial buildings and other turbines already present in the area would be totally overwhelming. It is unfortunate that residents' letters of objection have been removed from the planning portal as this would assist in gauging public objection to the development.
Comments specifically concerning the document, 'Additional information requested by the Planning Committee'produced by Susenco;
Flicker - residents directly affected by flicker would have no control in turning the turbines off, what monitoring and control procedures would be put in place to ensure that this is properly monitored and enforced?
Need - Susenco claims that 'there are a good many members of the community who have expressed an interest in purchasing a share of the turbine and running it on a community owned basis'. It is understood that Little Sutton Parish Meeting is unaware of any residents who have expressed this view. Furthermore, community ownership is not a planning consideration and should therefore be not be taken into account in support of the application.
Impact on views - The photographs superimposed onto views from local properties give a false representation of visual impact. For example, at 144 Bridge Road the turbine is shown behind conifers, plans actually show that the turbine would be to the right of the hedge and in full view. Susenco has also argued that the trees provide screening, however they are not growing on land owned by the resident and should not therefore be taken into account when considering impact of the proposed development.
It is also obvious from the photographs that the size of the turbine has not been scaled according to its distance from surrounding viewpoints.
Overall, the photographic images are not a true representation of visual impact and therefore should not be included in support of the application.
Sutton Bridge Parish Council does not accept that the additional information provided by Susenco properly addresses issues connected to the development and it strongly supports Little Sutton in its objection to the planning application.
S England - Parish Clerk