DECISION DELEGATED TO DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Application No:	**H10-0946-19**	**Applicant:**	**Princes Ltd**
Proposal:	Erection of an anaerobic digester (following removal of existing divert tank)		
Location:	Princes Limited Bridge Road Long Sutton		
Terminal Date:	9th October 2019		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
07	Improving South East Lincolnshire's Employment Land Portfolio
08	Prestige Employment Sites
28	The Natural Environment
30	Pollution
31	Climate Change and Renewable and Low Carbon Energy

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2019

NPPF 2019

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0
SHDC INTERNAL	0	0	0	1

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

Consultation from Lincs County Council regarding the installation of an anaerobic digester following removal of an existing divert tank.

Site Description

The application site is part of the wider Princes site, which is an established food processing site.

History

History

H10-0462-19. Section 73 modification to H10-0915-18. Under consideration.

H10-0554-19. Condition compliance. Details of Construction Management (Condition 7 of H10-0915-18). Approved. 24-06-19.

H10-0915-18. Demolition of existing buildings and erection of a new Raw Materials Warehouse and Ingredient Processing Centre (Use Class B2/B8). Approved 19-11-18.

H10-0136-19. The construction of a new Pea Plant Enclosure. Approved. 20-03-19.

H10-1063-18. Proposed water treatment works including new building, circular soft water treatment tank, circular salt saturator and circular brine tank. Approved. 13-12-18.

H10-1046-15. Refurbishment of offices and extension to form staff canteen and changing facilities. Approved. 05-05-16.
check all of this and add to it...

Consultation Responses

SHDC Environmental Protection
No comments to make in respect of this application. The installation will be permitted under the Environmental Permitting regime, by the Environment Agency.

Planning Considerations

As Environmental Protection has no comments to make and the location of the works would be within the body of the site and not towards an edge that is publicly viewed, it is considered that LCC Planning Service can consider the broader matters, and no objection is raised.

Conclusion

No objection.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.