DECISION DELEGATED TO DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Application No:	**H11-0160-19**	**Applicant:**	**Mr J Dileva**
Proposal:	Proposed single storey extension including internal alterations		
Location:	Brunswick Villa 6 Wisbech Road Long Sutton		
Terminal Date:	12th May 2019		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01	Spatial Strategy
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2019

Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is an application for a single storey extension including internal alterations at Brunswick Villa. The proposal seeks to convert an existing garage to a bedroom with the existing kitchen becoming an en-suite and dressing room. Part of the existing workshop space will become a bathroom and water closet, with the dining room becoming a bedroom. Extensions and alterations to the front will see an existing lounge, study, bedroom, bathroom and workshop becoming an open plan lounge, dining and kitchen area. Four Velux windows are to be installed in the roofspace.

Site Description

The proposal is nearby but outside defined settlement limits and the surrounding area is

characterised by dwellings of varying ages and design. There are arable fields in all directions with dwellings close by to the south and the settlement of Long Sutton sited to the north.

History

No relevant history.

Consultation Responses

None

Planning Considerations

There is not considered to be any material harm to neighbouring residential amenity from overlooking or overshadowing. Roof tiles are to match those of the existing dwelling and the new front extension will is to be rendered. The render colour is to be addressed by condition.The design, scale and layout is considered to be appropriate for the site and does not materially impact the character of the dwelling or the surrounding area.

Conclusion

In light of the above considerations the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies 1, 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan, 2019, as well as Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, 2019.
A delegated approval is considered to be appropriate.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation to grant permission in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation to grant permission in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the

applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation to grant permission is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.