

DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No: H11-0235-23 **Applicant:** Mrs A Nursey
Proposal: Proposed extensions including the removal of existing conservatory
Location: 9 Tydd Low Road Long Sutton Spalding
Terminal Date: 5th May 2023

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01 Spatial Strategy
02 Development Management
03 Design of New Development
36 Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6 Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2021

Section 12- Achieving well-designed places.

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is a householder application, seeking permission to remove the existing conservatory and to replace with a single storey side extension, as well as the erection of a single storey rear extension to the existing garage at 9 Tydd Low Road in Long Sutton.

Site Description

The application site is a detached dwelling which lies on Tydd Low Road in Long Sutton. The site is

located in a rural location and is surrounded with open fields, with a large barn to the north west.

History

H11-1041-06 - Conservatory extension to side of dwelling - approved 19 June 2006.

Consultation Responses

No consultation responses.

Planning Considerations

Layout, Design, Size and Scale

Paragraph 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2021 establishes that planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments will function well and add to the overall quality of the area; are visually attractive as a result of good architecture and sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting; and create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, among other considerations. Likewise, Policy 2 of the SELLP states that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable.

The proposed extension comprises a single storey scale and will be located to the side of the dwelling, replacing the existing conservatory and to the rear of the garage. The extensions have been designed as to consist of a dule pitched roof and would be construed in materials to match the existing. Overall, having regard to the existing dimensions of the house, the proposed single storey extensions are acceptable in terms of scale, mass and bulk.

The external materials include facing multi red facings brickwork on walls, grey slate roof tiles and white UPVC in fenestration will match those existing in the property. Thus, the development will appear well blended with the fabric of the house and visual amenity will not be undermined.

The materials to be used, coupled with the subordinate and modest scale of the extension, would ensure that the proposal would not cause an unacceptable impact to the character or appearance of the area.

Overall, the proposals would ensure good living conditions of the house occupiers and would be in keeping with the residential use of the property.

Taking the above into account, the proposed single storey rear extension is deemed to comply with Policies 2 and 3 in the SELLP, 2019 and Section 12 in the NPPF, 2021 in terms of layout, design, size and scale.

Residential Amenity

Paragraph 130 of National Planning Policy Framework (2021) amongst other matters seeks places with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users. Policies 2 and 3 of South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) set out residential amenity and relationship to existing development and land uses as main considerations when making planning decisions.

The closet neighbouring boundary is approx. 111m away to the south. It is not considered that the proposal would overshadow, overlook or be overbearing to the neighbouring properties.

Taking the above into account, the proposed single storey rear extension is deemed to comply with Policies 2 and 3 in the SELLP, 2019 and Section 12 in the NPPF, 2021 in terms of residential amenity.

Parking Provision

Policies 2 and 3 of the SELLP indicate that sustainable development considerations should be met in terms of access and vehicle generation levels and layout of car parking. Policy 36 and Appendix 6 set out the minimum standards for parking provision based upon the use class.

In connection with Policy 36 of SELLP 2019, Appendix 6 sets out the minimum requirements for car parking provision.

3 no. car spaces must be provided within the curtilage for dwellings with more than 3 no. bedrooms. The drive to the front is sufficient to accommodate two cars, with one small single garage also.

Therefore, the proposed parking provision complies with Policy 36 and Appendix 6 in the SELLP, 2019.

Planning Balance

Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended by the 2004 Act, states that the determination must be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The proposed single storey side extension to the dwelling and the single storey rear extension to the garage will not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality or amenity of nearby residents. It is therefore considered to be in accordance with Policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan, 2019.

Conclusion

In light of the considerations above, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021).

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act

B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).

C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is

also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.