

**FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT
FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT
DELPH ROAD, LONG SUTTON**

FINAL REPORT

ECL1247/WILLIAM H BROWN

DATE MARCH 2024

ELLINGHAM CONSULTING LTD

Email: tim@ellinghamconsulting.co.uk

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Site Location
- 2.2 Existing Site
- 2.3 Proposed Development
- 2.4 Local Development Documents
- 2.5 Available Flood Risk Information

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY

- 3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test
- 3.2 Vulnerability Classification
- 3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK

- 4.1 Local Flood Assets
- 4.2 Sources of Flooding
- 4.3 Probability of Flooding
- 4.4 Historic Flooding
- 4.5 Climate Change
- 4.6 Residual Risks

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

- 5.1 Summary of Risks
- 5.2 Mitigation Measures

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

ATTACHMENT 1 – Block Plan and Location Plan (Dwg 2020611-02)

DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk Assessment in support of a planning application for proposed residential development at Delph Road, Long Sutton. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any use made of this document other than by the client "Mrs K Bearman, Mr J Goodman & Miss K Lloyd" for the purposes it was originally commissioned and prepared. All comments and opinions made are based upon information available to "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" during the necessary investigative process, and the conclusions and recommendations, could therefore, differ in the event of material subsequently being found erroneous, incomplete, or misleading. "Ellingham Consulting Ltd" therefore, accepts no liability should this prove to be the case.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and supporting planning practice guidance (PPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change.

In areas at risk of flooding or for sites of 1 hectare or more, developers are required to undertake a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment to accompany an application for planning permission. This Flood Risk Assessment has been produced on behalf of Mrs K Bearman, Mr J Goodman & Miss K Lloyd in respect of a development that comprises one dwelling at Delph Road, Long Sutton.

A planning application for the proposed development is to be submitted by William H Brown.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Location

The site is located at 11 Delph Road, Long Sutton, Spalding, PE12 9BX. The National Grid Reference of the site is 54244/32254.

The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Location Plan (© OpenStreetMap contributors)

2.2 Existing Site

The site is on the southern side of Delph Road. The site is within the curtilage of 9 Delph Road and consist of a single storey building with a glass house to the rear. The area of development is approximately 0.08 hectares.

Environment Agency LiDAR data shows that ground levels within the site are typically between +2.5m OD and +2.8m OD with the highest ground levels closest to Delph Road. The carriageway level of Delph Road alongside the site is +2.4m OD.

The site is in the South Holland Internal Drainage Board (IDB) District. Surface water at the site would naturally drain through soakaway and hence to the IDB drain system. There is a riparian drain on the northern side of Delph Road and the nearest IDB Watercourse is a High Priority Pipeline located approximately 100m south of the site.

The online British Geological Survey maps indicate that the site is likely to be underlain by Amptihill Clay Formation mudstone. The bedrock is shown to be overlain with superficial deposits of clay and silt.

2.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of a new dwelling. The dwelling will have two storeys. A Site Plan is provided in Attachment 1.

2.4 Local Development Documents

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011 – 2036, adopted in March 2019, is the Local Plan for the district. Policy 4: Approach to Flood Risk states the requirements for flood risk reduction.

The South East Lincolnshire Level 1 and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was prepared in June 2017.

The Joint Lincolnshire Flood Risk and Drainage Management Strategy has been prepared by Lincolnshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The purpose of the Strategy is to increase the safety of people across Lincolnshire by reducing the number of people at risk of flooding, increasing the resilience of local communities, and reducing the impact of flooding

2.5 Flood Zones

An extract from the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning is shown in Figure 2. The site is within Flood Zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.




Figure 2 – Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

The Environment Agency Long Term Flood Risk maps show that:

- the site has a low risk of flooding from rivers or the sea (annual probability between 0.1% and 1%);
- the site has a very low risk of surface water flooding (annual probability less than 0.1%); and
- the site is not within an area at risk of reservoir flooding.

Table 1 shows the level of risk at the site within the South East Lincolnshire SFRA.

SFRA Map	Present Day	2116
Residual Flood Hazard Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event	The site is outside the 'Low Hazard' area	The site is in the 'Danger for Most' area
Residual Peak Depth Map for the 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal	The site is outside the area at risk	The peak flood depth is between 0.5m and 1.0m.

Table 1 – Flood Risk within SFRA Maps

3.0 FLOOD RISK VULNERABILITY

3.1 The Sequential and Exception Test

The NPPF requires the application of a Sequential Test to ensure that new development is in areas with the lowest probability of flooding.

The Exception Test is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available.

3.2 Vulnerability Classification

Table 2 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change categorises different types of uses and development according to their vulnerability to flood risk. The proposed development is covered by the description of buildings used for dwellings and is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.

Table 3 of the PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change sets out Flood Risk Vulnerability and flood zone 'compatibility'. The site is in Flood Zone 3 and the development is 'More Vulnerable' therefore it is necessary to complete the Exception Test.

PPG Flood Risk and Coastal Change defines that the lifetime of the development in terms of flood risk and coastal change is 100 years.

3.3 Application of the Sequential and Exception Test

It is for the Local Planning Authority, using the evidence provided and taking advice from the Environment Agency as appropriate, to consider whether an application passes the Sequential Test.

Large parts of the South Holland district between the River Welland and River Nene lie within Flood Zone 3. As such, opportunities to undertake the development at an alternative site with a lower flood risk are limited. It is not possible to position the development on higher ground within the proposed site.

The SFRA states that as it is necessary to use the refined flood risk information (hazard and depth maps) to assist with the application of the sequential test. The refined flood risk information contained within the SFRA demonstrates the site is not at risk during the present day 1% fluvial and 0.5% tidal event. The site therefore has a low probability of flooding and is considered to pass the Sequential Test.

The Exception Test requires consideration of the wider sustainability benefits of a development and that the development would be safe and residual risks managed.

The Local Plan has a target of a net increase of at least 11,681 dwellings in South Holland over the 25-year local plan period. The Plan considers this new housing is

required to ensure the sustainability of the Local Plan area. The proposed development will contribute to this target.

Section 5 of this Flood Risk Assessment describes the flood mitigation measures and the management of the residual risks, demonstrating that this development will be safe and not increase flood risk elsewhere. The development is considered to pass the Exception Test.

4.0 SITE SPECIFIC FLOOD RISK

4.1 Local Flood Assets

The site is 5.8 km west of the tidal River Nene. The site is protected by the River Nene tidal defences between Wisbech and Sutton Bridge. The River Nene is the responsibility of the Environment Agency.

There is a long-term strategy for the maintenance of the Environment Agency defences which is reviewed and updated periodically.

There is an extensive local drainage network managed by South Holland IDB. There is an IDB High Priority Pipeline 100m south of the site. The site and the surrounding land are within the Free Discharge catchment and drain to the South Holland Main Drain and discharge to the tidal River Nene at the Sutton Bridge Outfall Sluice. The site is 2.9km north of the South Holland Main Drain.

During the operation and maintenance of its pumping stations, associated structures, and channel systems, the IDB seeks to maintain a general standard capable of providing flood protection to its district. A routine maintenance programme is in place to ensure that the Boards assets are commensurate with the standard of protection that is sought.

Current maintenance standards of the South Holland Internal Drainage Board and the Environment Agency are generally good.

4.2 Sources of Flooding

A summary of the sources of flooding is provided in Table 2.

Source of Flooding	Level of Risk
Drainage Network Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3.
Surface Water Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the risk is very low.
Fluvial Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3 and 4.5
Tidal Flooding	The risk is assessed in Section 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6.
Reservoir Flooding	Based upon the EA maps the site is not at risk of flooding from reservoirs.
Groundwater Flooding	There is no evidence to suggest the site is at risk of groundwater flooding.

Table 2 – Sources of Flooding

4.3 Probability of Flooding

The probability of flooding associated with blockages in the South Holland IDB drainage system is low due to the maintenance standards achieved and managed by the IDB.

Through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 years and 1 in 100 years, respectively. The risk associated with flood events that exceed the standard of protection provided is lowered due to the South Holland IDB main drains incorporating freeboard. This freeboard provides storage during the exceedance events.

The site benefits from defences on the River Nene that provide protection during a 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200 chance each year) tidal event and a 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event.

4.4 Historic Flooding

During the preparation of this assessment, no evidence was discovered of the site being flooded.

4.5 Climate Change

Climate change is likely to impact the site through increased rainfall intensity and duration affecting the local drainage network and increased flood levels in the River Nene.

The River Nene tidal defences have been designed to include an allowance for climate change.

In summary the existing systems and defences are appropriate for the design life of the development (i.e. 100 years).

4.6 Residual Risk

There is a residual risk of flooding at the site should a breach occur. The South East Lincolnshire SFRA includes maps demonstrating the residual peak depth in 2116. When climate change allowances are applied to the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) tidal event the peak depth at the site from direct overtopping together with breach failure is between 0.5m and 1.0m. An extract from this map is shown in Figure 3 below.




Figure 3 – SFRA 2116 Residual Peak Depth Map

5.0 FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

5.1 Summary of Risks

The probability of this development flooding from localised drainage systems is low. Failure of Sutton Bridge Outfall Sluice could lead to an increased level of risk at the site.

The probability of the site flooding from any Environment Agency system is less than 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) because of the standards of the existing flood defences. Over time there will be a gradual increase in risk to the site due to climate change. During the design life of the development it is not anticipated that the site would flood.

The SFRA considers the residual risk associated with overtopping and a breach in the defences in 2116. The maps show that the peak flood depth at the site is between 0.5m and 1.0m.

The development increases the impermeable area and therefore has the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere.

5.2 Mitigation Measures

The site has a low 'actual risk' of flooding. Based upon the information available during the preparation of this flood risk assessment, it is recommended that the finished floor level of the dwelling is 1.0m above surrounding ground level and there is 0.3m of flood resilient construction above finished floor level.

The risk of flooding is lowered as the proposed dwelling has 2 storeys with all sleeping accommodation on the first floor.

The developer should ensure that the eventual occupier of the dwelling is sufficiently aware of the risk of flooding, and the standard of the existing defences. The Environment Agency operates a flood warning system for properties at risk of flooding to enable householders to protect life or take actions to manage the effect of flooding on property. Floodline Warnings Service is a national system run by the Environment Agency for broadcasting flooding warnings. The occupier of the dwelling should register to receive flood warnings.

During an exceedance event it is anticipated that sufficient time would be available to take precautionary actions to limit the potential impact of flooding.

Should there be a failure of Sutton Bridge Outfall Sluice and conditions were such to put properties and land at risk of flooding, the Internal Drainage Board would take emergency action to maintain the drainage level of service by using temporary pumping equipment.

It is recommended that surface water run-off is managed so that stormwater from the development will not affect any adjoining properties or increase the flood risk elsewhere.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the assessment, the following conclusions have been reached.

- The proposed development comprises a two storey residential dwelling at Delph Road, Long Sutton.
- The site is located within an Internal Drainage Board catchment and through the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations and the channel system the Board seek to maintain a general standard capable to providing flood protection to agricultural land and developed areas of 1 in 20 and 1 in 100 years, respectively.
- The proposed development is in Flood Zone 3. The site benefits from defences on the tidal River Nene and provide protection during the 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) fluvial event and 0.5% annual probability (1 in 200) tidal event including climate change.
- There is a residual risk to the site associated with a breach of the tidal defences. To mitigate this risk, it is recommended that the floor level is 1.0m above surrounding ground level and there is 0.3m of flood resilient construction above finished floor level.
- The development passes the Sequential Test and Exception Test and is therefore suitable for the proposed location.

ATTACHMENT 1



BLOCK PLAN AND LOCATION PLAN (Dwg 2020611-02)

This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all other relevant drawings and specifications.

C W Johnson Limited are not appointed in the role of Principle Designer under the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations unless written confirmation has been provided as part of the fee agreement. The Employer should be aware that the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations may apply to their project and they should seek further professional advice. Guidance and information is also available from the Health and Safety Executive.



INDICATIVE BLOCK PLAN AS
PROPOSED
SCALE 1/500 @ A3



Ordnance Survey, (c) Crown Copyright 2024. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

INDICATIVE SITE LOCATION PLAN
SCALE 1/1250 @ A3

C W Johnson Limited shall have no liability to the Employer arising out of any unauthorized modification or amendment to, or any transmission, copy or use of the material, or any proprietary work contained therein, by the Employer, other project team member, or any other third party.

This drawing has been prepared for the stated 'Purpose of issue' and should not be used for any other purpose; and does not constitute or form part of any contract unless specifically annexed thereto in writing by C W Johnson Limited.

All dimensions are to be checked and verified on site prior to commencement and order of materials; and any discrepancies are to be reported to C W Johnson Limited. The scale provided is for guidance only and should not be relied upon for accurate measurements.

Rev	Description	Date	Checked
-	-	--/--	-
This drawing is the copyright of C W Johnson Limited and can only be reproduced with their written permission. All intellectual property rights are vested with C W Johnson Limited and cannot be used or reproduced without their written permission. Do not scale © C W Johnson Limited			

Project:
Proposed residential development
land at 11 Delph Road
Long Sutton
Spalding
PE12 9BJ

Client:
Ms K Bearman, Ms K Lloyd, and Mr J
Goodman Braunston

Project No: 2020611	Scale @ A3: As indicated	Drawn By: CWJ
Title: As Proposed		
Drawing Number: 2020611 - 02		
Purpose of Issue: Preliminary		Revision: -



CW JOHNSON
CHARTERED SURVEYORS

Building Surveying | Architectural Design | Building Consultancy

www.cwjsurveyors.co.uk | cwjsurveyors@outlook.com

Regulated by RICS