PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only
Application No. H11/0521/94
Date Registered 30 JUN 1994
Case Officer SD

1. Please complete in block letters
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT
MESSRS R.K. WILSON & SON LTD
DELPH FIELDS
SYATTON ROAD
LONG SUTTON
SPALDING LINCS
Tel. No.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)
PODDINGTONS
43 DOUBLE STREET
SPALDING
LINCS
Tel. No. 761856 (Contact) T REYNOLDS

Please complete in block letters
FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE
PLOT 2 DELPH FIELDS LONG SUTTON

Please complete **EARLIER APPLICATION No.** This application cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated	H11-0521-94
Building Regulation reference relating to same development	—

2. Please tick **one** box only

A		B
Amendment is proposed where permission already granted. If yes, submit two forms and two plans.	[ ] or	Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered. If yes, submit four forms and four plans. [✓]

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? Yes/No

Please complete
I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment.
I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate.
Signed ..... PODDINGTONS Date 30-6-94

3. Please complete in block letters **FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION**	PROPOSED BUNGALOW
State details of amendments proposed (Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans)	MIRROR PLAN
State drawing no's of the current submission	2557-3 REV A 2434-2 REV P
Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)	NO
Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers	—

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☑

Registered 1/7/98

Terminal date/committee updated 4/1/7

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/No (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No *
If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No *

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment?

PC
WM
Press
Residents
Other –

None ☑

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin ?)

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :-

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/No Confirmed.....

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: 11/1/99

Superseded details removed ☑

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued: 4/1/7
Public register updated:

DC Professional Assessment

NOTES:

BRIAN SMITH [illegible]
Director of Planning & Building Control Services
Planning & Building Control Department,
Council Offices,
Priory Road, Spalding,
Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE
Telephone: (0775) 761161
Fax: (0775) 710772

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Application no: H11/0521/94

Applicant: R K Wilson & Son Ltd
Delph Fields
Station Road
Long Sutton
Spalding Lincs

Agent: Messrs Poddingtons
43 Double Street
Spalding
Lincs
PE11 2AA

Description: Proposed bungalow

Location: Plot 2, Delph Fields
Long Sutton
Spalding
Lincs

Amendment: Mirror plan (drawing number 2557-3 REV A and 2434-2 REV P)

11-JUL-94

Dear Sir/Madam

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF AMENDMENT

The amended details submitted in respect of the above proposal have now been considered, and I am able to inform you that the changes are able to be incorporated into application, which will now be considered only in its amended form. You are reminded that revision may also be needed to any submission made under the Building Regulations.

In view of the need to repeat certain procedures as a result of the amendment, the terminal date for the Authority to determine the application will now be extended to 25-AUG-94, based on the date of receipt of the amendment, and your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement. It will not necessarily take that length of time to reach a decision of course.

If you do not agree to this extension of time and wish instead to have your application dealt with more quickly but on the basis of the original submission, please let me know in writing within the next 5 days.

Yours faithfully

Director of Planning and Building Control Services