

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

Proposed Change of Use of 2 No Agricultural Barns
To 3 No Residential Dwellings
Four Winds
3, Tydd Low Road
Long Sutton
PE12 9AR

RM ASSOCIATES

10 Main Street
Thorpe on the Hill
Lincoln
LN6 9BG
Tel 01522 681728
Mobile 07967 304737
Email : ray.rmassociates@gmail.com
June 2021 Version 1

RMA

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
APPLICATION SITE.....	1
Table 1: Flood Zones Definition	2
Table 2: Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification.....	2
Site Levels.....	2
DRAINAGE AUTHORITIES	3
Environment Agency	3
Flood Zones	3
Risk of Flooding from Rivers & Sea	3
Tidal defences.....	4
Breach Analysis to the Tidal Defences.....	4
Finished Floor Levels	5
FLOODING FROM OTHER SOURCES	7
RESIDUAL RISKS.....	7
SURFACE WATER FLOODING	7
SEQUENTIAL APPROACH.....	8
Table 3: Flood Risk Vulnerability and Flood Zone 'Compatibility'	9
Sequential Test and Exceptions Test.....	9
CLIMATE CHANGE	9
Table 1 Peak River Flow Allowances	10
Table 2 Peak Rainfall Intensity.....	10
Table 3 Peak Sea Level Rise	10
DRAINAGE STATEMENT.....	11
Surface Water	11
Foul Water Drainage	11
FLOOD PROTECTION	12
CONCLUSION	13

Figures

- Map 1 Environment Agency Flood Map
- Map 2 River & Sea Flood Map
- Map 3 Tidal Model Analysis 2006 Map & Table
- Map 4 Tidal Breach Hazard Maps 1 in 1000 Event 2006
- Map 5 Tidal Breach Hazard Maps 1 in 200 Event 2115
- Map 6 Tidal Breach Hazard Maps 1 in 1000 Event 2115
- Map 7 Surface Water Flood Map
- Plan 1 Site Location Plan
- Plan 2 Site layout Plan with Lidar 2m DTM Levels
- Plan 3 Proposed Elevations Two Storey Barn
- Plan 4 Proposed Floor plan Two Storey Barn
- Plan 5 Proposed Floor Plan & Elevations Single Storey Barn

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR CHANGE OF USE OF 2 NO AGRICULTURAL BARNs TO 3 NO RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS, FOUR WINDS, 3, TYDD LOW ROAD, LONG SUTTON. PE12 9AR

INTRODUCTION

The Government has placed increasing priority on the need to take full account of the risk associated with flooding at all stages of the planning and development process. This seeks to reduce the future damage to property and the risk to life from incidents of flooding. Their expectations relating to flooding are contained in the National Planning Policy Framework Feb 2019, (NPPF), which identifies how the issue of flooding is dealt with in the drafting of planning policy and the consideration of planning applications by avoiding inappropriate development in areas at risk from flooding and to direct development away from areas at highest risk.

The NPPF provides that development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided and seeks to direct development away from areas at highest risk. There is a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development avoiding where possible, flood risk to people and property managing any residual risk and taking account of the impacts of climate change.

This document has been prepared solely as a flood risk assessment and drainage statement on behalf of the client, Mr, and Mrs. J. Jeffs. RM Associates accepts no responsibility or liability for any use of this document other than by the client, for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared. If any unauthorised Third Party comes into possession of this report; they rely on it at their own risk and RM Associates owe them no duty of care or skill. All comments contained in this report, including any conclusions are based upon information available at the time of writing the report. RM Associates accepts no liability if additional information exists or becomes available after the time of writing this report. This document is the copyright of RM Associates The reproduction or transmission of all or part of this document, whether by photocopying or storing in any medium by electronic means or otherwise, without the prior written consent of RM Associates is prohibited.

This report contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

APPLICATION SITE

The proposed site is located at Four Winds 3, Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton, PE12 9AR, within the administrative area of South Holland District Council. The National Grid Reference is TF42892 20747. **Plan 1**

This flood risk assessment has been prepared for an application under Class Q Prior Approval Procedure for the change of use of a two agricultural barns to residential use. Barn 1 to be converted into 2 No two storey dwellings, Barn 2 into a single storey dwelling. **Plans 2, 3,4 & 5**

The Site would be classed as Non-Major Development applying the National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) as the site is to be developed for less than 10 dwellings.

The National Planning Policy Framework Guidance NPPG defines three levels of flood risk depending upon the annual probability of fluvial/sea flooding occurring.

Zone 1 – Low Probability (<0.1%)

Zone 2 – Medium Probability (0.1 – 1.0%)

Zone 3 – High Probability (>1.0%)

The proposed development site is shown mainly to be within Flood Zone 3 High Probability' as detailed on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone Maps **without defences**, and as defined in Table 1 of NPPG. **Map1**

Table 1: Flood Zones Definition

Flood Zone 3- High Probability
<p>Definition Land assessed as having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1%) or a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of flooding from the sea (>0.5%) in any year.</p> <p>The water-compatible and less vulnerable uses of land are appropriate in this zone. The highly vulnerable uses should not be permitted in this zone. The more vulnerable and essential infrastructure uses should only be permitted in this zone if the Exception Test is passed. Essential Infrastructure permitted in this zone should be designed and constructed to remain operational and safe for uses in times of flood</p> <p>Flood Risk Assessments requirements All proposals in this zone should be accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment.</p> <p>Policy aims Developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques. • relocate existing development to land with a lower probability of flooding. • create space for flooding to occur by allocating and safeguarding open space for flood storage.

Applying the Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification in Table 2 of NPPG, the present use for the site is classified as “Less Vulnerable” and the proposed use is “More Vulnerable” Table 1 of NPPG states that such uses are permitted in this zone, subject to the exceptions test.

Table 2: Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification

More Vulnerable
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hospitals • Residential institutions such as residential care homes, children's homes, social services homes, prisons and hostels. • Buildings used for: dwelling houses; student halls of residence; drinking establishments; nightclubs; and hotels • Non-residential uses for health services, nurseries and educational establishments • Landfill and sites used for waste management facilities for hazardous waste. • Sites used for holiday or short-let caravan and camping, subject to a specific warning and Evacuation Plan.

Site Levels

From Lidar 2m DTM, to Ordnance Datum, the average site ground levels around the north barn (Barn 1) average 2.61mODN and around the south barn (Barn 2) average 2.68mODN. The road level on Tydd Low Road at the site entrance is 2.69mODN **Plan 2**

DRAINAGE AUTHORITIES

Environment Agency

The Environment Agency is responsible for reducing the risk of flooding from designated main rivers and from the sea.

The potential source of flooding which could affect the development site has been identified as:

- River Nene
- Wash Estuary

The River Nene lies some 4.7km to the southeast of the site and the Wash some 9.0km to the northeast of the site.

The flood zone maps indicate that the area would be flooded without flood defences.). The Flood Map indicates the area at risk of flooding, **assuming no flood defences exist**, for a flood event with a 0.5% chance of occurring in any year for flooding from the sea, or a 1% chance of occurring for fluvial (river) flooding. It also shows the extent of the Extreme Flood Outline which represents the extent of a flood event with a 0.1% chance of occurring in any year, or the highest recorded historic extent if greater. In some locations, such as around the fens and the large coastal floodplains there are many kilometres of raised flood defences. To meet the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework, these defences are removed in their entirety to produce the Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea). The map therefore shows the full extent of areas that would be at risk of flooding if no defences existed, and water could spread out across these large floodplains. This flooding could cover large areas of land but to relatively shallow depths and could leave pockets of locally slightly higher land as isolated dry islands.

Flood Zones

These maps show that the site is considered at risk from flooding as it is all located within an area zoned as Flood Zone 3a. The maps indicate that the area would be flooded without flood defences, which are in place, (with an annual probability of more than 0.5% i.e., return frequency of less than 1 in 200 years for tidal flooding or more than 1.33% return frequency of less than 1 in 100 years for fluvial flooding). **Map 1**

Risk of Flooding from Rivers & Sea

The risk of flooding from Rivers and Sea Map shows that the site is at a Low risk of flooding. **Low risk** means that each year this area has a chance of flooding of between 0.1% and 1%. This takes into account the effect of any flood defences in the area. These defences reduce but do not completely stop the chance of flooding as they can be overtopped or fail. **Map 2**

Tidal defences

The Environment Agency has confirmed that the site is not affected by flooding from a Main River and therefore it would only be affected by tidal flooding. The tidal defences constitute the first line of defence against any tidal flooding. These consist of earth embankments along the shores of the wash and are invariably behind an area of intertidal salt marsh which protects them against damage from wave action, though in the relatively sheltered environment of the Wash this is less of a hazard than the North Sea coastline. The site lies behind two lines of earth sea defences

The tidal defences protecting this site consist of earth embankments. They are in good condition and reduce the risk of flooding (at the defence) to a 0.67% (1 in 150) chance of occurring in any year. The Environment Agency inspect these defences routinely to ensure potential defects are identified.

Breach Analysis to the Tidal Defences

From Lincolnshire & Northampton shire Area Tidal Modal Analysis (June 2006) **Map 3**

The base date for the data is 2017 for the still water levels, the Peak tide levels Present Day (2017) at West Lighthouse for the 50% confidence bound 0.1% (1 in 200year) = 5.82mODN

Higher Central Peak tide levels (2120) at West Lighthouse for the 0.1% (1 in 200) = 5.82mODN plus climate change from Table 3 (2117 – 2020) 1089mm = 7.48mODN

Taking the precautionary approach, the Hazard Rating following a breach which in Flood Risk Assessment (FD 2320) Guidance for New Development Phase 2 R& D Technical Report these are classified as low <0.75, moderate 0.75-1.25, significant 1.25-2.50 and extreme >2.50 based upon an empirical measure of velocity and depth.

Flood Hazard			Description
	<0.75	Low	Caution – Flood Zone with shallow flowing water or deep standing water.
	0.75 – 1.25	Moderate	Danger for Some - (i.e. children) Danger Flood Zone with deep or fast flowing water.
	1.25 – 2.0	Significant	Danger for Most – Danger Flood Zone with deep fast flowing water.
	>2.0	Extreme	Danger for All – Extreme Danger Flood Zone with deep fast flowing water.

$$HR = d \times (v + 0.5) + DF$$

Where V = Flood flow velocity (m/sec) D = Flood depth (m)

DF = A debris factor included to represent the greater damage, or risk of injury to people, that can occur if debris is swept along with the water. = 0.5 for depths <0.25 or 1.0 for depths >0.25.

For the degree of Flood Hazard to be classified as low HR must be <0.75.

The Environment Agency has recently carried out a Tidal Hazard Mapping following a breach to the defences. Following a breach to the defences, the Lincolnshire & Northampton shire Area Tidal Hazard Maps Scenario year 2006, for the 1 in 1000-year and the 1 in 200-year events, shows that the barns are not within a Hazard rating area.

With climate change up to year 2115 the maps show that for Scenario annual chance for the 1 in 1000-year and the 1 in 200-year events, shows that the barns are within a Hazard rating area between 0.75 -1.25 (Danger for Some) and depth of flow 0 – 0.25m and velocity 0 – 0.3m/sec. for both events.

Map 4 shows the Hazard Rating for the present day and **Map 5** shows the results for Hazard Rating, Depth, & Velocity, for year 2115, scenario annual chance 1 in 100, taken from the Environment Agency's, Lincolnshire & Northampton shire Area Tidal Hazard Mapping.

The Environment Agency has also produced maps based on computer modelling of simulated overtopping of defences along the coastline for specific tidal scenarios. The maps only consider the consequences of overtopping of defences and do not show the possible consequences of breaches of the tidal defences. For future climate change scenarios, it is assumed that the defences remain at 2006 heights.

For the scenario years 2006 & 2115 the barns are not affected by overtopping of the defences **Map 6**

Finished Floor Levels

The Environment Agency recommends that appropriate mitigation measures / flood resilience techniques are incorporated in the design of developments in flood risk areas. The minimum mitigation measures required for single storey developments or developments that do include ground floor sleeping shall be determined by the flood depths arising from the 2115 0.1% breach scenario. Any developments that do not include ground floor sleeping can be informed by the flood depths arising from the 1 in 200-year 0.5% breach scenario. For areas where the depth of flooding is between 0 – 0.25m the FFL should be 300mm above the existing ground level.

The design of the building has taken this into account, in accordance with the Environment Agency's recommendations, it is proposed that the residential properties ground floor, be raised a minimum of 300m above the site's natural ground level, set at 2.98mODN, this would provide an ample freeboard available to prevent the properties being affected from flooding from a breach to the defences.

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

In order to inform the process of risk assessment and site selection the District Council commissioned Haskoning UK Ltd Consultants to prepare a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment in December 2002. They have carried out an update of this report and this report was published in January 2010 and this was further

updated in February 2017.


The EA Hazard maps confirm the maps contained within the SHDC SFRA, and the Environment Agency maps have been used in this assessment.

SOUTH HOLLAND INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD

The site lies with the catchment area South Holland Internal Drainage Board who have a responsible to operate and maintain the arterial fluvial system.

IDB drains were designed to provide at least a 1 in 10-year standard of service with a freeboard of at least 900mm. This normally provides at least a 1 in 50-year standard of service against overtopping and in many cases a 1 in 100-year standard at the present time.

The site lies within Catchment 'H' Free Discharge (C) Catchment. The Board has a watercourse to the south of the site Ref DRN199G2301 (Kinewereham Drain) which outfalls at South Holland Main Drain



Extract from South Holland Drainage map

The Board's prior written consent will be required for any of the following works:

- No person without the previous consent of the Board shall erect any building or structure, whether temporary or permanent, or plant any tree, shrub, willow or other similar growth within 9 metres of the landward toe of the bank where there is an embankment or wall or within 9 metres of the top of the batter where there is no embankment or wall, or where the watercourse is enclosed within 9 metres of the enclosing structure.
- No person shall, without the previous consent of the Board, for any purpose, by means of any channel, siphon, pipeline or sluice or by any other means whatsoever, introduce any water into the District or,

whether directly or indirectly, increase the flow or volume of water in any watercourse in the District.

- The erection or alteration of any mill dam, weir or other like obstruction to the flow, or erection or alteration of any culvert within the channel of a riparian watercourse.

FLOODING FROM OTHER SOURCES

Flooding is a natural process and can happen at any time from sources other than watercourses and the sea.

- Flooding from land can occur from intense rainfall, often over short duration of time that is unable to soak into the ground or enter the drainage system. However, with the natural topographic nature of the ground having no high ground around the site. With the proposed ground floor levels being set above the existing ground level this will not cause any rapid inundation of the site.
- The area is not known to suffer from any groundwater problems.
- Flooding from sewers can occur from overloading from heavy rainfall caused by blockages or having inadequate capacity. There are no public sewers in the proximity of the site.
- Non-natural or artificial sources of flooding such as reservoirs, lakes, or canals where water is stored above natural ground level could cause flooding if the structure fails or is over topped. There are no known sources within the vicinity of the site.

RESIDUAL RISKS

There is always a possibility of a flood more than that allowed for which might conceivably cause some flooding to the units. However, such an event would have a very low probability and the risk of flooding to the property would be extremely small. It is therefore considered that the residual risks associated with flooding are not significant.

SURFACE WATER FLOODING

The majority of the site for the proposed units is not shown on the Low-Risk scenario Surface Water flood maps, for the 1 in 1000-year event, to be affected from surface water flooding. This type of flooding is usually short lived and associated with heavy downpours of rain, thunderstorms etc. **Map 7**

The maps for surface water and revised maps for river and sea flooding define the risk as High, Medium, Low and Very Low. The chance of flooding for the area defined in any given year is shown below:

- **High:** greater than 1 in 30 (3.3%).
- **Medium:** between 1 in 100 (1%) and 1 in 30 (3.3%).
- **Low:** between 1 in 1000 (0.1%) and 1 in 100 (1%).

- **Very Low:** less than 1 in 1000 (0.1%)

Unlike the fluvial mapping, which is based on a detailed hydraulic model, this mapping is based purely on applying rainfall to a digital terrain model. As such this mapping serves to represent a worst-case scenario which may well overstate the actual probability of flooding in this area.

There is a caveat on the Defra Data website, as to the use of these maps and that they are not to be used to identify that an individual property will flood. Because of the way they have been produced and the fact that they are indicative, these maps are not appropriate to act as the sole evidence for any specific planning or regulatory decision or assessment of risk in relation to flooding at any scale without further supporting studies or evidence.

The Environment Agency and the Lead Local Flood Authority (custodians of the surface water flood risk dataset) have subsequently confirmed that the published high-level data should not be used on a site-specific basis and that mapping is only suitable for National to County Scale use.

SEQUENTIAL APPROACH

When applying the sequential approach for flood risk in accordance NPPF the site would fall into Flood Zone 3 (High Probability) as the site is shown to be within the tidal flood plain as shown on the Environment Agency's Flood Map without defences in place.

The Environment Agency categorise land into one of three Flood Zones.

- Flood Zone 1 is land outside the 0.1% floodplain (with a chance of flooding of less than 0.1% chance in any given year)
- Flood Zone 2 is land that falls between the 1 in 100-year extent and the 1 in 1000-year extent (a chance of flooding between 1% and 0.1% in any given year).
- Flood Zone 3a is land which falls within the 1 in 100-year flood extent (has a 1% chance of a flood occurring in any given year).

Applying the Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification in Table 2 of NPPG, the proposed change of use to residential use is classified as, "More Vulnerable" with Table 1 of NPPG stating that such uses are appropriate in this zone (as summarised in Table 3 NPPG).

Table 3: Flood Risk Vulnerability and Flood Zone 'Compatibility'

Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification		Essential Infrastructure	Water Compatible	Highly Vulnerable	More Vulnerable	Less Vulnerable
Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Flood Zone 2	✓	✓	Exception Test Required	✓	✓
	Flood Zone 3a	Exception Test Required	✓	✗	Exception Test Required	✓
	Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain	Exception Test Required	✓	✗	✗	✗

Sequential Test and Exceptions Test

NPPF Guidance Paragraphs 155 - 165 requires development within high areas of flood risk be determined using a sequential risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid where possible flood risk to people and property and manage any residual risk, taking account the impacts of climate change.

The aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. The NPPF states that development should not be permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding. It is important to note that the Sequential Test does not specifically mean that sites such as this cannot be developed, rather that sites at less risk should be developed first.

Within Flood Zone 3, generally "More Vulnerable" developments such as residential are discouraged, however, due to this being a change of use to an existing building, the Sequential Test and Exception Test are not applicable as moving the existing buildings is not an option. As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework Technical Guidance (para.164), change of use should not be subject to the sequential and exception tests but will still need to meet the requirements of a site-specific flood risk assessment.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Global warming is now recognised that it is likely to affect the frequency and severity of extreme events as both tidal and fluvial flooding. The Climate change allowances in the NPPF Guidance was updated on the 17th December 2019.

The site is located within the Anglian River Basin, for sites within Flood Zone 3a and for "More Vulnerable" land uses, the Upper End and Higher Central river flow allowances figures in Table 1 should be used.

Table 1 Peak River Flow Allowances

Table 1 Peak river flow allowances by river basin district (1981 to 2000 baseline)				
River basin District	Allowance category	Total potential change anticipated for '2020s' (2015 to 2039)	Total potential change anticipated for '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Anglian	Upper End	25%	35%	65%
	Higher central	15%	20%	35%
	Central	10%	15%	25%

The effect of global warming on peak rainfall allowances is given in Table 2.

Table 2 Peak Rainfall Intensity

Table 2 Peak rainfall intensity allowance in small and urban catchments (1981 - 2000 baseline)			
Applies across all of England	Total potential change anticipated for 2010 to 2039	Total potential change anticipated for 2040 to 2059	Total potential change anticipated for 2060 to 2115
Upper End	10%	20%	40%
Central	5%	10%	20%

The annual sea rise due to climate change is given in NPPF and the recommended contingency allowances are stated in Table 3.

Table 3 Peak Sea Level Rise

Table 3 Peak sea level allowance for each epoch in (mm) per year with cumulative sea level rise for each epoch in brackets (use 1981 to 2000 baseline)						
Area of England) (Use River Basin	Allowance	2000 to 2035	2036 to 2065	2066 to 2095	2096 to 2125	Cumulative Rise 2000 to 2125 (m)
Anglian	Higher Central	5.8 (203mm)	8.7 (261mm)	11.6 (348mm)	13 (390mm)	1.20m
Anglian	Upper End	7 (245mm)	11.3 (339mm)	15.8 (474mm)	18.1 (543mm)	1.6m

Higher Central

Base year 2017 – 2035 @ 5.8mm/year (18 years x 5.8mm) = 104.4mm

2036 – 2055 @ 8.7mm/year (30 years x 8.7) = 261mm

2066 – 2095 @ 11.6mm/year (30 years x 11.6) = 348mm

2096 – 2120 @ 13mm/year (24 years x 13) = 312mm

Overall total = **1025mm**

Peak tide levels Present Day (2017) at West lighthouse 0.5% (1 in 200) = 5.82mODN and for year 2120 = 6.85mODN.

Upper End

Base year 2006 – 2035 @ 7mm/year (18 years x 7mm) = 126mm

2036 – 2055 @ 11.3mm/year (30 years x 11.3) = 339mm

2066 – 2095 @ 15.8mm/year (30 years x 15.8) = 474mm

2096 – 2120 @ 18.1mm/year (24 years x 18.1) = 434mm

Overall total = **1373mm**

Peak tide levels Present Day (2017) at West lighthouse 0.5% (1 in 200) = 5.82mODN and for year 2120 = 7.19mODN.

The current Hazard Mapping has a Base year 2006 – 2115.

Table 3 Peak sea level allowance for each epoch in (mm) per year with cumulative sea level rise for each epoch in brackets (use 1990 baseline)					
Area of England) (Use River Basin maps	1990 to 2025	2026 to 2050	2051 to 2080	2081 to 2115	Cumulative Rise 1990 to 2115 (m)
East, Midlands, London, South East	4 (140mm)	8.5 (212.5mm)	12 (360mm)	15 (525mm)	1.24m

Base year 2006 – 2025 @ 4mm/year (19 years x 4mm) = 76mm

2026 – 2050 @ 85mm/year (30 years x 8.7) = 212.5mm

2051 – 2080 @ 11.6mm/year (30 years x 12) = 360mm

2091 – 2115 @ 15mm/year (24 years x 15) = 525mm

Overall total = **1174mm**

Peak tide levels Present Day (2017) at West Lighthouse 0.5% (1 in 200) = 5.82mODN and for year 2115 Higher Central) = 6.99mODN

It is therefore concluded, that until the Environment Agency undertake new modelling for the Coastal Hazard Mapping, that the present-day breach mapping can be used for determining finished floor levels for residential development.

DRAINAGE STATEMENT

Surface Water

Construction on any undeveloped area has the potential to increase the rates and volumes of surface water runoff, due to the replacement of pervious land with impervious surfaces. In this case, the areal footprint of the building, access tracks and other infrastructure is unchanged. As there are no proposals to increase the footprint of the buildings, post development, the roof water from the buildings will continue to discharge to the existing outlets which have functioned over many years without giving any cause for complaint. These will be checked and cleaned out, as necessary.

All access roads and parking areas are already available.

Foul Water Drainage

As the site is not within an area served by Public sewers the foul drainage from the dwellings will be collected in underground pipes designed in accordance with Building Regulations. Document H. and be connected into a package treatment unit.

As there is a positive drainage system capable of receiving flows from the development there is no likely impact on neighbouring property.

FLOOD PROTECTION

Any impact of damage to the properties can be foreseen and mitigated against by relatively simple design and construction techniques. There are two forms of flood protection works: -

- **Flood-resistance or proofing works:** - these try to reduce the amount of water entering a building.
- **Flood-resilient works:** - these reduce the amount of damage caused by water entering the building.

The proposed dwelling will have the following resilient measures incorporated in the construction in accordance with "Improving the flood performance of new buildings" CLG (2007).

- o The ground floor living accommodation for the proposed three dwellings is to be raised 300mm above the existing ground level set at a minimum level of 2.98mODN. This would provide an ample freeboard available to prevent the property being affected from flooding from a breach to the defences.
- o Water, electricity, and gas meters: should be located above the predicted flood level. Electrical services: electrical sockets, heating systems: boiler units and ancillary devices should be installed at least 500mm above the ground floor level to minimise damage to electrical services. Electric ring mains should be installed at high level with drops to ground floor sockets and switches.
- o The ground floor to be constructed with a solid concrete floor with no voids beneath and no low-level wall vents. However, if a beam type floor is to be used, provision should be incorporated for draining the under-floor void.
- o Avoid the use of absorbent cavity insulation to the ground floor level and use the closed cell type.
- o Plasterboard to be fitted horizontally on the ground floor, for easy replacement.
- o Where possible, all service entries should be sealed (e.g., with expanding foam or similar closed cell material). Closed cell insulation should be used for pipes which are below the predicted flood level.
- o As this site is in an area that is capable of receiving flood warnings from the Environment Agency Floodline Warning Direct system. It is recommended that the property Owner contact the Environment Agency's Floodline on 0845 988 1188 to register the property to receive advance warning of flooding by telephone, mobile, fax, SMS text, email or pager. The Environment Agency aim to issue a 'severe flood warning' approximately 2 hours before existing defences are overtopped.

CONCLUSION

- o The site does have the protection of tidal flood defences which are well maintained by the Environment Agency.
- o The existing property is shown to be located within Flood Zone 3a 'High Probability' as detailed on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone Maps **without defences**, and as defined in Table 1 of NPPG.
- o The Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification in Table 2 of NPPG the proposed residential use is classified as "More Vulnerable", with Table 1 of NPPG stating that such uses are appropriate in this flood zone subject to the Exception Test.
- o As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework Technical Guidance (para.164), change of use should not be subject to the sequential and exception tests but will still need to meet the requirements of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- o It is proposed that the floor level for the three residential properties be raised 300mm above the existing ground level set at 2.98mODN
- o The risk of flooding from Rivers and Sea Map shows that the site is at a Low risk of flooding. **Low risk** means that each year this area has a chance of flooding of between 0.1% and 1%.
- o There are no local site-specific risks that would adversely affect the flood risk categorisation of the site. Similarly, there are considered to be no increased risk of offsite flooding risks as a result of the development.
- o Flooding from other sources is unlikely to affect the site.

Flood map for planning

Your reference
Four Winds

Location (easting/northing)
542847/320761

Created
17 May 2021 16:29

Your selected location is in flood zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.

This means:

- you must complete a flood risk assessment for development in this area
- you should follow the Environment Agency's standing advice for carrying out a flood risk assessment (see www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-standing-advice)

Notes

The flood map for planning shows river and sea flooding data only. It doesn't include other sources of flooding. It is for use in development planning and flood risk assessments.

This information relates to the selected location and is not specific to any property within it. The map is updated regularly and is correct at the time of printing.

The Open Government Licence sets out the terms and conditions for using government data.
<https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>

Map 1



Flood map for planning

Your reference

Four Winds

Location (easting/northing)

542847/320761

Scale

1:2500

Created

17 May 2021 16:29



Selected point



Flood zone 3



Flood zone 3: areas
benefitting from flood
defences



Flood zone 2



Flood zone 1




Flood defence



Main river



Flood storage area



Page 2 of 2

Flood risk


Location

Extent of flooding



Four Winds


Map 2



Extent of flooding from rivers or the sea

High Medium Low Very low

Tidal Level Location Map Lincolnshire & Northamptonshire Area



2014 Interim Water Level Profile

REF	LOCATION	EASTING	NORTHING	ANNUAL CHANCE (1 IN X) OF TIDE LEVEL METRES ODN																				
				1			10			50			100			200			300			1000		
				Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound		
				5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%	5%	50%	95%
H230	Winterton	493420	422830	5.13	5.14	5.15	5.47	5.51	5.56	5.67	5.74	5.82	5.74	5.83	5.92	5.81	5.90	6.00	5.84	5.94	6.03	5.94	6.02	6.06
H220	Ferriby	497550	421150	5.03	5.04	5.05	5.38	5.42	5.47	5.59	5.67	5.77	5.67	5.77	5.89	5.74	5.86	6.00	5.78	5.91	6.06	5.91	6.04	6.19
H216	Humber Bridge	502478	423914	4.97	4.98	4.99	5.33	5.37	5.42	5.55	5.64	5.74	5.64	5.75	5.88	5.72	5.86	6.02	5.75	5.92	6.10	5.88	6.09	6.31
H210	Barrow Haven	506380	422620	4.91	4.92	4.93	5.27	5.31	5.36	5.50	5.60	5.72	5.60	5.73	5.89	5.69	5.86	6.08	5.74	5.94	6.18	5.87	6.17	6.51
H200	New Holland	508020	424330	4.86	4.87	4.88	5.21	5.26	5.31	5.45	5.55	5.67	5.55	5.68	5.84	5.64	5.81	6.03	5.68	5.89	6.13	5.82	6.12	6.47
H170	Goxhill	511970	425440	4.66	4.67	4.68	5.00	5.04	5.09	5.24	5.34	5.46	5.33	5.47	5.65	5.43	5.61	5.85	5.47	5.69	5.96	5.62	5.95	6.37
H150	East Halton	514450	422870	4.58	4.59	4.60	4.91	4.96	5.01	5.15	5.25	5.38	5.25	5.39	5.57	5.34	5.53	5.77	5.39	5.62	5.90	5.54	5.89	6.33
H130	North Killingholme	516530	420000	4.50	4.51	4.52	4.82	4.87	4.92	5.05	5.15	5.28	5.15	5.28	5.46	5.24	5.42	5.66	5.29	5.51	5.78	5.43	5.77	6.19
H100	South Killingholme	518700	417120	4.41	4.41	4.42	4.72	4.77	4.82	4.95	5.05	5.17	5.05	5.18	5.35	5.14	5.32	5.55	5.18	5.40	5.67	5.33	5.66	6.08
3888	Immingham*	520440	417625	4.16	4.17	4.19	4.50	4.53	4.62	4.73	4.80	5.00	4.83	4.93	5.19	4.93	5.06	5.41	4.98	5.14	5.55	5.15	5.38	6.01

NOTE:

- The base date for the data is 2014.
- The levels are still water levels. Depending on the use of the data it may be necessary to consider wave heights and / or joint probability analysis of water level and other variables.
- Levels for other annual chance probabilities are available if required.
- The information is due to be updated in mid-2020
- *The levels for Immingham are taken from the 2018 Coastal Flood Boundary dataset.

East Coast and Wash: Immingham to the West Lighthouse

2018 Coastal Flood Boundary Extreme Sea Levels

CFB REF	LOCATION	EASTING	NORTHING	ANNUAL CHANCE (1 IN X) OF TIDE LEVEL IN METRES ODN																						
				1			10			50			100			200			300			1000				
				Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound			Confidence Bound				
				2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%	97.5%	2.5%	50%
3888	Immingham	520440	417625	4.16	4.17	4.19	4.50	4.53	4.62	4.73	4.80	5.00	4.83	4.93	5.19	4.93	5.06	5.41	4.98	5.14	5.55	5.15	5.38	6.01		
3890	Haborough Marsh	522100	416512	4.14	4.15	4.17	4.48	4.51	4.60	4.70	4.77	4.97	4.80	4.90	5.16	4.90	5.03	5.38	4.94	5.10	5.51	5.11	5.34	5.97		
3898	Grimsby	529295	413162	3.98	3.99	4.01	4.31	4.34	4.43	4.53	4.60	4.80	4.61	4.71	4.97	4.71	4.84	5.19	4.74	4.90	5.31	4.88	5.11	5.74		
3906	Buck Beck	534709	407369	3.87	3.88	3.90	4.19	4.23	4.31	4.41	4.50	4.68	4.50	4.61	4.86	4.61	4.75	5.10	4.64	4.82	5.22	4.80	5.05	5.66		
3910	Tetney	538035	405537	3.85	3.86	3.89	4.17	4.22	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.67	4.49	4.61	4.86	4.60	4.75	5.10	4.63	4.82	5.21	4.80	5.06	5.66		
3918	Donna Nook	544641	401997	3.82	3.83	3.86	4.14	4.19	4.27	4.38	4.48	4.65	4.47	4.60	4.85	4.58	4.74	5.10	4.63	4.82	5.22	4.81	5.08	5.68		
3928	Saltfleet	549131	393360	3.78	3.79	3.82	4.11	4.16	4.26	4.36	4.46	4.64	4.47	4.59	4.86	4.57	4.74	5.11	4.63	4.83	5.25	4.83	5.11	5.74		
3942	Boygrift	555131	380860	3.72	3.74	3.77	4.06	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.43	4.65	4.43	4.57	4.87	4.56	4.73	5.13	4.62	4.83	5.28	4.85	5.15	5.82		
3968	Gibraltar Point	557652	356181	4.16	4.17	4.20	4.51	4.56	4.67	4.76	4.85	5.08	4.85	4.97	5.27	4.94	5.10	5.49	4.99	5.18	5.63	5.14	5.41	6.09		
3992_14	Hobhole	535990	340116	4.96	4.97	5.01	5.40	5.44	5.56	5.66	5.76	5.98	5.78	5.90	6.20	5.88	6.04	6.44	5.92	6.11	6.57	6.03	6.31	6.99		
	Grand Sluice*	532366	344510	4.93	4.94	4.98	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3		
3992_9	Boston Barrier	532754	342852	4.93	4.94	4.98	5.41	5.45	5.57	5.73	5.83	6.05	5.85	5.97	6.27	5.93	6.09	6.49	5.94	6.13	6.59	5.98	6.26	6.94		
3992_5	Fosdyke Bridge	531886	332234	4.87	4.88	4.92	5.31	5.35	5.47	5.58	5.68	5.90	5.71	5.83	6.13	5.82	5.98	6.38	5.87	6.06	6.52	6.01	6.29	6.97		
4008	West Lighthouse	550094	329971	4.87	4.88	4.91	5.21	5.26	5.37	5.46	5.56	5.78	5.56	5.68	5.98	5.66	5.82	6.21	5.71	5.90	6.35	5.86	6.14	6.81		
-	Marsh Road	525988	324065	-	5.04	-	-	5.44	-	-	5.73	-	-	5.85	-	-	5.98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
-	Wisbech	546110	309940	-	4.83	-	-	5.25	-	-	5.53	-	-	5.66	-	-	5.78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
-	Dog-in-a-Doublet	527200	299287	-	3.67	-	-	4.00	-	-	4.22	-	-	4.32	-	-	4.42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

See next page for notes

2018 Coastal Flood Boundary Extreme Sea Levels

NOTES:

The following notes apply to all CFB sites (ie all on table excluding Marsh Road, Wisbech, Dog-in-a-Doublet)

- The base date for the data is 2017.
- The levels are still water levels. Depending on the use of the data it may be necessary to consider wave heights and / or joint probability analysis of water level and other variables.
- Levels for other annual chance probabilities are available if required.
- For additional information relating to the 2018 Coastal Flood Boundary Extreme Sea Levels or to access the full dataset for the above sites or intermediate locations refer to the Defra Metadata Catalogue at <https://deframetadadata.com/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/84a5c7c0-d465-11e4-b0bd-f0def148f590>

The following notes apply to all Marsh Road, Wisbech, Dog-in-a-Doublet


- The base date for the data is 2006
- The levels are still water levels. Depending on the use of the data it may be necessary to consider wave heights and / or joint probability analysis of water level and other variables.
- Levels for other annual chance probabilities are available if required.
- These levels will be updated as their respective tidal river models are updated.

The following notes apply to Grand Sluice


- The data is based on CFB 2018 data for Boston Barrier site, capped at 5.3mAOD to reflect use of the barrier.
- The base date for the data is 2017
- The levels are still water levels. Depending on the use of the data it may be necessary to consider wave heights and / or joint probability analysis of water level and other variables.
- For additional information relating to the 2018 Coastal Flood Boundary Extreme Sea Levels or to access the full dataset for the above sites or intermediate locations refer to the Defra Metadata Catalogue at <https://deframetadadata.com/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/84a5c7c0-d465-11e4-b0bd-f0def148f590>

Map 4


Max Hazard



Max Depth




Max Velocity




★ **Modelled Breach Locations** - see also the accompanying plan "Location of Modelled Breaches"

Max Hazard


(Flood Risk to People : FD2320)



Max Depth (m)



Max Velocity (m/s)



This map shows the level of flood hazard to people (called a hazard rating) if our flood defences are breached at certain locations, for a range of scenarios. The hazard rating depends on the depth and velocity of floodwater, and maximum values of these are also mapped.

The map is based on computer modelling of simulated breaches at specific locations. Each breach has been modelled individually and the results combined to create this map. Multiple breaches, other combinations of breaches, different sized tidal surges or flood flows may all give different results.

The map only considers the consequences of a breach, it does not make any assumption about the likelihood of a breach occurring. The likelihood of a breach occurring will depend on a number of different factors, including the construction and condition of the defences in the area. A breach is less likely where defences are of a good standard, but a risk of breaching remains.

General Enquiries No: 03708 506 506. Weekday Daytime calls cost 5p plus up to 6p per minute from BT Weekend Unlimited. Mobile and other providers' charges may vary



Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire Tidal Breaching Hazard Mapping

Map Centred on TF 42814 20754

This map is reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency 100026380, 2020. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

**Date
Printed**

November
2020Scenario
year




2006

Scenario	Annual Chance
----------	------------------

0.1%
(in 1000)

CCN
Number

CCN-2020-191327



★ Modelled Breach Locations - see also the accompanying plan "Location of Modelled Breaches"

Max Hazard

(Flood Risk to People : FD2320)

Less than 0.75 (Low Hazard)

Between 0.75 and 1.25 (Danger for Some)

Between 1.25 and 2.0 (Danger for Most)

Greater than 2.0 (Danger for All)

Max Depth (m)

0 - 0.25

0.25 - 0.50

0.50 - 1.0

1.0 - 1.6

1.6 +

Max Velocity (m/s)

0 - 0.3

0.3 - 1.0

1.0 - 1.5

1.5 - 2.5

2.5 +

This map shows the level of flood hazard to people (called a hazard rating) if our flood defences are breached at certain locations, for a range of scenarios. The hazard rating depends on the depth and velocity of floodwater, and maximum values of these are also mapped.

The map is based on computer modelling of simulated breaches at specific locations. Each breach has been modelled individually and the results combined to create this map. Multiple breaches, other combinations of breaches, different sized tidal surges or flood flows may all give different results.

The map only considers the consequences of a breach, it does not make any assumption about the likelihood of a breach occurring. The likelihood of a breach occurring will depend on a number of different factors, including the construction and condition of the defences in the area. A breach is less likely where defences are of a good standard, but a risk of breaching remains.

Environment Agency

Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire Tidal Breaching Hazard Mapping

Map Centred on TF 42814 20754

This map is reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency 100026380, 2020. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

Date Printed

November 2020

Scenario year

2115

Scenario Annual Chance

0.5% (1 in 200)


CCN Number

CCN-2020-191327


General Enquiries No: 03708 506 506. Weekday Daytime calls cost 5p plus up to 6p per minute from BT Weekend Unlimited. Mobile and other providers' charges may vary

Map 6


Max Hazard



Max Depth



Max Velocity



★ Modelled Breach Locations - see also the accompanying plan "Location of Modelled Breaches"

Max Hazard	Max Depth (m)	Max Velocity (m/s)
(Flood Risk to People : FD2320)		
Less than 0.75 (Low Hazard)	0 - 0.25	0 - 0.3
Between 0.75 and 1.25 (Danger for Some)	0.25 - 0.50	0.3 - 1.0
Between 1.25 and 2.0 (Danger for Most)	0.50 - 1.0	1.0 - 1.5
Greater than 2.0 (Danger for All)	1.0 - 1.6	1.5 - 2.5
	1.6 +	2.5 +

This map shows the level of flood hazard to people (called a hazard rating) if our flood defences are breached at certain locations, for a range of scenarios. The hazard rating depends on the depth and velocity of floodwater, and maximum values of these are also mapped.

The map is based on computer modelling of simulated breaches at specific locations. Each breach has been modelled individually and the results combined to create this map. Multiple breaches, other combinations of breaches, different sized tidal surges or flood flows may all give different results.

The map only considers the consequences of a breach, it does not make any assumption about the likelihood of a breach occurring. The likelihood of a breach occurring will depend on a number of different factors, including the construction and condition of the defences in the area. A breach is less likely where defences are of a good standard, but a risk of breaching remains.

Environment Agency

Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire Tidal Breaching Hazard Mapping

Map Centred on TF 42814 20754

This map is reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency 100026380, 2020. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

Date Printed: November 2020

Scenario year: 2115

Scenario Annual Chance: 0.1% (1 in 1000)

CCN Number: CCN-2020-191327

Flood risk

Low risk: depth

Location

Four Winds

Map 7

Proposed Site




Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database rights 2021

Surface water flood risk: water depth in a low risk scenario

Flood depth (millimetres)

Over 900mm 300 to 900mm Below 300mm


Plan 1



Notes

Copyright
© Robert Doughty Consultancy Limited.
All rights described in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 have been asserted.

Ordnance Survey Map
© Crown Copyright. OS Licence No: AR 100010613.



Rev	Description	Date
-----	-------------	------

rdc
Robert Doughty
Consultancy

32 High Street, Helpringham
Sleaford, Lincolnshire, NG34 0RA

Tel: 01529 421646
Email: admin@rdc-landplan.co.uk
Web: www.rdc-landplan.co.uk

Client
Mr and Mrs Jeffs

Project
Four Winds
Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton

Drawing
Location Plan


Scale @ A3 1: 1250	Date 07/05/21
------------------------------	-------------------------

Drawn By WW	Checked By LMS
-----------------------	--------------------------


Job Number 1425-2	Status PL	Purpose of Issue Planning
-----------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------------

Drawing No. 1425-2_PL_LP01	Rev -
--------------------------------------	-----------------

Plan 2



EXISTING SITE PLAN



PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Notes

Copyright


© Robert Doughty Consultancy Limited.
All rights described in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 have been asserted.

Ordnance Survey Map

© Crown Copyright. OS Licence No: AR 100010613.

CDM 2015

This drawing is for illustrative purposes and/or obtaining the relevant planning permission only. It should not be used for construction and accordingly, risk identification under the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations 2015 has not been undertaken.



Rev	Description	Date
-----	-------------	------

rdc
Robert Doughty
Consultancy

32 High Street, Helpringham
Sleaford, Lincolnshire, NG34 0RA

Tel: 01529 421646
Email: admin@rdc-landplan.co.uk
Web: www.rdc-landplan.co.uk

Client

Mr and Mrs Jeffs

Project

Four Winds
Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton

Drawing

Existing & Proposed Site Plans

Scale @ A3 1: 500		Date 07/05/21
Drawn By WW		Checked By LMS
Job Number 1425-2	Status PL	Purpose of Issue Planning

Drawing No. 1425-2_PL_SP01	Rev -
--------------------------------------	-----------------



Proposed South Elevation



Proposed East Elevation



Proposed North Elevation



Proposed West Elevation

0 1 2 3 4 5m

- Materials
- 1. Brickwork repaired and repointed
 - 2. Profiled roof sheeting
 - 3. Aluminium curtain wall glazing
 - 4. Aluminium rainwater goods
 - 5. Aluminium Velux Roof lights

Notes


Copyright
© Robert Doughty Consultancy Limited.
All rights described in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 have been asserted.

Ordnance Survey Map
© Crown Copyright. OS Licence No: AR 100010613.


Dimensions
All dimensions shown are for the purposes of obtaining the relevant planning permission only.

CDM 2015
This drawing is for illustrative purposes and/or obtaining the relevant planning permission only. It should not be used for construction and accordingly, risk identification under the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations 2015 has not been undertaken.

A		Design Alterations	04.06.21
Rev	Description		Date
<div><div>rdc</div><div>Robert Doughty Consultancy</div><div>32 High Street, Helpringham Sleaford, Lincolnshire, NG34 0RA</div><div>Tel: 01529 421646 Email: admin@rdc-landplan.co.uk Web: www.rdc-landplan.co.uk</div></div>			
Client Mr and Mrs Jeffs			
Project Four Winds Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton			
Drawing Proposed GA Elevations - Unit 2 & 3			
Scale @ A2 1: 100		Date 14/04/21	
Drawn By CH		Checked By PSS	
Job Number 1425-2	Status PL	Purpose of Issue Planning	
Drawing No. 1425-2_PL_GA03			Rev A



Proposed First Floor Plan



Proposed Ground Floor Plan



Notes


Copyright
© Robert Doughty Consultancy Limited.
All rights described in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 have been asserted.

Ordnance Survey Map
© Crown Copyright. OS Licence No: AR 100010613.

Dimensions
All dimensions shown are for the purposes of obtaining the relevant planning permission only.

CDM 2015
This drawing is for illustrative purposes and/or obtaining the relevant planning permission only. It should not be used for construction and accordingly, risk identification under the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations 2015 has not been undertaken.


A		Design Alterations	04.06.21
Rev	Description		Date
<div><div><div>rdc</div><div>Robert Doughty Consultancy</div></div><div>32 High Street, Helpringham Sleaford, Lincolnshire, NG34 0RA Tel: 01529 421646 Email: admin@rdc-landplan.co.uk Web: www.rdc-landplan.co.uk</div></div>			
Client Mr and Mrs Jeffs			
Project Four Winds Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton			
Drawing Unit 2 & 3 - Floor Plans			
Scale @ A2 1: 100		Date 14/04/21	
Drawn By CH		Checked By PSS	
Job Number 1425-2	Status SK	Purpose of Issue Client Discussion	
Drawing No. 1425-2_PL_GA02			Rev A



Proposed Ground Floor Plan



Proposed South Elevation



Proposed East Elevation



Proposed North Elevation



Proposed West Elevation



- Materials
- 1. Brickwork repaired and repointed
 - 2. Profiled roof sheeting
 - 3. Aluminium curtain wall glazing
 - 4. Aluminium rainwater goods
 - 5. Aluminium clerestorey glazing

Notes

Copyright
© Robert Doughty Consultancy Limited.
All rights described in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 have been asserted.

Ordnance Survey Map
© Crown Copyright. OS Licence No: AR 100010613.

A Design Alterations 04.06.21

Rev	Description	Date
-----	-------------	------

rdc

Robert Doughty Consultancy

32 High Street, Helpingham
Sleaford, Lincolnshire, NG34 0RA

Tel: 01529 421646
Email: admin@rdc-landplan.co.uk
Web: www.rdc-landplan.co.uk

Client
Mr and Mrs Jeffs

Project
Four Winds
Tydd Low Road, Long Sutton

Drawing
Proposed Plans and Elevations - Unit 1

Scale @ A3 1: 100	Date 26/03/21
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Drawn By CH	Checked By PSS
-----------------------	--------------------------

Job Number 1425-2	Status PL	Purpose of Issue Planning
-----------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------------

Drawing No. 1425-2_PL_GA01	Rev A
--------------------------------------	-----------------