DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No:	**H11-0797-22**	**Applicant:**	**Mrs Lockyer**
Proposal:	Proposed conservatory		
Location:	4 Daniels Gate Long Sutton Spalding		
Terminal Date:	6th October 2022		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2021

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

PROPOSAL

This is a householder application seeking permission for a conservatory to the front elevation of the dwelling measuring 4.1 metres (width) x 3 metres (depth) and standing at 3.3 metres in height. The conservatory would be constructed from red brick and white frames.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located on Daniels Gate in Long Sutton. It comprises a two storey semi-detached dwelling. There is a driveway to the north of the site providing off-street parking for several vehicles. The main outdoor amenity space is to the south-west of the site, adjacent to the road. The site is enclosed by a high boundary hedge. The immediate area comprises a mixture of detached and semi-detached dwellings.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

H11-0091-16 - Single-storey extension - Approved

REPRESENTATIONS

No representations received.

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Layout, Design, Size and Scale

Paragraph 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2021 establishes that planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments will function well and add to the overall quality of the area; are visually attractive as a result of good architecture and sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting; and create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, among other considerations. Likewise, Policy 2 of the SELLP states that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable.

The proposed conservatory would be sited adjacent to the street, however, there would not be any prominent views over the high boundary hedge. In addition, the conservatory has a modest footprint and would be sited at least 10 metres from the boundary therefore it would not appear overly prominent in relation to the main house and the street scene .

As such the conservatory would on balance comply with the aforementioned policies on design, layout and impact upon the character of the local area.

Impacts upon Residential Amenity

Paragraph 130 of National Planning Policy Framework (2021) amongst other matters seeks places with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users. Policies 2 and 3 of South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) set out residential amenity and relationship to existing development and land uses as main considerations when making planning decisions.

The closest neighbour is the attached neighbour to the south-east of the site. The proposed conservatory would be located approximately 3.7 metres from the shared boundary from this neighbour. Given its small footprint and modest height this separation distance is considered sufficient as to not result in any overbearing impact or unacceptable overshadowing or overlooking.

With regards to the neighbour to the north at no.6 Daniels Gate the proposed conservatory would be largely blocked by the applicants existing dwelling given the relationship. It would be sited at least 15 metres from this neighbours boundary therefore no unacceptable impact would result on the amenity of this neighbour.

As such the proposal would accord with Paragraph 130 of National Planning Policy Framework (2021) and Policies 2 and 3 of South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019).

Planning Balance

The proposal will not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality or the amenity of nearby residents. The planning balance is therefore considered to be in favour of the proposal.

CONCLUSION

In light of the considerations above, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021).

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

RECOMMENDATION

The application can be approved under delegated powers.