DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No:	**H11-0953-23**	**Applicant:**	**Mrs R Bailey**
Proposal:	Proposed Garage		
Location:	44 Station Road Long Sutton Spalding		
Terminal Date:	26th December 2023		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2023

Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 12 - Achieving well designed places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0
HIGHWAYS & SUDS SUPPORT	0	0	0	1
SOUTH HOLLAND INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD	0	0	0	1

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is a householder application seeking permission for a proposed garage at the front of 44 Station Road.

Site Description

History

No relevant planning history.

Consultation Responses

Lincolnshire County Council Highways

No objection -

The proposal involves no alteration to the existing access arrangements for the subject property and sufficient space would be retained within the property to allow the residents to be able to manoeuvre their vehicles so that they can leave and enter the highway in a forward gear.

In consideration of the above and having given due regard to the appropriate local and national planning policy guidance (in particular the National Planning Policy Framework), Lincolnshire County Council (as Highway Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority) has concluded that the proposed development would not be expected to have an unacceptable impact upon highway safety or a severe residual cumulative impact upon the local highway network and therefore does not wish to object to this planning application.

Informatives -

As Lead Local Flood Authority, Lincolnshire County Council is required to provide a statutory planning consultation response with regard to drainage and surface water flood risk on all Major applications. This application is classified as a Minor Application and it is therefore the duty of the Local Planning Authority to consider the surface water flood risk
and drainage proposals for this planning application.

Public Representation

This application has been advertised in accordance with the Development Procedure Order and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement. In this instance, there have been no letters of representation.

Evaluation

Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended by the 2004 Act, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

In this case, the adopted South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036, adopted March 2019, forms the development plan for the District, and is the basis for decision making in South Holland. The relevant development plan policies are detailed within the report above.

The policies and provisions set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (updated 2023) are also a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, alongside adopted Supplementary Planning Documents.

Planning Considerations

Layout, Design and Consideration of the Character and Appearance of the Area

Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) specifically relates to 'Achieving well-designed places' and details that "The creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve" and as

such, it is generally accepted that good design plays a key role towards sustainable development.

Paragraph 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2023 establishes that planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, that they are visually attractive, as a result of good architecture, and are sympathetic to local character. Likewise, Policy 2 of the SELLP, states that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable. Furthermore, Policy 3 accords with the provisions of Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, in that it requires development to comprise good design; identifying issues that should be considered when preparing schemes so that development sits comfortably with, and adds positively to, its historically-designated or undesignated townscape or landscape surroundings.

The materials used on the garage will match the existing dwelling, this benefits the scheme as it means no visual discrepancies will arise due to it being in keeping with the dwelling and other properties in the area. The design of the garage features a gable roof with a large roller door on the front with an access door on the side elevation.

The garage will have a length of 6000mm and a width of 4000mm providing an internal measurement of 24m squared. The height to the eaves will be around 2400mm with a total height of 3500mm. The width of the main access door will be around 2485mm providing a wide enough access for a vehicle to be stored within it. These measurements are considered to be acceptable and provides enough room for a vehicle and some extra storage whilst not appearing too large so that it will not harm the street scene or locality. In any case, the existing hedge along the curtilage will provide cover to limit any issues the development could cause due to its proximity to the highway.

To make room for the garage to be located in this area the existing wooden fence will be shortened and the existing wooden building within the garden will need to be repositioned. These alterations would not result in an unacceptable impact upon the character or appearance of the area.

Taking the above into account, the proposal is considered to be acceptable. The proposal would not cause an unacceptable adverse impact to the character or appearance of the area and would therefore be in accordance with policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on Residential Amenity/Land Users

Paragraph 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) states that development should create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.

There will be no overlooking that arises from the development as there shall be no windows that will be located on the elevations of the garage, to further reinstate this, if any windows were to be included at a later date than there is an adequate separation distance to any neighbouring property and the aforementioned hedge will provide cover. Similarly, there shall also be no overshadowing on residents due to the same reasons, the separation distance between the proposed site and neighbours means that a shadow should not be cast and the hedge will also provide cover. Naturally, after the initial construction, which itself would take place over a short period, minimal additional noise pollution would be caused as a result of the development.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with Policies 2 & 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Vehicle Parking and Highway Safety

Section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) specifically relates to 'Promoting sustainable transport'. Paragraph 111 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) advises that "development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe".

The proposed garage will not cause an unacceptable harm to the safety of the highway despite it

being located within the front of the curtilage and close to the highway. Lincolnshire County Council have commented on the application and raised no objection, within their consultation response they have stated that 'No alteration to the existing access arrangement and sufficient space would be retained within the property to allow the residents to be able to manoeuvre their vehicles so that they can leave and enter the highway in a forward gear'. The proposal will therefore be in accordance with Section 9 of the NPPF 2023. Furthermore, the size of the garage can also act as a vehicle parking space due to its size conforming to Appendix 6 of the SELLP, this benefits the scheme on highway grounds as it will allow for vehicles to be stored away from the highway.

Planning Balance

As detailed above, Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended by the 2004 Act, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The proposal represents appropriate development within the defined settlement boundary. The development hereby proposed does not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality or the amenity of nearby resident and is seen as acceptable. Overall, the development conforms with the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan for the most part and the provisions of the National Planning policy Framework when viewed as a whole.

Conclusion

Taking the above considerations into account, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies 2, 3 and Appendix 6 of the SELLP, along with the identified sections contained within the NPPF. The are no significant factors in this case that indicate against the proposal and outweigh the consideration in favour of the proposal and the policies referred to above

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to

respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Recommendation

Based on the assessment detailed above, it is recommended that the proposal should be approved under Delegated Authority.