SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Council Offices
Priory Road
Spalding
Lincolnshire
PE11 2XE

tel: 01775 761161
fax: 01775 710772
web: www.sholland.gov.uk

Application no: H11-1039-10

Applicant: Mr D Franklin
47 London Road
Long Sutton
Spalding
Lincs
PE12 9ED

FILE COPY

Agent: Mr D Franklin
47 London Road
Long Sutton
Spalding
Lincs
PE12 9ED

Description: Proposed first floor extension and erection of detached single garage

Location: 47 London Road
Long Sutton
Spalding
Lincs
PE12 9ED
PE12 9ED

Amendment: Garage to be sited a further 4 metres away from brink of dyke and reduce garage width by 1 metre

Letter Sent: 11th February 2011

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above and confirm that the changes are able to be incorporated in the application which will now **only be considered in its amended form.**

Your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully

Head of Planning & Development

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin to Complete

Date Received ...H.P. 9.2... Registered ☑ 11/28

Case Officer to Complete

Has this amendment been requested? ~~Yes~~/No

If Yes, is it what was asked for? ~~Yes~~/No

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/~~No~~

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? **Yes/No** (Refer to Admin if in doubt)

If No, but amendment requested, the following must be completed if the amendment is to be accepted

Certified That The Development Objectives Outweigh The Financial Objectives
Senior Officer's Signature: ..... Date: .....

Re-Consults as a result of this amendment None ☒ All ☒

Partial Parish Council ☒ Ward Member ☒ Press ☐ Site Notice ☐

Residents/Other ☒ - *Name/Address*: As before.....

.....All 10 days.....

.....GD. 11·2·11

.....

Case Officer's Decision:

REJECTED – New Application Required ☒

Reason .....

Do you want this reason to be added to the Rejection Notice? **YES/NO**

ACCEPTED – Superseded Details Removed ☒

Note .....

Do you want this note to be added to the Acceptance Notice? **YES/NO**

Revised Description (if applicable) .....

Case Officers Signature ..C.Dewhurst..... **Date** ..11:2:11.....

Admin To Complete

Agreed Term Date Updated ☒ Re-Consultations Carried Out (*if necessary*) ☐

Public Register Updated ☑ Acceptance/~~Rejection~~ Letter Issued ☑ 11/28

(Plus Library if Re-Consulting Residents)

Dewhurst, Gill

SHDC
Planning & Development
10 FEB 2011
Ref: 1039-10

From: LEE RUSSELL [lee.russell01@btinternet.com]
Sent: 09 February 2011 16:54
To: Dewhurst, Gill
Subject: RE: H11-1039-10 - London Rd , Long Sutton. Mr D Franklin
Attachments: Proposed Floor Layouts (A).pdf; Proposed Site layout (A).pdf

Gill,

Further to our recent communications, i attach a revised drawing showing the back of the single garage offset 6m from the rear dyke brink, as expected. I have also reduced the garge depth by1m.

I trust these amends are just in time and that they should not cause any issues / need to re-consult neighbours etc.

look forward to hearing from you

AMENDED PLAN
Ref. A1

regards

lee

--- On **Wed, 2/2/11, Dewhurst, Gill** <*GDewhurst@sholland.gov.uk*> wrote:

> From: Dewhurst, Gill <GDewhurst@sholland.gov.uk>
> Subject: RE: H11-1039-10 - London Rd , Long Sutton. Mr D Franklin
> To: "LEE RUSSELL" <lee.russell01@btinternet.com>
> Date: Wednesday, 2 February, 2011, 9:54
>
> I will speak to Jon Sharpe tomorrow about it and let you know.
> Regards
> Gill
>
> ---
>
> **From:** LEE RUSSELL [mailto:lee.russell01@btinternet.com]
> **Sent:** 02 February 2011 09:37
> **To:** Dewhurst, Gill
> **Subject:** H11-1039-10 - London Rd , Long Sutton. Mr D Franklin
>
> Morning Gill,
>
> Further to our conversation yesterday regarding offset of proposed garage from dyke brink, I have been advised this morning that the drainage board's meeting is actually on the 8th of Feb. Obviously our determination is by 11th. Could i please ask that i will let you have an amended plan with the garage moved forward 2metres on the afternoon of the 8th if the board request the byelaw to be a minimum relaxation of 6m from the brink.
>
> I trust the amended plan would have no further/additioanl effects on anyone and so hope that it would be acceptable on this date.
>
> Regards

Lee

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For Office Use only
Application No: H11-1039-10/A1
Date Registered: 10 FEB 2011
Case Officer: GD.

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

(Please complete using block capitals and black ink)

APPLICANT NAME AND ADDRESS	**AGENT NAME AND ADDRESS**
Mr. Franklin 47 London Road Long Sutton Telephone:	FILE COPY Telephone:
SITE ADDRESS DETAILS As Above	
CURRENT APPLICATION NO: This amendment cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated.	H11-1039-10
Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if applicable)	—

I attach four copies of this form and necessary plans and request that the changes described below can be accepted as an amendment. As the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date (if applicable).

Signed : Dewhurst Date: 10.2.11

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT OF CURRENT APPLICATION THAT THIS AMENDMENT RELATES TO	Proposed first floor extension and erection of detached single garage	
State details of amendments proposed. (Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered)	Proposed garage to be sited a further 4m away from brink of dyke - SHDB bylaw Reduce garage by 1m in width	
State drawing numbers of the current submission	2010/11/df/100 2010/11/df/200 2010/11/df/101	
Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)	YES/NO	
Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers		
Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? (If so, state Building Control Surveyor dealing with application)	YES/NO	