DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Application No:** | **H12-0650-26** | **Applicant:** | **Lincolnshire County Council** |
| **Proposal:** | Siting of Play Group Building - approved under H12-1046-21 Modification of Condition 2 to retain the building for a further 5 years | | |
| **Location:** | Rainbow Day Nursery 84 Little London Long Sutton | | |
| **Terminal Date:** | 31st July 2026 | | |

**Planning Policies**

**South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019**

**National Guidance**

**National Planning Policy Framework December 2024**

Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development
Section 4 - Decision-making
Section 8 - Promoting healthy and safe communities
Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 11 - Making effective use of land
Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places
Section 14 - Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

**Representations:**

| | Object | Support | No Obj. | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

**CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT**

LCC is the determining authority and SHDC has been consulted.

The mobile classroom appears to have been initially granted permission in 2013 under application H11-0990-13 to be retained until 31 January 2017.

Permission was subsequently granted under application H12-0006-17 in 2016 to allow for the retention of the building for a further 5 years.

Permission was subsequently granted under application H12-1046-21 in 2021 to allow for the retention of the building for a further 5 years.

As such, the building appears to have been within the site since 2013 and consent is sought under LCC's current application PL/0056/26 to retain the building for another 5 years.

It is noted that the supporting statement sets out that the building needs to be retained to ensure enough nursery places can continue to be provided.

The condition of the building is unclear; however, if the condition remains acceptable and the visual appearance of the building has not deteriorated significantly then it might be acceptable to retain the building for a further period. Preferably, a more permanent building would be considered; however, SHDC does not wish to raise any objections to the proposals.