

DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No: H13-0257-24 **Applicant:** Fertiliser Ltd
Proposal: Erection of dwelling and garage
Location: Rear Of 1 Seas End Road Moulton Seas End Spalding
Terminal Date: 20th May 2024

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01	Spatial Strategy
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
05	Meeting Physical Infrastructure and Service Needs
10	Meeting Assessed Housing Requirements
11	Distribution of New Housing
17	Providing a Mix of Housing
28	The Natural Environment
30	Pollution
31	Climate Change and Renewable and Low Carbon Energy
32	Community, Health and Well-being
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2023

Section 4 - Decision-making
Section 5 - Delivering a sufficient supply of homes
Section 8 - Promoting healthy and safe communities
Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 12 - Achieving well-designed and beautiful places
Section 14 - Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change
Section 15 - Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0

HIGHWAYS & SUDS SUPPORT	0	0	0	1
SOUTH HOLLAND INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD	0	0	0	1
SHDC INTERNAL	0	0	1	0
RESIDENTS	2	0	0	0

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is a full planning application for a proposed residential dwelling on garden land to the rear of 1 Seas End Road in Moulton Seas End. This proposal seeks to provide a two storey dwelling comprising three bedrooms a main bathroom a living, kitchen/dinning room, along with a downstairs wc. Proposed materials of construction are Forterra farmstead antique facing bricks with smooth grey Marley Anglia Interlocking concrete roof tiles. The door and window frames will be Anthracite Grey UPVC. Finished floor levels are to be set at a minimum of 300mm above the existing ground level on site.

Site Description

The application site lies to the southern side of Roman Bank in Moulton Seas End and consists of residential garden. The site is accessed via Roman Bank, using the existing access for No.1 Seas End Road. To the north, east, south and west are dwellings of vary designs. There is a mixture of detached single storey and two storey properties in the locality of varying design and plot size.

History

No relevant planning history.

Consultation Responses

Initial Responses Received (Consultation period between 28th March 2024 to 20th April 2024)

The responses received from consultees during the initial consultation exercises, which can be viewed in their entirety through the South Holland website, can be summarised as follows:

SHDC Environmental Protection Officer

No comments

South Holland Internal Drainage Board

Byelaw 3 (Surface Water)

The applicant has indicated that they intend to dispose of surface water via infiltration, however I cannot see that the viability of this proposal has been evidenced. We recommend that ground investigation is carried out to determine infiltration potential, followed by testing in line with BRE Digest 365 if onsite material is considered favourable for infiltration. If infiltration is not feasible at this site, following the drainage hierarchy we would expect the applicant to propose to discharge surface water to a watercourse. In this case, consent would be required under Byelaw 3. Please

note that we recommend that any discharge is in line with the Non-Statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems (SuDS), therefore the Board is unlikely to grant consent for discharges in excess of greenfield rate. A surface water development contribution is likely to be payable as a condition of any consent granted under Byelaw 3 in line with the WMA's Development Control Charges and Fees.

Byelaw 3 (Treated Foul Water)

I note that the applicant intends to treat foul waste using a package treatment plant and discharge treated water via drainage field & soakaway. As mentioned above, we recommend that ground investigations and percolation testing is undertaken if the applicant intends to install drainage systems reliant on infiltration.

If infiltration is not feasible at this site and the applicant proposes to discharge treated foul water to a watercourse, consent would be required under Byelaw 3.

Section 23, Land Drainage Act 1991

I am not aware of any riparian owned/maintained watercourses within or adjacent to the site boundary, however this should be confirmed by the applicant. Should the applicant's proposals include works to alter a watercourse, or if works are proposed to alter a watercourse at any time in the future, consent would be required under the Land Drainage Act 1991 (and byelaw 4).

Byelaw 10

There are no Board maintained watercourses within or adjacent to the site boundary therefore Byelaw 10 does not apply.

Responses Received within Re-consultation (Consultation period between 15th April 2024 to 10th May 2024)

South Holland Internal Drainage Board

Thank you for reconsulting us following submitted amendments for the planning app H13-0257-24.

SHDC Environmental Protection Officer

No comment regarding amendment 1

Public Representation

This application has been advertised in accordance with the Development Procedure Order and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement. In this instance, two letters of representation have been received.

These can be summarised as follows:

- House would congest this small area
- It would block out light and views
- A bungalow would be more suitable
- Concerns regarding the telephone wire

Key Planning Considerations

The main issues and considerations in this case are (but are not limited to):

- Principle of Development and Sustainability
- Layout, Design and Impact on the Character of the Area
- Impact on Neighbouring Residents/Land Users/Land Uses
- Highway Safety and Parking
- Flooding Risk Considerations
- Foul and Surface Water Drainage
- Biodiversity

Evaluation

Section 38 (6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as amended, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

In this case, the adopted South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036, adopted March 2019, forms the development plan for the District, and is the basis for decision making in South Holland. The relevant development plan policies are detailed within the report above.

The policies and provisions set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023) are also a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, alongside adopted Supplementary Planning Documents.

Furthermore, where a Neighbourhood Plan has been adopted, this alongside the adopted Local Plan, forms part of the Development Plan for the District, and must be considered when assessing development proposals. In this instance, no relevant neighbourhood plans have been adopted.

The Authority is able to demonstrate a supply of deliverable sites equivalent to in excess of 5 years through the latest Housing Land Supply Assessment.

Principle of Development and Sustainability

The South East Lincolnshire Local Plan sets out the settlement hierarchy in respect of delivering sustainable development that meets the social and economic needs of the area whilst protecting and enhancing the environment; in order to provide enough choice of land for housing to satisfy local housing need, whilst making more sustainable use of land and to minimise the loss of high-quality agricultural land by developing in sustainable locations and at appropriate densities.

Policy 1 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan sets out a spatial strategy for delivering sustainable development across South East Lincolnshire to 2036. Policy 1 (Spatial Strategy) expresses this sustainable framework of settlements, ranking the settlements deemed to be most sustainable in descending order.

The most sustainable locations for development are situated within the 'Sub-Regional Centres', followed by 'Main Service Centres'. Lower down the hierarchy in respect of sustainable development are areas of limited development opportunity including Minor Service Centres, with areas of development constraint comprising 'Other Service Centres and Settlements'. The countryside is at the bottom of the settlement hierarchy and represents the least sustainable location.

Policy 1 (Spatial Strategy) of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan indicates that within 'Other Service Centres', development will be permitted that supports their role as a service centre for the settlement itself, helps sustain existing facilities or helps meet the service needs of other local communities. This policy goes on to detail that development within Minor Service Centres will normally be limited to Allocated and Committed sites and infill.

The National Planning Policy Framework, (NPPF) (December 2023) outlines, within para 60, that "to support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes, it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay".

Para 70 of the NPPF (December 2023) also emphasises the importance that the contribution of small to medium sized sites can make in meeting the housing requirements. ("Small and medium sized sites can make an important contribution to meeting the housing requirement of an area and are often built-out relatively quickly"). This policy seeks to ensure that there is a sufficient supply of homes and advises that sites of all sizes make a contribution to the housing requirement of an area.

The application site in this instance is within Moulton Seas End, a 'Other Service Centre', and lies within the defined settlement boundaries as detailed by Policy 1. In context of this, the principle of residential development within this location is considered to be appropriate, comprising an appropriate form of development for the location and would be in accordance with the overall

principles of the spatial strategy.

As such, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with the requirements of Policy 1 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan when viewed in principle. This is subject to the assessment against site specific criteria; including (but are not limited to) the impact of the proposal on the character or appearance of the area, impact on the residential amenities of neighbouring occupiers, impact on highway safety and flood risk, which are discussed in turn as follows.

Layout, Design and Consideration of the Character and Appearance of the Area

Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023) specifically relates to 'Achieving well-designed and beautiful places' and details that the "creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve" and as such, it is generally accepted that good design plays a key role towards sustainable development.

Paragraph 135, contained within Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023), states that new development should function well and add to the overall quality of the area (including beyond the short term) and should be visually attractive as a result of good architecture and appropriate landscaping. This goes on to establish that it is important that new development should be of the highest quality to enhance and reinforce good design characteristics, and that decisions must have regard towards the impact that the proposed development would have on local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting such as topography, street patterns, building lines, boundary treatment and through scale and massing.

Development proposals should also ensure that developments create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, among other considerations.

Likewise, Policy 2 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan outlines sustainable development considerations for development proposals, providing a framework for an operational policy to be used in assessing the sustainable development attributes of all development proposals. Furthermore, Policy 3 accords with the provisions of Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework, in that it requires development to comprise good design; identifying issues that should be considered when preparing schemes so that development sits comfortably with, and adds positively to, its historically-designated or undesignated townscape or landscape surroundings.

These policies accord with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework and require that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable.

Proposals for new development would therefore require the aforementioned considerations to be adequately assessed and designed, including the siting, design and scale to be respectful of surrounding development and ensure that the character of the area is not compromised.

The proposed layout comprises an arrangement that would take up garden land from the existing dwelling No.1 Seas End Road, with an appropriately detailed scheme. The scale of the proposal is for a two storey dwelling including a garage to the rear. The access proposed is utilising the existing access for No.1 Seas End Road. This access is considered to be suitable as to not cause an unacceptable impact.

Although the site is located within the rear garden of No.1 Seas End Road, the new proposed dwelling would have a frontage onto Roman Bank. The proposed development seeks to provide rear garden space that is considered to be appropriate and adequate to serve the proposed dwelling. Further, the resultant development would be of an appropriate density as to ensure that the character of the area, and the scales of amenity space, are appropriate as to not cause an unacceptable adverse impact.

The dwelling would be constructed from Forterra farmstead antique facing bricks with smooth grey Marley Anglia Interlocking concrete roof tiles. The door and window frames will be Anthracite Grey UPVC.

These details are considered satisfactory, but it is suggested that an external materials condition is included to ensure the Local Planning Authority is able to consider the full complement of materials

for the dwellings.

Finished floor levels would only need to be raised by 300mm as flood risk mitigation, which would have a minimal impact on design/appearance.

Overall, the siting of the development, coupled with the scale, design and external appearance, is considered to be acceptable and whilst comprising an addition to the streetscene that would be readily visible, is not considered to comprise an oppressive or dominant addition to the streetscene or context. The siting of the dwellings is set back from the highway, to further lessen the extent of visual impact along the streetscene.

Taking account of the design, scale and nature of the development, as detailed above, the proposal is considered to be acceptable. The proposal would not cause an adverse impact to the character or appearance of the area and would therefore be in accordance with policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023).

Impact on Residential Amenity/Land Users

Paragraph 135 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023) states that development should create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.

Policies 2 and 3 of South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) sets out that residential amenity and the relationship to existing development and land uses is a main consideration when making planning decisions.

The proposed development proposes a layout that would ensure adequate separation distances to neighbouring properties. The proposed development is considered to form an appropriate design as to minimise the potential for overlooking to the existing neighbouring dwellings, with no first floor windows on the side elevations.

The resultant garden space serving No.1 Seas End Road would be reduced; however, the resultant garden would remain adequate in scale. The proposed dwelling would have a separation distance of approximately 7m (in excess of) from the rear elevation of No.1 Seas End Road. The proposed western elevation of the proposed dwelling does not propose any first floor windows. As such, the proposed development will not have a material adverse impact on residential amenity, in terms of creating overlooking, loss of privacy or loss of lights to No.1 Seas End Road.

The proposed dwelling would have a separation distance of approximately 5m (in excess of) from the side elevation of No.2 Roman Bank. No.2 Roman Bank is a bungalow, the proposed eastern elevation of the proposed dwelling does not propose any first floor windows. As such, the proposed development will not have a material adverse impact on residential amenity, in terms of creating overlooking, loss of privacy or loss of lights to No.2 Roman Bank.

The garden spaces to neighbouring properties, whilst potentially being impacted to a minimal degree of overlooking, it is considered that sufficient private amenity space will be retained by adjoining occupiers, and the development would not result in overlooking or loss of privacy or amenity that would otherwise warrant refusal of planning permission.

As detailed above, the scale and design of the proposal is considered to be such that there would be no significant or unacceptable impact on the residential amenities of the occupiers of adjacent properties or land users, when also taking account of the conditions recommended. As such, the proposal is considered to accord with the provisions of the Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023), and Policies 2 and 3 of the Local Plan.

Highway Safety and Parking

Section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023) specifically relates to 'Promoting sustainable transport'. Paragraph 115 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023) advises that "development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative

impacts on the road network would be severe".

In respect of highway matters, Policy 2 details that proposals requiring planning permission for development will be permitted provided that sustainable development considerations are met, specifically in relation to access and vehicle generation. Policy 3 details that development proposals will demonstrate how accessibility by a choice of travel modes including the provision of public transport, public rights of way and cycle ways will be secured, where they are relevant to the proposal.

Further, policy 36, to be read in conjunction with Appendix 6 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan, sets out minimum vehicle parking standards and requires at least two spaces for dwellings of up to three bedrooms and three spaces for dwellings with four or more bedrooms.

The proposed additional dwelling would utilise the existing vehicular access and driveway of the host dwelling. The garage to serve the proposed dwelling is sited within its rear garden, to the south west corner of the plot. Given that the access would be utilised by the occupants of the dwelling and their visitors, the volume of traffic is not considered to be significant. Likewise, the resultant development is not considered to result in a significant mark rise in vehicular traffic, albeit this would introduce additional traffic.

Policy 36, to be read in conjunction with Appendix 6 of the Local Plan, sets out minimum vehicle parking standards and requires at least two spaces for dwellings of up to three bedrooms and three spaces for dwellings with four or more bedrooms. The dwelling has adequate provision for parking. It is considered that, overall, the development has more than adequate parking is shown within the curtilage of the dwelling.

The proposal would therefore be acceptable and would not have an unacceptable adverse impact on highway safety in accordance with Local Plan Policies 2, 3 and 36, and Section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023).

Flooding Considerations

Section 14 of the National Planning policy Framework (December 2023) requires development plans to "apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development - taking into account all sources of flood risk and the current and future impacts of climate change - so as to avoid, where possible, flood risk to people and property. They should do this, and manage any residual risk, by: a) applying the sequential test and then, if necessary, the exception test as set out below".

Paragraph 168 details "The aim of the sequential test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest risk of flooding from any source. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower risk of flooding", with the sequential approach being required in areas known to be at risk now or in the future from any form of flooding, with the flood risk assessment being the tool to demonstrate this.

If, following the application of the Sequential Test, it is not possible, consistent with wider sustainability objectives, for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the Exceptions Test can be applied if appropriate.

The site lies within Flood Zones 3 of the Environment Agency's Flood Maps. These have been created as a tool to raise awareness of flood risk with the public and partner organisations, such as Local Authorities, Emergency Services and Drainage Authorities. The Maps do not take into account any flood defences.

The South East Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides an overview of how flood risk has been considered in shaping the proposals of the Local Plan; including the spatial strategy and the assessment of housing and employment sites. Policy 4 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan is clear in that "Development proposed within an area at risk of flooding (Flood Zones 2 and 3 of the Environment Agency's flood map or at risk during a breach or overtopping scenario as shown on the flood hazard and depths maps in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment) will be permitted" in instances where specific criteria is met.

It is worth noting that large parts of the district of South Holland lie within Flood Zone 3. It is therefore necessary to use the refined flood risk information (Hazard and Depth maps) within the

South East Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2017) as a basis to apply the sequential test.

The submitted flood risk assessment demonstrates that probability of the site flooding from any Environment agency system is less than 1% annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) because of the existing flood defences. The map shows that the peak flood depth at the site is between 0.0m and 0.25m. In this instance, finished floor levels of 300mm above existing ground level would be sufficient as a precautionary flooding mitigation measure. Raising floor levels by this extent would not have a detrimental impact on the appearance of the property or adversely affect residential amenity. These mitigation measures will be subject to a condition.

Overall, when considering the development on balance, it is considered that given the mitigation measures detailed and recommended by condition, it is considered that the proposal accords with Policies 2, 3 and 4 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan and the intentions of the National Planning policy Framework in this regard.

Biodiversity

The application was submitted prior to mandatory requirements for Biodiversity Net gain as introduced by Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (inserted by the Environment Act 2021) which requires developers to deliver a minimum of 10% Biodiversity Net Gain using standardized biodiversity units measured by statutory biodiversity metrics. For applications such as the current scheme, submitted prior to the introduction of this requirement, a standard biodiversity condition is appropriate together with the installation of swift bricks on the two storey properties. This is considered sufficient at this time to conform with policy 28 of the SELLP.

Planning Balance

As detailed above, Section 38 (6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as amended, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The proposal represents appropriate development within the defined settlement boundary. The development hereby proposed does not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality, or amenity of nearby residents, and provides adequate parking, whilst conforming with the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan and the provisions of the National Planning policy Framework when viewed as a whole.

In this instance, there are no material considerations that weigh against the proposal and as such, the planning balance is in favour of the development.

Conclusion

Taking the above considerations into account, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with policies 1, 2, 3, 4, 28 and 36 (including Appendix 6) of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (SELLP) (2019), along with the identified sections contained within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (December 2023). There are no significant factors in this case that indicate against the proposal and outweigh the consideration in favour of the proposal and the policies referred to above.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act

B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).

C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Recommendation

Based on the assessment detailed above, it is recommended that the proposal should be approved under Delegated Authority.