

DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No: H13-0382-22 **Applicant:** Postland Developments

Proposal: Details of meter boxes, gas flues & soil vent pipes (Condition 14 of H13-0844-17)

Location: Centurion Park Roman Road Moulton Chapel

Terminal Date: 2nd June 2022

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02 Development Management
03 Design of New Development

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2021

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
--	--------	---------	---------	----------

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

Details of meter boxes, gas flues & soil vent pipes (Condition 14 of H13-0844-17).

Site Description

As original application, except development has now commenced.

History

H13-1096-19 - Reserved Matters - Residential development - comprising 58 dwellings and associated works - outline approval H13-0844-17 - Approved February 2020

H13-0844-17 - Outline - Proposed development of up to 58 houses with vehicular access (garages to be removed) (resubmission of H13-1280-16) - Approved October 2018

Consultation Responses

Not applicable.

Planning Considerations

All meter supply cabinets will be either to the side of houses, or otherwise within the garages of some properties, gas flues will be located on the sides or rear of properties and soil vent pipes will exit through the roof and terminate at the roof tile level with the only external expression being a slight change in the tile. Given that these accoutrements are to be located in less obtrusive locations and a soil vent tile, rather than a pipe protruding from the roof would be used, the proposed arrangements are considered acceptable in visual terms.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.

B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).

C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Conclusion

Taking the above into account, it is considered that Condition 14 of H13-0844-17 can be discharged.