

DECISION DELEGATED TO DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Application No: H13-0450-20 **Applicant:** Mr R Spenceley

Proposal: Demolition of dwelling and erection of 2 dwellings - approved under H13-0056-20. Amendments to include changes to the garages and re-position.

Location: Lea House 29 River Lane Moulton

Terminal Date: 10th July 2020

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02 Development Management
03 Design of New Development

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2019

Section 12. Achieving well-designed places

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
--	--------	---------	---------	----------

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is a non-material amendment application for amendments to layout and re-positioning of dwellings and garage changes to the residential scheme at Lea House, 29 River Lane, Moulton, Spalding.

Full planning permission was granted under application ref. H13-0056-20 for demolition of dwelling and erection of 2 dwellings.

Site Description

The site is part of ribbon development beyond the crossroad between Church Lane and High Road/A51. It is within a defined frontage development that extends on the eastern side of River Lane towards High Road/A51.

The frontage comprises a varied mixture of house types, ages, design and appearances. Whereas late C19-early C20 semi-detached cottages adjoins High Road/A51, modern two-storey detached houses and bungalows are to the south of the site onto Church Lane. Two bungalows are adjacent

to the south and one large two-storey detached house to the north, all of them recently built.

There is currently on the site one large two-storey detached house, one detached garage to the side, a set of outbuildings and greenhouses to the rear. Most of the structures are somewhat derelict.

History

H13-0381-20 - Details of external materials (Condition 3 of H13-0056-20) - Approved

H13-0056-20 - Demolition of dwelling and erection of two dwellings (Outline approval H13-0794-18) - Approved

H13-0794-18 - Outline application for two dwellings including demolition of 29 River Lane - Approved

Planning Considerations

Section 96A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (introduced by S190 of the Planning Act, 2008) makes it possible to apply for a non-material amendment to existing planning permissions.

South Holland District Council's "Development Control Procedure Note: Non-Material Amendments to Planning Permissions" indicates that non material amendments will be approved subject to the following criteria being met:

1. There would be no alteration to the application site boundary (red edge).
2. The amendment would not conflict with Development Plan Policies or other Government Guidance.
3. The proposal would not exacerbate concerns raised by third parties at original planning application stage.
4. The proposed change would not be contrary to a condition on the original approval.
5. The approved footprint/siting of the building will not be moved materially and will not have a material impact on a neighbour.
6. The height of the building or extension would not be increased.
7. The amendment would not result in any potential overlooking of any neighbouring property.
8. The amendment must not result in a fundamental change in the design of the building.
9. The proposal does not amount to new works or elements not considered by any Environmental Statement submitted with the application.

In relation to the approved plans of H13-0056-20, permission is sought with this application for the following amendments:

- The detached garages per each plot will be replaced for one party-wall large garage, one space per each plot.
- The layout of the independent driveways will be altered to extend the full width of the plots.

Although the approved garages mirror each other, the proposed amendment will provide further symmetry to the form of development than two separated outbuildings in the corner of each plot.

The proposed outbuilding will be set further (up to 7 metres) from the private shared drive than the approved garages. Thus, the separation to the dwellinghouses will be reduced from 15m to 7.25m and 14m to 6.35m in the northern and southern plot respectively.

As a result of the new garage, the independent driveways will be repositioned from the central fence that splits both plots to the length of rear boundaries of both plots.

On balance, it is considered that the proposed amendments will not undermine the design standards of the development. Neither any harm in terms of residential amenity is anticipated due to such alterations to the development.

Conclusion

Taking into account the considerations above, the amendments comply with Policies 1, 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (SELLP), 2019; and also Section 12 of the National Planning

Policy Framework (NPPF), 2019.

Moreover, the proposed amendment fully meets the criteria set out in South Holland District Council's "Development Control Procedure Note: Non-Material Amendments to Planning Permissions".

It is accordingly recommended to approve this planning application via delegated powers.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

- A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
- B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
- C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.