

DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No: H13-0578-26 **Applicant:** Tulipland Potatoes Ltd

Proposal: Proposed replacement self-build dwelling - Approved under H13-0292-25. Modification of Condition 2 to allow amendments to previously approved plans

Location: Holly Tree House Fen Gate Moulton Chapel

Terminal Date: 28th August 2026

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

01	Spatial Strategy
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
10	Meeting Assessed Housing Requirements
11	Distribution of New Housing
17	Providing a Mix of Housing
22	Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside
29	The Historic Environment
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework August 2026

Section 3 - Decision-making policies
Section 4 - Achieving sustainable development
Section 14- Achieving well-designed places
Section 15 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 18 - Managing flood risk and coastal change
Section 20 - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	0	0
WARD MEMBER	0	0	0	0
HIGHWAYS & SUDS SUPPORT	0	0	0	1

SOUTH HOLLAND INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD	0	0	0	1
SHDC INTERNAL	0	0	1	0
OTHER STATUTORY BODIES	0	0	0	4

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

This is a Section 73 application seeking to modify the previously approved plans at Holly Tree House, Fen Gate, Moulton Chapel.

A main modification would be an extension which would protrude from the rear elevation by 4000mm and would have a length of around 6500mm. Further to this, it would have a height of roughly 3800mm and would be 2900mm to the eaves. This would also be constructed from materials to match what was previously approved.

Other changes would be an alteration to the porch as well as some minor alterations to the windows.

Site Description

The application site comprises a residential dwelling, within a countryside location. The site is located outside any defined settlement boundary and is therefore in the open countryside as outlined in the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan, 2019 (SELLP).

Directly to the north of the site there is a barn, identified as 'Barn to the rear of Holly Lodge', which is a Grade II Listed Building. Despite being in a fairly rural location there are other residential properties within the immediate area; however, the site is mostly surrounding by agricultural fields.

History

H13-0215-17 - Detached Garage - Approved.

H13-0292-25 - Proposed replacement self-build dwelling - Approved

Consultation Responses

South Holland Internal Drainage Board

Byelaw 3 - Consent may be required

Section 23, Land Drainage Act 1991 - Consent not currently required

Byelaw 10 - Not Applicable

Lincolnshire County Council Highways Authority & SUDS

Recommendation for No objection subject to:

No Objections

Having given due regard to the appropriate local and national planning policy guidance (in particular the National Planning Policy Framework), Lincolnshire County Council (as Highway Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority) has concluded that the proposed development would not be expected to have an unacceptable impact upon highway safety or a severe residual cumulative impact upon the local highway network or increase surface water flood risk and therefore does not wish to object to this planning application.

As Lead Local Flood Authority, Lincolnshire County Council is required to provide a statutory planning consultation response with regard to drainage and surface water flood risk on all Major applications. This application is classified as a Minor Application and it is therefore the duty of the Local Planning Authority to consider the surface water flood risk and drainage proposals for this planning application.

Comments:

The proposal is for minor amendments that already has approval. The minor amendments proposed will not have an adverse impact upon the public highway.

National Grid Electricity Distribution

Please note there is an UG LV own supply cable running to the meter box. Please contact NGED if you require help tracing the cable during the development.

No Observations

Ecology

We have no significant concerns regarding the ecological impacts of this proposal. We recommend that the applicant remains ecologically mindful, and that all works cease if there is any risk of impacting nesting birds or protected species, with advice sought from a suitably qualified ecologist. We also recommend the inclusion of ecological enhancements on site, such as bird and/or bat boxes, either integrated into the building fabric or installed as wall-, pole-, or tree-mounted features.

Conservation Officer

I have no objections to this proposal on built heritage grounds. The addition of a single-storey orangery is unlikely to result in any harm to the setting or significance of the nearby listed barn.

Lincolnshire County Council Historic Environment

Thank you for consulting us on this.

We reiterate our previous comments dated 23-04-25, no further archaeological input is necessary for this application.

South Holland District Council Environmental Protection

No comments regarding amendment 2.

Public Representation

This application has been advertised in accordance with the Development Procedure Order and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement. In this instance, no letters of representation have been received.

Key Planning Considerations

Evaluation - Section 73

The proposal relates to the variation of Condition 2 of H13-0292-25, through seeking permission

under Section 73 of the Act. The purpose of an application made under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 is to vary or remove conditions associated with an existing planning permission. These applications are used to allow for amendments to an approved scheme and can be made both retrospectively and prior to a permission being implemented, as long as the permission is extant.

The Act is very clear that: "On such an application the Local Planning Authority shall consider only the question of the conditions subject to which planning permission should be granted." As such, the Local Planning Authority are not able to revisit the principle of development and only matters relevant to the specific conditions can be considered. The effect of granting permission would be to issue a new permission with the relevant conditions amended, together with any other relevant conditions from the original permission, or subsequent relevant revisions since this permission.

Planning practice guidance highlights that where less substantial changes are proposed, amending a proposal can occur through 'Amending the conditions attached to the planning permission, including seeking to make minor material amendments'.

The PPG clarifies that "Permission granted under Section 73 takes effect as a new, independent permission to carry out the same development as previously permitted subject to new or amended conditions. The new permission sits alongside the original permission, which remains intact and unamended. It is open to the applicant to decide whether to implement the new permission or the one originally granted". There is no statutory definition of a 'minor material amendment'; but this is likely to include any amendments where its scale and/or nature results "in a development which is not substantially different from the one which has been approved".

In this instance, the subsequent amendments, submitted under this Section 73 application, have not varied the description of development.

In the case of R (Vue Entertainment Limited) v City of York Council, it was concluded that the decision gives clear support for use of s.73 in respect of changes to condition which go beyond 'minor' amendments. It places a clear emphasis on preserving the precise terms of the grant. If an amendment to a condition can be made which keeps the description of the development intact it may well be appropriate to make such an application under a s.73, even if the effect of the change will be significant".

Principle of Development and Sustainability

The principle of development was established under H13-0292-25, as such, there is no requirement to revisit the principle of development here.

Layout, Design and Consideration of the Character and Appearance of the Area

Section 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) specifically relates to 'Achieving well-designed places' and details that the objective of the policy is to "promote high quality, healthy, inclusive and sustainable places, through setting clear expectations about design outcomes and the use of relevant tools and processes" and as such, it is generally accepted that good design plays a key role towards sustainable development.

Paragraph 1 of DP3, contained within Section 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026), states that development proposals should respond to their context to integrate with and enhance their surroundings. This can be achieved through the arrangement of plots and buildings, use of materials and architectural features, as well as the restoration, reuse and integration of heritage assets. Notwithstanding this, it should not preclude innovation or change where it is considered to be appropriate, particularly where an increased scale or density can be justified.

In order to create well-designed places, Paragraph 2 states proposals should reflect seven key principles, as appropriate to the nature of the development and its location. These include, liveability, climate, nature, movement, built form, public space and identity.

Further to this, Paragraph 3 goes on to establish that proposals should be refused if, without clear justification, they conflict with Paragraph 1 or the relevant aspect of the principles within Paragraph 2, as outlined above. Alongside this, explicit design standards set out within the development plan must also be taken into consideration. Substantial weight should be given to compliance with development plan policies when it comes to assessing the design quality of proposals.

Finally, Paragraph 5 outlines that substantial weight must also be given to any form of "outstanding or innovative designs which promote high levels of sustainability, or which help raise the standard of design more generally within an area". Any examples of this design must however also be consistent with the overall form and layout of their surroundings.

Likewise, Policy 2 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) outlines sustainable development considerations for development proposals, providing a framework for an operational policy to be used in assessing the sustainable development attributes of all development proposals. Furthermore, Policy 3 accords with the provisions of Section 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026), in that it requires development to comprise good design; identifying issues that should be considered when preparing schemes so that development sits comfortably with, and adds positively to, its historically-designated or undesignated townscape or landscape surroundings.

These policies accord with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) and require that design which is inappropriate to the local area, or which fails to maximise opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area, will not be acceptable.

Proposals for new development would therefore require the aforementioned considerations to be adequately assessed and designed, including the siting, design and scale to be respectful of surrounding development and ensure that the character of the area is not compromised.

As outlined above, the extension would be sited on the rear elevation and would protrude out by around 4000mm and would have a length of roughly 6500mm. Alongside this, it would have a height of 3800mm, including the roof light, and would be 2900mm to the eaves.

This addition is considered to be acceptable in this regard due to the relatively minor footprint proposed as well as it being solely featured along the rear elevation and thus mostly concealed from view of the highway. In addition to this, it would be constructed from materials to match what was previously approved to further ensure it fits well within the plot. The orangery, by virtue of its size, scale and design is therefore considered to be acceptable and proportionate in relation to the previously approved scheme.

Furthermore, there are other minor alterations featured across the elevations. These include, but are not limited to, making the dormer windows on the south and west elevations slightly narrower and taller, as well as an additional window on the rear (east) elevation and changing the configuration of the porch.

The alterations and extra windows are considered to be suitable in this regard as they are to a minor scale which would not drastically alter how each elevation is viewed. The additional window would break up the expanse of brickwork on the rear elevation and somewhat improve this as a result. Finally, the porch would feature an overhanging roof as opposed to the built in flat wall before, this is also considered to be a minor change which would not cause any material harm.

As such, from a character perspective the changes are considered to be adequate and would not cause any adverse material harm.

Taking account of the design, scale and nature of the development, as detailed above, the proposal is considered to be acceptable. The proposal would not cause an adverse impact to the character or appearance of the area and would therefore be in accordance with Policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) and Section 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026).

Impact on Residential Amenity/Land Users

Policy DP3, Paragraph 2 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) states that development should create places that promote liveability, with a particular focus on healthy, mixed, vibrant and integrated communities. Any examples of public space should be safe, secure, inclusive, and accessible for all.

Policies 2 and 3 of South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) sets out that residential amenity and the relationship to existing development and land uses is a main consideration when making planning decisions.

The nearest residential properties in relation to the application site is 'Pebble House', which is 92m to the north west. In the opposite direction, 'Lenmar House' is 230m to the south west. As these are the properties within closest proximity, and are still some distance away, it is considered that there should be no material overlooking or overshadowing following the construction of the new extension or following the other alterations shown within this submission.

As detailed above, the scale and design of the proposal is considered to be such that there would be no significant or unacceptable impact on the residential amenities of the occupiers of adjacent properties or land users, when also taking account of the conditions recommended. As such, the proposal is considered to accord with the provisions of the Section 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026), and Policies 2 and 3 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019).

Highway Safety and Parking

Section 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) specifically relates to 'Promoting sustainable transport'. Paragraph TR6 (4) advises that "Development proposals should be refused if they would have a severe adverse impact on the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion, including cumulative impacts), or an unacceptable impact on highway safety; taking into account any mitigation measures proposed as well as any wider network improvements, including measures to support sustainable patterns of movement".

In respect of highway matters, Policy 2 details that proposals requiring planning permission for development will be permitted provided that sustainable development considerations are met, specifically in relation to access and vehicle generation. Policy 3 details that development proposals will demonstrate how accessibility by a choice of travel modes including the provision of public transport, public rights of way and cycle ways will be secured, where they are relevant to the proposal.

Further, Policy 36, to be read in conjunction with Appendix 6, of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019), sets out minimum vehicle parking standards and requires at least two spaces for dwellings of up to three bedrooms and three spaces for dwellings with four or more bedrooms.

As discussed throughout, this application purely seeks to modify the previously approved plans through an additional extension as well as minor tweaks/additions to the windows. This would therefore not impact the previously approved and agreed parking arrangements to a harmful capacity, residents on site would be able to park their vehicle safely on-site and not cause harm to the safety of the highway.

The proposal would therefore be acceptable and would not have an unacceptable adverse impact on highway safety in accordance with Policies 2, 3 and 36 the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019), and Section 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026).

Flood Risk

Section 18 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) explains that the objective of the policy is to "minimise risks to development arising from all sources of flooding and coastal change, taking into account the impacts of climate change, by steering development away from areas of risk, ensuring that development will be safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and incorporating sustainable drainage systems where appropriate".

This goes on to state, within Paragraph 1 of F2, that "Development plans should take a risk-based approach when identifying suitable locations for development, taking into account all sources of flood risk and the current and future impacts of climate change". It is considered that this should be done, and manage any residual risk, by "a. Applying the sequential test in policy F5 and, where relevant, policy F6".

It is important to note that whilst minor developments such as householders must meet the requirements for site-specific flood risk assessments, they should not be subject to the sequential test, nor the exception test, as outlined in Policy F5, Paragraph 2, Point C.

The site lies within Flood Zones 1 of the Environment Agency's Flood Maps. These have been created as a tool to raise awareness of flood risk with the public and partner organisations, such as Local Authorities, Emergency Services and Drainage Authorities. The Maps do not take into account any flood defences.

The South East Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides an overview of how flood risk has been considered in shaping the proposals of the Local Plan; including the spatial strategy and the assessment of housing and employment sites. Policy 4 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) is clear in that "Development proposed within an area at risk of flooding (Flood Zones 2 and 3 of the Environment Agency's flood map or at risk during a breach or overtopping scenario as shown on the flood hazard and depths maps in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment) will be permitted" in instances where specific criteria is met.

It is worth noting that large parts of the district of South Holland lie within Flood Zone 3. It is therefore necessary to use the refined flood risk information (Hazard and Depth maps) within the South East Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2017) as a basis to apply the sequential test.

The property is within Flood Zone 1/2 and an area of no hazard within the SFRA. Furthermore, the application is purely for modifications to a previously approved dwelling to incorporate a rear extension and modifications to other minor elements. As such, there would not be any significant harm raised and it would not materially increase the flood risk for the site and surrounding area in a harmful way.

Overall, when considering the development on balance, it is considered that given the mitigation measures detailed and recommended by condition, the proposal accords with Policies 2, 3 and 4 of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) and the intentions of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) in this regard.

Biodiversity Net Gain

Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (inserted by the Environment Act 2021) requires developers to deliver a minimum of 10% Biodiversity Net Gain using standardized biodiversity units measured by statutory biodiversity metrics. This is often referred to as the mandatory requirements for Biodiversity Net Gain.

"Under the statutory framework for biodiversity net gain, subject to some exceptions, every grant of planning permission is deemed to have been granted subject to the condition that the biodiversity gain objective is met ("the biodiversity gain condition"). This objective is for development to deliver at least a 10% increase in biodiversity value relative to the pre-development biodiversity value of the onsite habitat. This increase can be achieved through onsite biodiversity gains, registered offsite biodiversity gains or statutory biodiversity credits".

The biodiversity gain condition is a pre-commencement condition. This relates to a condition that seeks, once planning permission has been granted, a Biodiversity Gain Plan that must be submitted and approved by the planning authority before commencement of the development, alongside the need to submit a Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan.

The effect of Paragraph 13 of Schedule 7A to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 is that planning permission is deemed to have been granted subject to the "biodiversity gain condition". The effect of this "biodiversity gain condition" is that development granted by this notice must not begin unless:

- (a) a Biodiversity Gain Plan has been submitted to the planning authority, and
- (b) the planning authority has approved the plan, or
- (c) the development is exempt from the biodiversity gain condition.

For applications that are submitted prior to the introduction of this requirement, the development would exempt from the mandatory 10% requirement and as such, the Biodiversity Gain Condition would not apply. However, this application was submitted following the introduction of this legislation. As such, unless comprising development that is exempt from this mandatory Biodiversity Net Gain (10%), a condition would be required, as mandatorily set.

When taking the above into account, the development in this instance is exempt from the statutory 10% Biodiversity Net Gain requirements due to it being classed as a self-build dwelling. A new Unilateral Undertaking will be agreed, providing the application is approved, to ensure that this remains the case and that the works will not require any additional biodiversity on site.

Planning Balance

As detailed above, Section 38 (6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as amended, requires that the Local Planning Authority makes decisions in accordance with the adopted Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The proposal represents appropriate development within the defined settlement boundary. The modifications proposed within this submission do not materially harm the character or appearance of the locality or the amenity of nearby residents, whilst also causing no additional harm when assessing it against all other relevant aspects. When viewed as a whole, the proposal conforms with the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019) and the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework (2026) when viewed as a whole.

In this instance, there are no material considerations that weigh against the proposal and as such, the planning balance is in favour of the development.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act

B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).

C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Conclusion

Taking the above considerations into account, the proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies 1, 2, 3, 4 and 36 (including Appendix 6) of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan (2019), along with the identified sections contained within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2026). There are no significant factors in this case that indicate against the proposal and outweigh the consideration in favour of the proposal and the policies referred to above.

Recommendation

Based on the assessment detailed above, it is recommended that the proposal should be approved under Delegated Authority.