Director of Highways
and Planning,
Lincolnshire County Council,
City Hall,
Beaumont Fee,
Lincoln.
LN1 1DN.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

22 Dec 1994

Dear Sir,

THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON - RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION H13/1154/94.

Thank you for your observations on the application.

I note your concern and would accept that the entrance to the site does not fully meet your requirements or those detailed in Planning Policy Guidance Note No 13.

However, I consider there are many material benefits, in planning terms which would flow from the proposed scheme, if approved.

There would be a benefit in conservation terms in so far as the scheme would provide for an enhancement of this part of the Moulton Conservation Area.

There would be a benefit in social terms: the scheme is for low cost housing under the aegis of the Longhurst Housing Association and this Authority (SHDC) would have nomination rights with respect to a proportion of that housing.

Moulton Mill is an important Listed Building and approval of the scheme would provide, through appropriate legal arrangements, for the cessation of its industrial use and its retention as a recreational facility in some form.

In these circumstances, I feel there must be some flexibility from the highways point of view since the scale of the proposed development is directly proportional to the extent of the benefits which I have outlined - benefits which could be very material and substantial.

Finally, whilst I appreciate Planning Policy Guidance Note No 13 does contain specific advice about the adoption of standards of visibility, I feel due consideration should also be given to the advice contained in Annex D para. 6: standards need to be assessed in the light of all other material considerations.

Accordingly, I would be obliged if you would give the proposed scheme further consideration in the light of my comments.

Yours faithfully

PN Midlen
Principal Planning Officer
Development Control

Mrs J Pateman,
Cranbrook House,
Cranbrook Street,
Nottingham.
NG1 1EY.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

03 Mar 1995

Dear Madam,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - MOULTON MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

Further to your telephone conversation with my Officer, Mr J Blacklock, in respect of the above, I enclose the documentation required. I also enclose, for your information a copy of the report on the application to the forthcoming meeting of the Planning Committee on 7th March 1995.

You will see from the report that part of the proposed housing is intended for the Longhurst Housing Association and I think it important, therefore, that you should be aware the 'deadline' for the provision of funding to the association to enable this association to acquire this housing is 31st March 1995.

Bearing in mind this Authority also has a Planning Committee meeting on 29th March 1995, I would hope you would be in a position to advise this Authority, definitively, at the earliest, possible opportunity.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Principal Planning Officer
Development Control

Mr M Gorman,
Cranbrook House,
Cranbrook Street,
Nottingham.
NG1 1EY.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

08 Mar 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - MOULTON MILL, MOULTON.

Further to my letter of 2nd March 1995 to your Mrs J Pateman and your letter of 2nd March 1995, I enclose as requested copies of letters of objection and representation to the application. I also enclose details of correspondence between the Applicant and the Landmark Trust and a report from the Local Planning Authority's Architectural Consultant concerning the development of the site.

For your information, the Planning Committee at its meeting on 7th March 1995 deferred a determination of the application.

It is intended to re-submit the application to the Planning Committee meeting on 29th March 1995 and I would be grateful therefore for your Department's response on the application before that date.

Yours faithfully

M N Midlen
Principal Planning Officer
Development Control

Enc.

Mr M Gorman,
Cranbrook House,
Cranbrook Street,
Nottingham.
NG1 1EY.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

10 Mar 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, MOULTON MILL, MOULTON.

Further to my letter dated 8th March 1995 in connection with the above, I enclose copies of letters which I trust you will find self-explanatory.

Yours faithfully

pp N Midlen
Principal Planning Officer
Development Control

Enc.

J T Biggadike
(Miller & Corn Merchant)
Moulton
Spalding
Lincs PE12 6QB

Mr B Smith

DPBCS/JK/H13/1154/95

20 March, 1995

Dear Mr Biggadike

Future of Moulton Mill

Thank you for letter dated the 11 March, 1995. The contents of which I shall refer to in my report to the Planning Committee at its meeting on the 29 March, 1995 next. In the meantime I can confirm that arrangements are in hand to meet and discuss with the applicants and representatives of the Longhurst Housing Association before that date to explore the possibilities for an acceptable amended scheme, which will need to take into account the direction now received from the Secretary of State for the Environment.

I can only state that I am sure the Council's officers will continue their endeavours to try and secure a sensible, sensitive and practical resolution of the situation and should such a possibility emerge, then I have no doubt that they will contact you. In the meantime, my best wishes on your retirement.

Yours sincerely

B SMITH MRTPI
Director of Planning
& Building Control Services

John

I told Robert Freeman on the first gives we may need to consult with him is Rowthorn Mill, but we will give him the background

[illegible] 3/5

Broadgate Buil(
Broadgate Hous(
Weston Hills,
Spalding,
Lincs.

/94

25 Apr 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

At the recent meeting held with yourselves, Longhurst Housing, John Merritt of Meldrum Lee and Gillatt, myself, Mary Kerr and Catherine Croft of English Heritage, it became plain that one of the principle concerns of English Heritage, and no doubt also of the Department of the Environment, was that there appeared from the application details to be no linkage between the current planning application, and any commitment to the preservation of the Mill itself. I think those who are involved in the pre-application discussion took it that such a linkage was your intention, but of course English Heritage, and the Department of the Environment, only had the application details to go on.

A clear indication that you accepted that there would need to be a binding commitment to preserve the Mill if planning permission was to be granted would I think be of considerable help. As I explained by telephone to Alan Finch, it is open to Developers to enter into a unilateral obligation under the terms of Section 106 of the Planning Act, and if you are able to offer such an Obligation, I think this would give the maximum reassurance to English Heritage and the Department of the Environment. I enclose copy of the relevant section for your information, but it may be that at the present stage you have insufficient legal interest in the land to provide such a formal undertaking.

I would confirm that following the meeting Longhursts are going to provide English Heritage and my Council with a detailed financial explanation of the cost constraints of the development, justifying the need for some 15 dwellings to be built.

Yours faithfully

3/5/95. Spoke to Mr Thornley (Regional Office DOE) and advised him of current state of play.

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

7/6/95. Spoke to Mr Thornley - reiterated our view that more information [illegible] commitment to protect Mill required

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM

From: Mr N Midlen
Development Control Manager

To: Mr D Showler
Head of Housing

Our Ref: NRM/JJ H13/1154/94

Your Ref:

Date: 13 Jun 1995

RESIDENTIAL HOUSING MILL SITE, MOULTON, LINCS - BROADGATE BUILDERS AND LONGHURST HOUSING ASSOCIATION.

As you may be aware the Authority has been dealing with a planning application submitted by Broadgate Builders, in association with the Longhurst Housing Association for residential development at The Mill, Moulton.

Currently, the Authority's deliberations centre upon the degree to which the development offers safeguards in respect of the protection of the Mill and also the degree to which the density of the development is justifiable.

To help the Authority resolve matters, the Applicant has submitted a case for the economic justification for the proposed development.

I would be obliged if you could have a look at this and let me have your views as to whether a sustainable case has been made.

I enclose the files for your convenience.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

Enc.

Broadgate Builders,
Broadgate House,
Weston Hills,
Spalding,
Lincs.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

08 Jun 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

Further to my letter dated 25th April 1995 in respect of the above. I would be pleased to know when you will be in a position to provide the information requested.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM

From: Senior Planning Assistant (East) To: Development Control Manager

Our Ref: JB/ST H13/1154/94 Your Ref:

Date: 05 Jul 1995

MOULTON MILL - RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
LONGHURST HOUSING ASSOCIATION

I have looked at the revised layout submitted with the letter of 29th June 1995 from Messrs Meldrum, Lee & Gillatt. Whilst I recognise the intention to provide a more enclosed form of layout - a courtyard type of development - I feel the revision falls well short of what is acceptable.

It seems to me the building blocks have been arranged too close to the mill (the 2 plots are a mere 10' from the mill building); too close to the common boundary with `Millers Lode' (unit 10, which is intended to be a house is only 23' from that boundary); and too close to the adjacent industrial premises (units 14 and 15, a bungalow and house respectively are within 25' and 5' respectively of the common boundary with that property).

The revised layout also begs the question of the hard surface treatment of?the parking and manoeuvring areas. As these areas are considerable in extent (notwithstanding a shortfall of provision - see Chris Briggs' note) they occupy a visually critical position and unless they are treated in a sensitive and sympathetic manner they could have a deleterious effect.

Equally critical, visually, is the massing and elevational treatment of units 14 and 15 as these units will be visual `end stop' in terms of views of the proposed development from the High Street. In this regard the details supplied leave much to be desired; they provide for an unhappy arrangement of house and bungalow with little impact or `presence' aesthetically.

In short, I feel that the setting of the Listed Building has not been enhanced and insufficient regard has been had for issues of privacy and the potential for nuisance from noise emanating from the adjacent industrial premises.

I would also argue that insufficient regard has been had for the long term future of the Mill itself in as far as the revised layout makes no obvious provision for car and coach parking to service an alternative use.

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM

From: Senior Planning Assistant (East) To: Development Control Manager

Our Ref: JB/ST H13/1154/94 Your Ref:

Date: 11 Jul 1995

MOULTON MILL - RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
LONGHURST HOUSING ASSOCIATION

I have looked at the revised layout submitted with the letter of 29th June 1995 from Messrs Meldrum, Lee & Gillatt. Whilst I recognise the intention to provide a more enclosed form of layout - a courtyard type of development - I feel the revision falls well short of what is acceptable.

It seems to me the building blocks have been arranged too close to the mill (the 2 plots are a mere 10' from the mill building); too close to the common boundary with `Millers Lode' (unit 10, which is intended to be a house is only 23' from that boundary); and too close to the adjacent industrial premises (units 14 and 15, a bungalow and house respectively are within 25' and 5' respectively of the common boundary with that property).

The revised layout also begs the question of the hard surface treatment of?the parking and manoeuvring areas. As these areas are considerable in extent (notwithstanding a shortfall of provision - see Chris Briggs' note) they occupy a visually critical position and unles they are treated in a sensitive and sympathetic manner they could have a deleterious effect.

Equally critical, visually, is the massing and elevational treatment o units 14 and 15 as these units will be visual `end stop' in terms of views of the proposed development from the High Street. In this regard the details supplied leave much to be desired; they provide for an unhappy arrangement of house and bungalow with little impact or `presence' aesthetically.

In short, I feel that the setting of the Listed Building has not been enhanced and insufficient regard has been had for issues of privacy and the potential for nuisance from noise emanating from the adjacent industrial premises.

I would also argue that insufficient regard has been had for the long term future of the Mill itself in as far as the revised layout makes no obvious provision for car and coach parking to service an alternative use.

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM

From: Development Control Manager To: Head of Housing

Our Ref: NRM/JJ H13/1154/94 Your Ref:

Date: 11 Jul 1995

MOULTON MILL - GRADE I LISTED BUILDING - RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, LONGHURST HOUSING ASSOCIATION/BROADGATE BUILDERS.

In view of the link with our Housing colleagues, I think you should be aware of the current situation with Moulton Mill, and I would also welcome your views on how we should proceed.

Following the last "round table" meeting, we have received figures from Longhurst to explain the financial constraints leading to 15 dwellings being proposed. David Showler has verified to John Blacklock that these broadly endorse their case but they leave open the situation that could occur if Longhursts do not exercise their option to take up the second phase. If they did not do so, it is a mystery where the money could be generated to enable the development to take place and for The Mill to be maintained. In fact, despite requests to Broadgate's at the meeting, we have still no commitment from them to maintain The Mill.

We also have received revised sketches, and enclose John Blacklock's assessment with which I am in broad agreement. Note that he raises issues that we have not been able to raise properly in the past (although I believe they are pertinent to the determination of the application) i.e. the proximity to existing dwellings, and to a general industrial site and the lack of adequate space left to The Mill for parking etc.

It seems to me that before we can proceed much further we need to define and give weighting to the various issues involved. I believe that the setting of The Mill has to be one of the paramount issues (after all it is set in statute) whilst the repair of The Mill, and the means to secure this are not vitally important in the immediate short term (The Mill is in reasonably good repair) but obviously have to be resolved because dilapidation will progressively take place. There is, therefore, some window of time available to solve this situation, and we should not, therefore, approve a scheme that is unworthy of the setting The Mill merely to ensure that we have quickly resolved the reuse and maintenance issue. However, to what extent is the affordable housing an issue? Is there a pressing need for such dwellings in Moulton, which cannot be satisfied elsewhere, or is it only that Broadgates have seen Longhurst's interest as a possible

means of securing funds to satisfy the owner and also provide for t
future maintenance of The Mill (assuming they will eventually confi
their intentions on this). The answer to this may effect the extent
to which we can press for the best architectural solution.

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

Enc.

Mr M J Gorman,
Assistant Director of
Transport and Planning,
Government Office for
the East Midlands,
Cranbrook House,
Cranbrook Street,
Nottingham.
NG1 1EY.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

21 Jul 1995

Dear Sir,

PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AFFECTING THE SETTING OF GRADE I LISTED BUILDING - THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

Further to my letter of 3rd April 1995 and subsequent telephone conversation with your Mr Thornaley, I write to advise you that I anticipate that the above application will be dealt with in the very near future.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

Messrs Meldrum Lee and Gillatt
Architects
49 High Street
Boston
Lincs
PE21 8SP

Mr J Blacklock

JB/ST H13/1154/94

JAM/TAS/12761

20 Jul 1995

Dear Sir,

MOULTON MILL - RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
LONGHURST HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Thank you for letter dated 29th June 1995 in respect of the above.

I attach for your information the comments of the Director of Highways and Planning, Lincolnshire County Council.

For my part, there are several aspects of the layout upon which I feel the Authority will need further assurances.

Firstly, it seems to me the building blocks have been arranged too close to the Mill (the 2 plots are a mere 10' from the Mill building); too close the common boundary with 'Millers Lode' (unit 10, which is intended to be a house is only 23' from that boundary); and too close to the adjacent industrial premises (units 14 and 15, a bungalow and house respectively are within 25' and 5' respectively of the common boundry with that property.

Secondly, the revised layout also begs the question of the hard surface treatment of the parking and manoeuvering areas. As these areas are considerable in extent (notwithstanding a shortfall of parking provision) they occupy a visually critical position and unless they are treated in a sensitive and sympathetic manner they could have a deleterious effect. I would appreciate, therefore, if you would let me know of the details of the surface treatment which you have in mind.

Finally, I feel, generally that the proposed layout lacks the very feeling of enclosure it is seeking to achieve whilst units 14 and 15 constitute a visual long stop of insufficient `presence' given the view of the site from the High Street.

I would also argue that insufficient regard has been had for the long term future of the Mill itself in as far as the revised layout makes no obvious provision for car and coach parking to service an alternative use.

I trust you will find these comment of assistance. Should you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact my Officer.

May I add, however, that in the light of the Planning Committee's resolutions of 29th March 1995 (copies of which are enclosed) you may feel that there would be an advantage in withdrawing the current application and submitting a fresh application covering whatever may be agreed pursuant to my comments detailed above.

I suggest this course of action as one which may be mutually advantageous because the Regional Office of the DoE have taken an interest in the application and I surmise that if agreement is not reached very quickly on the current application, the application may well be 'called in'. A Public Inquiry would be likely, which would be costly for all parties and would delay the making of a decision.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

Enc.

Broadgate Builders,
Broadgate House,
Weston Hills,
Spalding,
Lincs.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

31 Oct 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

I refer to my letter dated 11th September 1995 in connection with the above.

Could you please let me have a reply to that letter as a matter of urgency.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

Broadgate Builders,
Broadgate House,
Weston Hills,
Spalding,
Lincs.

Mr J Blacklock

JB/JJ H13/1154/94

11 Sep 1995

Dear Sir,

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON.

With reference to the above, I am given to understand that the Longhurst Housing Association Ltd no longer wish to pursue with the proposed development.

If this information is correct could you please confirm whether you would wish the application to be withdrawn.

Yours faithfully

N Midlen
Development Control Manager

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only

Application No. H13/1154/94

Date Registered 16 FEB 1995

Case Officer JS

1. Please complete in block letters

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

BROADGATE BUILDERS (SPALDING) LTD
BROADGATE HOUSE, WESTON HILLS,
SPALDING, LINCS PE12 6DB

Tel. No. 01406 380582

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)

MELDRUM LEE + GILLATT
49 HIGH STREET, BOSTON, LINCS.
PE21 8SP

Tel. No. 01205 364795 (Contact) JR CLARK

Please complete in block letters

FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE

THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON, LINCS.

Please complete

EARLIER APPLICATION No.
This application cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated

H13/1154/94

Building Regulation reference relating to same development

NONE

2. Please tick one box only

A — Amendment is proposed where permission already granted. If yes, submit two forms and two plans. [ ]

or

B — Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered. If yes, submit four forms and four plans. [✓]

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? ~~Yes~~/No

Please complete

I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment.
I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate.

Signed ...JROOK FOR MELDRUM LEE + GILLATT... Date ...15 FEBRUARY 1995...

3. Please complete in block letters

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

ERECTION OF 15 DWELLINGS INCLUDING 4 No. BUNGALOWS, 9 No. 2 BED HOUSES AND 2 No. 3 BED HOUSES

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans)

SUBSTITUTED PLANS SHOWING REVISED SITE LAYOUT AND REVISED ELEVATIONAL TREATMENT AND AMENDED SITE ACCESS

State drawing no's of the current submission

12661/08A, 09A, 10A, 11, 12A + 13

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)

YES. MR. J. BLACKLOCK

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

SITE LAYOUT HAS BEEN AMENDED IN TOTAL

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only

Application No.

Date Registered

Case Officer

1. Please complete in block letters

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

BROADGATE BUILDERS (SPALDING) LTD
BROADGATE HOUSE, WESTON HILLS
SPALDING LINCS PE12 6DB

Tel. No. (0406) 380 532

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)

MELDRUM LEE & GILLATT
49 HIGH STREET BOSTON LINCS
PE21 8SP

Tel. No. (0205) 364795 (Contact) J R CLARK

Please complete in block letters

FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE

THE MILL, HIGH STREET, MOULTON, LINCS

Please complete

EARLIER APPLICATION No.
This application cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated

H13/1154/94

Building Regulation reference relating to same development

NONE

2. Please tick one box only

Amendment is proposed where permission already granted — A [ ]
If yes, submit two forms and two plans.

or

Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered — B [✓]
If yes, submit four forms and four plans.

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? ~~Yes~~/No

Please complete

I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment.
I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate.

Signed .. JRClark FOR MELDRUM LEE & GILLATT ..... Date .... 9 FEBRUARY 1995 .....

3. Please complete in block letters

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

ERECTION OF 15 DWELLINGS, INCLUDING 4 NO. BUNGALOWS, 9 NO. TWO BED. HOUSES AND 2 NO. THREE BED. HOUSES.

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans)

SUBSTITUTED PLANS SHOWING REVISED SITE LAYOUT AND REVISED ELEVATION TREATMENT

State drawing no's of the current submission

12661/08, 09, 10, 11 & 12

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)

YES, MR J BLACKLOCK

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

SITE LAYOUT OF DWELLINGS HAS BEEN AMENDED IN TOTAL.

BRIAN SMITH Dip TP MRTPI
Director of Planning & Building Control Services
Planning & Building Control Department,
Council Offices,
Priory Road, Spalding,
Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE

Telephone: (0775) 761161
Fax: (0775) 710772

MOULTON PARISH COUNCIL
21 FEB 1995
RECEIVED

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

AMENDED PLANS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED

Application no: H13/1154/94

Applicant: Broadgate Blds (Spalding) Ltd.
Broadgate House
Weston Hills
Spalding
Lincs PE12 6DB

Agent: Meldrum Lee & Gillatt
49 High Street
Boston
Lincs
PE21 8SP

Description: Erection of 15 dwellings, including 4 bungalows, 9 two bed houses and 2 three bed houses

Location: The Mill High Street
Moulton Spalding Lincs

Type: FULL

Date received: 12-DEC-94

20-FEB-95

Dear Sir/Madam

CONSULTATION WITH PARISH COUNCIL

I have received an application for the above proposal and enclose a copy for your information. Any observations which the Parish Council wish to make should be set out below and returned to me by 06-MAR-95. If no observations have been received by that date, it will be assumed that the Parish Council have no comments to make. The officer dealing with this application is J BLACKLOCK

Yours faithfully
B SMITH
Director of Planning and Building Control Services

Clerk to Moulton PC
75 Postland Road
Crowland
Peterborough
PE6 0JB

FOR PARISH COUNCIL USE ONLY

Comments:

The Council would wish that the observations made in respect of the original application should also be considered when this amendment is discussed.

Signed:

Date: 6th February, 1995.

S.H.D.C. PLANNING DEPT.
RECEIVED
- 7 MAR 1995

File Nos

Acknowledge YES/NO

BRIAN SMITH Dip TP MRTPI
Director of Planning & Building Control Services
Planning & Building Control Department
Council Offices,
Priory Road, Spalding,
Lincolnshire, PE11 2XE
Telephone: (0775) 761161
Fax: (0775) 710772

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Application no: H13/1154/94

Applicant: Broadgate Blds (Spalding) Ltd.
Broadgate House
Weston Hills
Spalding
Lincs PE12 6DB

Agent: Meldrum Lee & Gillatt
49 High Street
Boston
Lincs
PE21 8SP

Description: Erection of 15 dwellings, including 4 bungalows, 9 two bed houses and 2 three bed houses

Location: The Mill
High Street
Moulton
Spalding Lincs

Amendment: Revised site layout and revised elevational treatment and amended site access (12661/08A, 09A, 10A, 11, 12A, 13)

20-FEB-95

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above proposal. These have been incorporated into the application which will now only be considered in its amended form.

The terminal date for dealing with the application has now been extended to 13-APR-95, based on the date of receipt of the amendment, and your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully

Director of Planning and Building Control Services

20/2/95

Spoke to DPBCS (Mr B Smith).
who stated that amended
plans could be treated as
an amendment and did not
require a fresh application.

[signature]

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☐ NO Check by Admin

Registered SAH 17/2

Terminal date/committee updated ..... ✓ A20h

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/~~No~~ (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/~~No~~ *

If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/~~No~~ *

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment?

PC ✓
WM ✓
Press
Residents ✓
Other –

None ☐

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/~~No~~

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/~~No~~ (Refer to Admin ?) *

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :–

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/No Confirmed.....

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: 17/2/95

Superseded details removed ☐ ✓

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued:

Public register updated: ✓ A20h

DC Professional Assessment

NOTES:

Meldrum Lee & Gillatt
Architects, Quantity Surveyors
Management and Cost Consultants

49 High Street
Boston
Lincolnshire
PE21 8SP

Telephone: 01205 364795
Fax: 01205 310842

ALSO AT
Skegness
&
Grantham

PARTNERS
G.T. Lee, FRICS, MAPM
J.A. Merrett, RIBA

J.R. Clark, ARICS MAPM
J.S. Gillett, RIBA

ASSOCIATES
B.G. Hall, AMIAS
C.W. Mager MBIAT
T.J. Newton, ABIAT
T.M. Robinson, AMSST
C.L. Silvester, MSST

MOBILE NUMBERS
0860 542754
0374 611977

Certificate No. 273/93

ESTABLISHED
1900

ATTACHED PLAN
Ref.

JRC/JC/12661

15 February 1995

FOR THE ATTENTION OF MR J BLACKLOCK

Planning & Building Control Services
South Holland District Council
Council Offices
Priory Road
SPALDING
Lincs
PE11 2XE

SOUTH HOLLAND D.C.
PLAN DEPT
16 FEB 1995
REF 1154/94

Dear Sirs

15 Dwellings The Mill Site Moulton
Planning Application Ref H13/1154/94

Further to our telephone conversation on 10 February 1995, we have further amended the layout and details of the scheme, in order to address your comments as far as practicable, and now enclose four copies of the following:-

1. Planning Amendment Form.

2. Our drawings numbered 12661/08A, 09A, 10A, 11, 12A and 13.

We would be pleased if the above drawings could be substituted for those previously submitted.

We would prefer to construct the access road and parking area in macadam on the ground that the substantial additional cost of block paving will threaten the financial viability of the project.

We have not shown a screen wall between Plot 15 and the access road, running along the rear of the parking area, because we believe it would be detrimental to the open courtyard atmosphere which we have tried to create and would sever the visual link between the existing Mill and the dwellings.

Yours faithfully

JRClark

J R Clark ARICS.MAPM

Encs