FILE COPY

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Terry Huggins
Acting Chief Executive
Andrew Petcher
Acting Director of Customer Services
Bob Atkins
Director of Corporate Services

Application no: H16/0096/03

Applicant: Roythorne & Co
10 Pinchbeck Road
Spalding
Lincs. PE11 1PZ

Agent: SEARCH Architects
89 Gedney Road
Long Sutton
Spalding
Lincs. PE12 9JU

Description: Restoration of conservatory, refurbishment of adjoining offices and external landscaping

Location: 8 Pinchbeck Road
Spalding

Amendment: Amended plan showing Sycamore tree
Dwg.No. 536/01

20-MAY-03

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above and confirm that the changes are able to be incorporated in the application which will now **only be considered in its amended form.**

As a result of the need for the Authority to repeat certain procedures because of the amendment, the period for determining the application has been extended to 12 JUN 2003

Your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.
If you do not wish to agree to the extended time period and would rather have the application determined more quickly but on the basis of the application as originally submitted, please let me know in writing within the next 5 days.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully

Head of Planning & Development

Council Offices
Priory Road
Spalding
Lincolnshire
PE11 2XE

Telephone: (01775) 761161
Fax: (01775) 711253
Email: Info@sholland.gov.uk

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET - LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☑ KP

Registered ..... 11/4.

Terminal date/committee updated .....

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/No (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No *
If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No *

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment? PC None ☑
WM
Press
Residents
Other –

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin ?) *

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :-

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/No Confirmed.....

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: .....

Superseded details removed ☑

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued:
Public register updated:

DC Professional Assessment

NOTES:

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only

Application No. H16/0097/03/AI

Date Registered 17-APRIL-2003

Case Officer DJR.

1. Please complete in block letters

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

Roythorne + CO
10 Pinchbeck Rd
Spalding

Tel. No.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)

SEARCH

Tel. No. (Contact)

Please complete in block letters

FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE

8 Pinchbeck Road, Spalding

Please complete

APPLICATION No.

This amendment cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated

H16/0096/03
H16/0097/03

Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if any)

2. Please tick one box only

A — Amendment is proposed where permission already granted
If yes, submit two forms and two plans. ☐

or

B — Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered
If yes, submit four forms and four plans. ☑

Please complete

I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment. I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate. If the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date.

Signed ..... Date .....

3. Please complete in block letters

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

Refurbishment

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered.)

Amended plan showing Sycamore Tree

State drawing no's of the current submission

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)

YES / NO

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? Yes / No

SEARCH
ARCHITECTS
SUSTAINABLE ECOLOGICAL ARCHITECTURE LTD

17 APR 2003

Job 536

16 April 2003

17 APR 2003
0096/03

FOR THE ATTENTION OF MR D ROBERTS

Senior Planning Officer
South Holland District Council
Priory Road
Spalding
Lincs PE11 2XE

Dear Sir

RE: RESTORATION OF CONSERVATORY , REFURBISHMENT OF ADJOINING OFFICES AND EXTERNAL LANDSCAPING, 8 PINCHBECK ROAD, SPALDING, LINCS – H16/0096/03 AND H16/0097/03

Following our telephone conversation today and my revisit to site yesterday, I can confirm that we had omitted the mature Sycamore tree off our survey and scheme drawings. The drawings have been amended accordingly, (see enclosed) and the retaining wall to the terrace area relocated to accommodate that tree. The stairs remain unaltered and I trust this is acceptable.

With regard to your enquiries in your letter of the 8 of April 2003 on the justification for a new floor my comments are as follows.

1. I am not entirely convinced that the current mosaic floor is contemporary with the conservatory construction but have adopted the premise that it is.

2. Accepting the architectural merit and building archaeology of the existing floor its current condition is unacceptable for an office environment i.e. structural defamation exists due to its construction directly on earth.

3. It is a concrete building element that would be impractical to offer an acceptable repair solution.

4. The floor in its current state has cold bridging and possibly ground frost conditions have contributed to its current structural defamation.

By introducing a slip membrane over the existing floor and placing a weak mix sand/cement screed this will afford the protection of the floor in line with the principals of conservation.

I trust now that the information will enable the Local Authority and English Heritage to positively support the application to enable restoration works to commence on site while the good weather is with us.

Yours faithfully

SEARCH Sustainable Ecological Architecture Ltd 89 Gedney Road Long Sutton Spalding Lincs PE12 9JU Tel 01406 364646 Fax 01406 364471 Email molearch@tinyworld.co.uk

VAT Reg No 636 8793 85 COMPANY No 4120213