PLANNING AMENDMENT

For Office Use only
Application No: H16-0440-11/A
Date Registered: 5 Jul 11
Case Officer: Richard Fidler

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

(Please complete using block capitals and black ink)

APPLICANT NAME AND ADDRESS	**AGENT NAME AND ADDRESS**
Sainsbury's Supermarkets Telephone:	Indigo Planning Telephone:

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
5 JUL 2011
NF

SITE ADDRESS DETAILS	Holland Market Spalding
CURRENT APPLICATION NO: This amendment cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated.	H16-0440-11
Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if applicable)	

I attach four copies of this form and necessary plans and request that the changes described below can be accepted as an amendment. As the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date (if applicable).

Signed : Date:

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT OF CURRENT APPLICATION THAT THIS AMENDMENT RELATES TO		
State details of amendments proposed. (Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered)	Revised Site Layout showing footpath within Sainsburys Boundary	
State drawing numbers of the current submission	Dg No: PL17 Rev B	
Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)	~~YES~~/NO	
Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers		
Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? (If so, state Building Control Surveyor dealing with application)	YES/NO	

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin to Complete

Date Received 05 JUL 2011 Registered ☑ 6/7/8

Case Officer to Complete

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No

If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin if in doubt)

If No, but amendment requested, the following must be completed if the amendment is to be accepted

Certified That The Development Objectives Outweigh The Financial Objectives
Senior Officer's Signature: ..... Date: .....

Re-Consults as a result of this amendment None ☐ All ☐

Partial Parish Council ☐ Ward Member ☐ Press ☐ Site Notice ☐

Residents/Other ☑ - *Name/Address:* NEARS ... NEARS .....

.....

.....

.....

Case Officer's Decision:

REJECTED – New Application Required ☐

Reason .....

Do you want this reason to be added to the Rejection Notice? **YES/NO**

ACCEPTED – Superseded Details Removed ☑

Note .....

Do you want this note to be added to the Acceptance Notice? **YES/NO**

Revised Description (if applicable) .....

Case Officers Signature ..... **Date** 14.7.11

Admin To Complete

Agreed Term Date Updated ☒ Re-Consultations Carried Out (*if necessary*) ☐

Public Register Updated ☑ Acceptance/~~Rejection~~ Letter Issued ☑ 11/7/8

(Plus Library if Re-Consulting Residents)

Fidler, Richard

From: Claire Southam [Claire.Southam@indigoplanning.com]
Sent: 06 July 2011 11:12
To: Fidler, Richard
Cc: David Hurdle; Walker, David; Stephen Checketts; Yvonne Doyle
Subject: FW: Sainsburys Spalding
Attachments: PL17xC_Proposed Site Plan.pdf; 05_07_2011_Highways Ownership Boundary.pdf

Richard

Further to recent correspondence, I write to advise that Sainsburys and HCD architects met with Lincolnshire Highways Department yesterday.

The discussions centred around the footpath at the south west corner of the site. In particular, three issues were discussed and agreed as follows:

- Sainsbury's will reinstate the landscaping which was removed in error where the new path entrance to the site is located;
- The new proposed location of the pedestrian/cycle path within Sainsburys boundary is acceptable to Highways. Highways also requested steel railings at this point to encourage pedestrians leaving the site to use the footpath and not continue to walk across Kings Road over the area of grass/shrubs. This is illustrated in the revised 'Proposed Site Plan' attached. A separate plan illustrates Highways land ownership for information purposes;
- As a result of earlier discussions with David Hurdle of PEDALS, the possibility of widening the footpath from the Swann Street crossing around the corner to where the path enters the site was suggested to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists. The Highways Department would not however support this and also mentioned by altering the footpath to a shared use for pedestrians/cyclists the crossing points would need to be changed for shared use, necessitating public consultation and further highway agreements. The outcome was that the footpath at this point will be retained as existing.

As a separate matter, HCD has requested that I advise you that there are proposing a slightly different white lining system in the car park. This will result in no more nor less spaces than are already proposed but instead of the 2.4m single lined space, they propose a 2m space with double lines at each side to encourage more accurate parking and thus give the impression of greater space at each side of the vehicle, though in reality the space will still be 2.4m.

Can I assume that you do not need a plan illustrating the revised white lining?

If you have any queries then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Claire Southam

Claire Southam
Associate Director

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Council Offices
Priory Road
Spalding
Lincolnshire
PE11 2XE

contacts:
admin: 01775 761161
dc officers: 01775 764703
s/board: 01775 761161
facsimile: 01775 762937

Application no: H16-0440-11

Applicant: Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd.
care of Indigo Planning
36 Park Row
Leeds
W Yorks
LS1 5JL

FILE COPY

Agent: Indigo Planning
36 Park Row
Leeds
W Yorks
LS1 5JL

Description: Proposed extension and alterations - variation of condition 2 of H16-0776-10 to provide a sprinkler tank and pump house in the service yard, alter access route for Goods on Line vehicles via the customer car park, modify the shape of the Goods on Line extension, provisior

Location: Sainsburys Store
Holland Market
Spalding
PE11 1RQ

Amendment: *Revised site layout showing footpath within Sainsburys boundary (dwg. no. PL17 Rev C and 1:1250 site plan)*

Letter Sent: 11th July 2011

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above and confirm that the changes are able to be incorporated in the application which will now **only be considered in its amended form**.

Your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully

Paul Jackson
Planning Manager

Terry Huggins Chief Executive
Mark Stokes - Deputy Chief Executive Maxine O'Mahoney - Director of Commissioning

Thorn, Steve

From: Fidler, Richard
Sent: 05 July 2011 09:20
To: _planningadvice
Cc: 'Jon Sharpe'
Subject: FW: Sainsburys, Spalding - Application H16-0440-11
Attachments: PL17xB_Proposed Site Plan.pdf

AMENDED PLAN
Ref. A1

Richard Fidler
Development Control Team Leader
South Holland District Council

SHDC
Planning & Development
05 JUL 2011
Ref: 0440-11

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
- 5 JUL 2011

From: Claire Southam [mailto:Claire.Southam@indigoplanning.com]
Sent: 04 July 2011 11:23
To: Fidler, Richard
Cc: d.hurdle@btinternet.com; Yvonne Doyle; Checketts, Stephen (Mayer Brown); Walker, David; julian.leach@henryriley.co.uk
Subject: FW: Sainsburys, Spalding - Application H16-0440-11

Richard

Application Ref. H16-0440-11
Sainsburys, Spalding

As discussed last week, please find attached a copy of drawing number PL17 rev B which will replace the existing Site Plan of the same reference number in relation to application ref H16-0440-11.

The drawing illustrates that the new footpath entering from Swan Street has been moved to within Sainsburys boundary.

I am in the process of advising David Hurdle at PEDALS of the change. The extent of the land available to Sainsburys means that this stretch of the pathway is unable to accommodate separate dedicated cycle and pedestrian lanes.

I have discussed the application with Mr Hurdle already and he has confirmed that he has no objection to the GOL vans entering and exiting through the car park. As previously highlighted, this is also acceptable to Highways and, in any event, customer vehicles of the same size as the GOL vans can also use the car park.

I trust the Council's public access register is up to date in terms of statutory consultee and third party comments otherwise I would be grateful if you could keep me updated.

Many thanks

Regards

Claire

Claire Southam
Associate Director

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.