

BATTERY BOX ROMAN BOX ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM

NON MATERIAL AMMENDMENT SUBMISSION – REMOVAL OF TREE'S
AMP CLEAN ENERGY

Contents

1.0 Application Details 3

 1.1 Original Submission Drawings:..... 3

2.0 Introduction 3

 2.2 Purpose/Reason for Submission & Impacts..... 3

3.0 Conclusion..... 4

1.0 Application Details

Name and Address of Applicant: Amanda Fairman
AMP Clean Energy 1, Dover Street London W1S 4LD
Address: Land On The Northwest Side Of Roman Bank, Spalding, PE11 2HR
Date of application: 10th July 2024
Application Number: H16-0565-24
Local Planning Authority: South Holland District Council
Description of the Proposal: Construction and operation of a micro energy storage facility
Date of decision: 11 th October 2024

1.1 Original Submission Drawings:

The original planning application is accompanied by the following drawings:

- 01 Site Location Plan
- 02 Site Layout Plan
- 03 Battery Box - Standard Layout 1.20
- 04 Battery Equipment – Plans and Elevations
- 05 Electrical Cabinet – Plans and Elevations
- 06 Fence Elevations

2.0 Introduction

This application relates to planning permission H16-0565-24 at Land On The North West Side Of Roman Bank Spalding. The planning application was for a micro energy storage facility, known as a 'Battery Box'. Subsequently, planning permission was granted of the design and layout of the Battery Box system.

This submission seeks to make a non-material amendment to the planning permission.

2.2 Purpose/Reason for Submission & Impacts

This application seeks to remove two small trees currently shown on the approved site layout to facilitate the installation of a battery storage unit, which is a necessary part of the site's energy infrastructure. The trees in question are not protected by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO), are of limited amenity or ecological value, and their removal will have no material impact on the character or appearance of the site or surrounding area. Their removal is essential to allow for the safe and practical siting of the battery box in the proposed location.

3.0 Conclusion

The removal of these trees will not result in any significant loss of landscape quality, visual amenity, or ecological value, given their modest scale, condition, and lack of protection status. There are no nearby sensitive receptors, and the surrounding vegetation will continue to provide appropriate screening and site integration. The amendment supports the efficient delivery of essential site infrastructure without altering the overall planning balance or undermining the original permission. As such, the change is considered to be both reasonable and appropriate within the context of the approved development.