SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Refusal of Planning Permission

Reference: H16/0659/97 Received:11-JUN-97 Type:FULL

Name: Bennett plc
Hallmark Building
Lakenheath
Suffolk
IP27 9ER

Agent: Bennett plc
Hallmark Building
Lakenheath
Suffolk
IP27 9ER

Description: Erection of bungalow (substitute plot type to Holkham)
Location : Plot 59, Wygate Park (Phase 2)
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice that PERMISSION HAS BEEN REFUSED for the development for the following reason(s):

1 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the proposed dwelling is unsatisfactory because of its siting and relationship with other dwellings originally approved for the development as a whole. As a consequence, the dwelling would detract from the overall appearance of the development, appear unduly cramped and have less than satisfactory rear garden provision.

Director of Housing and Planning Services
28-JUL-97 H16/0659/97

This decision refers only to that required for the purposes of the Town and Country Planning Act and not for Building Regulations or any other legislation. See enclosed notes relating to rights of appeal.

MRS SALLY WALTHAM BA (Hons) F.C.I.H. Director of Housing & Planning Services

Housing & Planning Services Department, Council Offices, Priory Road, Spalding, Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE (Tel: 01775 761161)

Town and Country Planning Act etc

DRAFT REFUSAL

Reference: H16/0659/97 Received:11-JUN-97 Type:FULL

Name: Bennett plc
Hallmark Building
Lakenheath
Suffolk
IP27 9ER

Agent: Bennett plc
Hallmark Building
Lakenheath
Suffolk
IP27 9ER

Description: Erection of bungalow (substitute plot type to Holkham)
Location : Plot 59, Wygate Park (Phase 2)
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice
that permission has been refused etc
for the following reasons:

1 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the proposed dwelling is unsatisfactory because of its siting and relationship with other dwellings originally approved for the development as a whole. As a consequence, the dwelling would detract from the overall appearance of the development, appear unduly cramped and have less than satisfactory rear garden provision.

23-JUL-97 Checked SAS 24/7

Authorised to issue (inc Delegation) 25/7