

**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT WATER LANE
NURSERIES, LOW ROAD, SPALDING, PE12 6EF**
FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT



View of proposed site

S M Hemmings B Sc C Eng MICE MIWEM,
13 Lea Gardens
Peterborough
PE3 6BY

This flood risk assessment has been prepared solely to support the planning application for a residential development at Water Lane Nurseries, Low Road, Spalding. The author has made every effort to provide an accurate assessment of the flood risk but accepts no liability should the information be found to be incorrect or incomplete, or if it is used for any other purposes other than for which it was originally commissioned.

Introduction


A development of 9 dwellings is proposed on a site on a field south of Water Lane Nurseries, Low Road, Spalding, PE12 6EF. One of the glasshouses on the site will be demolished on the site as part of the development. This site is approximately 1.5km east of the centre of Spalding and the houses will be located east of the dyke which is east of the bank of the Coronation Channel.

All of this site is within Flood Zone 3 as shown on the Environment Agency's Flood Zone map.

This flood risk assessment will be carried out as specified in the Practice Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework Development and Flood Risk. The site is within a defended area and is located in the South Holland Internal Drainage Board District.

Environment Agency (EA) Flood Zones

The map below is taken from the Environment agency website and shows the flood zones in this area.



© Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2022. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right 2022. Ordnance Survey licence number 100024198.

It can be seen that all of the site is within Flood Zone 3.

Application Site

The National Grid Reference of the site is 526135 322680.

The position and extent of the site is shown on the plan at the end of this document.

As the site is within a defended area the proposed development can be considered to be within Flood Zone 3(a) as defined in Table 1 of the Technical Guidance.

Applying the flood risk vulnerability classification in Table 2 of the Guidance, a residential development is classified as “more vulnerable”.

Table 3 of the Guidance is shown below:

Flood Zones	Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification				
	Essential infrastructure	Highly vulnerable	More vulnerable	Less vulnerable	Water compatible
Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Zone 2	✓	Exception Test required	✓	✓	✓
Zone 3a †	Exception Test required †	X	Exception Test required	✓	✓
Zone 3b *	Exception Test required *	X	X	X	✓*

Therefore it can be seen that for “More Vulnerable” development the sequential and the exception tests need to be applied to the development.

Sequential Test

The aim of the Sequential Test, as set out in the Planning Practice Guidance, is to ensure that a sequential approach is followed to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. The flood zones as defined in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for the area provide the basis for applying the Test. The aim is to steer new development to Flood Zone 1 (areas with a low probability of river or sea flooding). Where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 1, local planning authorities in their decision making should take into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and consider reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 2 (areas with a medium probability of river or sea flooding), applying the Exception Test if required. Only where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zones 1 or 2 should the suitability of sites in Flood Zone 3 (areas with a high probability of river or sea flooding) be considered, taking into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and applying the Exception Test if required.

As the development will be carried out under Class Q permitted rights development then it is not necessary to carry out the sequential test.

The safety of the site will be delivered by ensuring the ground floor level is above the predicted flood level in 2115.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) written for the South Holland District Council (SHDC) in 2010 provides details of the actual flood risk in the Council’s area.

This information has not been updated and reference to the maps in this document give the following information for the actual flood risk and hazard at the site for the 1% fluvial event and 0.5% tidal event.

For the present day	Depth of flooding ... zero Extent of flooding .. Low or medium flood probability Peak Velocity Nil
For year 2115	Depth of flooding ... 500mm – 1.5 metres Extent of flooding....High Peak Velocity 0 – 0.25m/sec

The maps showing the residual flood hazard were revised in the 2016 update of the South Holland District Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment which can be found on the website of the South East Lincolnshire Joint Planning Committee. The hazards are as follows for the 1% fluvial or 0.5% tidal event probability:

For the present day	Depth of flooding ...500mm – 2.0metres Extent of flooding .. High Hazard rating..... 1.25 – 2.0 (Danger for Most) Peak Velocity .. 0 – 0.3m/sec
For the year 2115	Depth of flooding ... 500mm – 2.0metres Extent of flooding .. High Hazard rating..... 1.25 – 2.0 (Danger for Most) Peak velocity 0 – 0.3m/sec

Figure 16 of the general maps in the 2010 SFRA show that the site is not within the rapid inundation zone for the present day and 2115.

Maximum flood level and bank levels in the River Welland

Tables in the SFRA show the following details of the defence bank on the east side of the tidal section of the River Welland north of the A16 road bridge and 2.5km north of the development site, between chainage 19.8km and 20.8km.

	2007	2055	2115
Peak 1 in 200 year extreme tide level	5.98m OD	6.31m OD	7.12m OD
Peak 1 in 1,000 year extreme tide level	6.27m OD	6.60m OD	7.41m OD

With an average defence crest level between 7.80 and 7.90 m OD the freeboards are as follows:

	2007	2055	2115
Peak 1 in 200 year freeboard	1870mm	1540mm	730mm
Peak 1 in 1,000 year freeboard	1580mm	1250mm	440mm

Tables in the SFRA show the following details for the defence bank on the east side of the Coronation Channel at chainage 1.5 km which is 1.2km west of the site.

	2007	2115
Peak 1 in 100 year flood level	4.34m OD	4.69m OD
Peak 1 in 1,000 year flood level	4.37m OD	4.69m OD

The bank levels on this section vary between 5.50m OD and 6.0m OD. Therefore it can be seen there is a minimum freeboard of 800mm to the predicted design maximum levels in 2115.

The Wash banks are at least 20.0 km north of the site. The tidal defences are earth banks with an average level of 7.80m OD. The maximum predicted 1 in 200 year tide level in 2115 in the SFRA is 7.11m OD.

Information from Environment Agency

The Environment Agency provided flood information for this site in 2023, which was as follows:

- a) Tidal Flood Risk - The site is not considered to be at risk from tidal flooding.
- b) Fluvial Flood Risk - The site may be at risk from a breach of a raised flood defence.


The following maximum levels were supplied for the Coronation Channel at Chainage 12088.4 directly west of the site.

	2007	2007 with 20% allowance for climate change
Peak 1 in 100 year flood level	4.45m OD	4.68m OD
Peak 1 in 1,000 year flood level	4.48m OD	4.68m OD

The Environment Agency provided a plan of the Modelled Flood Extents in a range of scenarios, taken from the Welland Catchment Strategis Model (2016). This plan, reproduced on page 14 of this report, does not predict any flooding in the area east of the Coronation Channel in a fluvial event.

Information on Surface Water Flooding on Environment Agency Website

The map on the next page shows areas around the site where there is a low risk of surface water flooding. The light blue areas indicate the low risk of up to 300mm of surface water flooding, and the darker blue areas indicate that between 300mm and 900mm of surface water flooding could occur.



It can be seen the map does not predict any flooding would occur on the site in the low risk scenario.

Existing Flood Alleviation Measures

The site is within a defended flood plain, as defined in Appendix 1 of the Environment Agency's "Policy and Practice for the Protection of Flood Plains", which is considered to be passive until such time that a flood greater than the defences can withstand occurs. The likelihood of flooding occurring due to overtopping or failures of the defences is considered to be very low.

The site is located approximately 46 metres from the east side of the Coronation Channel which is maintained by the Environment Agency.

The site is located approximately 1.5 km south of the tidal section of the River Welland, which has a tidal defence bank which is maintained by the Environment Agency.

The major watercourses in the area are maintained by South Holland IDB.

Existing Ground Levels

Levels were taken on the site using the Ordnance Survey bench mark on the bridge over the Coronation Channel as a reference.

The ground levels on the site of the proposed dwellings are approximately 2.85m OD.

The level of the east bank of the Coronation Channel is approximately 5.50m OD.

Potential Sources of Flooding

The potential sources of flooding to the site are:-

1. Failure or overtopping of tidal defences of the River Welland.
2. Failure or Overtopping of the Coronation Channel.
3. High water levels in IDB drainage channels.
4. Localised flooding in the area.

1. Failure or overtopping of tidal defences of the River Welland or the Wash

The east bank of the tidal section of the River Welland is approximately 1.5 km from the site. If a breach were to occur in the east bank of this river immediately north of the outfall sluice in the Coronation Channel then flood water could flow south and cause flooding to the proposed development.

It is likely that the A16 road would provide a barrier to the flow which should reduce the predicted impact of flooding from a breach in the tidal River Welland.

The Environment Agency have advised that the site is not at risk from tidal flooding and it is assumed that the predicted flood depths shown in the updated flood maps would be the result of a breach in the east bank of the Coronation Channel.

Adequate mitigation against this risk will be provided by raising floor levels above the predicted flood level from a breach in the Coronation Channel.

2. Failure or Overtopping of the Coronation Channel

The SFRA and the Environment Agency advice states that the maximum design level in the Coronation Channel in a 1 in 100 year event in 2115 is 4.68m OD. The minimum crest level of the east bank of the Channel is 5.14m OD, which gives a minimum freeboard of 450mm. However, generally there is a freeboard of between 600 mm and 800mm along this bank of the Channel. The measured level of the east bank of the channel is 5.50m OD.

Mitigation will be provided by raising the proposed floor level of the dwellings above the predicted maximum flood level following a breach shown on the cross section on page 11 of this report.

3. High Water Levels in IDB drains

Although the whole of this area is below high tide level and levels in the rivers, the retained water levels in the drainage channels ensure that the risk of flooding is extremely low. South Holland IDB maintain a system of sluices, pumping stations, and drainage channels which convey surface water into the River Welland, the Wash and the River Nene to control water levels throughout the South Holland IDB area.

The site is on the northern boundary of the catchment area of Wisemans Pumping Station in the South Holland IDB. There is a dyke west of the site parallel to the Coronation Channel which flows southwards to flow into the drain which leads to the Clay Lake Drain which flows eastwards to discharge into the Wheatmere Drain. The Clay Lake Drain is located 2km south of the site.

The water level in the western section of the South Holland Main Drain is controlled by Wisemans Pumping Station. Wisemans Pumping Station is operated and maintained in excellent condition by South Holland IDB and the likelihood of flooding due to this source is low. IDB systems are designed to provide a freeboard of between 600mm and 1000mm to all land in a 1 in 10 year return period rainfall event. South Holland IDB watercourses generally state that their watercourses provide a standard

of 1 in 100 years with a freeboard of 300mm in urban areas. In an extreme event water levels will rise but this will be a slow process and it would be at least 24 hours before levels were high enough to begin to flood low land in the area, and after this the level of the flood water would need to rise another 1.0 metre before the development site would be affected.

The channels maintained by South Holland IDB in urban areas are classified as high priority watercourses and as such receive a higher maintenance priority.

There are possibilities of failure of outfall sluices, but these are mitigated by the high level of maintenance given to these structures by the IDB. If a failure did occur the IDB would immediately be warned of the problem by their telemetry system and take action to repair the fault or to bring in temporary pumps to reduce the risk of any flooding in the area. Similarly any failures of culverts or other blockages would be dealt with immediately.

Therefore the risk of flooding from IDB drainage channels can be considered to be extremely low, and adequate mitigation will be provided by raising the proposed ground floor level above the predicted maximum flood level shown on the available hazard maps.

4. Localised Flooding in the area

The Environment Agency map showing predicted areas of surface water flooding indicates that there is no predicted risk of surface water flooding on the proposed site of the development.

Adequate mitigation against this risk will be provided because the ground floor level of the proposed dwellings will be raised above the predicted maximum flood level shown on the available hazard maps.

Probabilities and Trends of Flooding

The probability of this development flooding from Environment Agency main river is very low. The bank of the Coronation Channel is in good condition and the residents in this area would be provided with adequate warnings of very high water levels in this watercourse.

Residual Risk – Extreme Events

The residual risk from extreme events is very low on this site. The major risk to the site is from a breach in the east bank of the Coronation Channel. The banks of the River Welland between Cowbit and Crowland will be overtopped as soon as the maximum predicted level occurs in the Coronation Channel. This will prevent the level in the Coronation Channel rising above the predicted maximum level.

Climate Change


The recommendations for flood depths for this flood risk assessment use information mostly taken from the South Holland DC SFRA which was last updated in 2010. The EA have issued new guidance on recommended contingency allowances for predicted

sea rises, fluvial flows and rainfall intensities which from 19th February 2016 needs to be considered in the FRA. The effects of these new recommendations are considered in Appendix A of this report (pages 15 to 18). It is concluded that no extra mitigation measures are necessary to comply with the new guidance on climate change.

South East Lincs Advice Matrix

Advice can be found on the recommended mitigation required by referring to a spreadsheet on the South East Lincolnshire website.

The map below shows the predicted flood hazard on the site of the proposed development is 1.25 – 2.0 (danger for most).



As the development is in flood zone 3 and the predicted flood hazard is 1.25 – 2.0 (Danger for Most) and greater than 2.0 (danger for all) reference should be made to Category C8 which shows the following advice.

The Environment Agency requires direct consultation on proposals in this hazard zone. The NPPF requires that the proposal is accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment which contains evidence that appropriate mitigation measures / flood resilience techniques have been incorporated into the development.

The applicant is advised to refer to the document “Improving Flood Performance of New Buildings Flood Resilient Construction (DCLG2007).

Finished floor levels (FFL) should be informed by the predicted flood depth maps and set as required below (single storey proposals must use the 0.1% event, 2115 scenario, for setting FFLs).

Flood depths of greater than 1.6 metres

It is unlikely that mitigation measures would prevent flood water from entering the building at ground floor level. Therefore proposals should have a minimum of 2 storeys with no ground floor habitable accommodation. The first floor living accommodation must be above the highest predicted flood depth.

Flood depths of 1.0 – 1.6 metres


Proposals must have a minimum of 2 storeys, with FFL set a minimum of 1.0 metre above existing ground level, flood resilient construction to a height of 300mm above the predicted flood depth, and demountable defences to 600mm above FFL.

Flood depths of 500mm – 1.0 metre

FFL set a minimum of 1.0 metre above ground level with flood resilient construction to a height of 300mm above the predicted flood depth.


Summary of Flood Risk

The relevant part of the 1 in 100 year predicted flood depths in a fluvial event in 2115 which is available on the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan website is shown below.



The above map indicates that the predicted flood depth in a 1 in 100 year event in 2115 on the site of the proposed annex is predicted to be between 1.0 metres and 2.0 metres.

A cross section west to east has been drawn showing the estimated water levels if a breach were to occur when the water level in the Coronation Channel is 4.75m OD.



The risk of flooding to the proposed building from IDB drains can be considered low, especially as the floor levels will be raised by 1.0 metre above ground level.

The IDB have adequate arrangements to bring in contractors and use their own staff if a failure of any part of the sluices occurred.

As the proposed floor level of the dwellings will be raised in excess of 1.0 metre above ground level this will provide adequate mitigation against surface water flooding in this area.

The proposed development is not in a functional flood plain as defined by PPS 25.

Recommendations

As there is a risk of flooding if a breach were to occur in the east bank of the Coronation Channel all new dwellings should be of two story construction with all bedrooms at first floor level. This is to provide a refuge for residents if the buildings were to become flooded after a major breach of the tidal bank and ensure there is no danger to residents when they are asleep.

The South East Lincolnshire Planning Matrix recommends that as the predicted flood depth is between 1.0 metres and 1.6 metres the proposed dwellings must have two stories, with finished ground floor level set 1.0 metre above existing ground level with flood resilient construction to a height of 300mm above the predicted flood depth, and demountable defences to 600mm above FFL.

Alternately the finished ground floor level of the dwellings should be raised to a minimum level of 4.15m OD and flood resistant and resilient techniques to allow it to be refurbished after being flooding to a depth of approximately 300mm above the floor level of the new building should be incorporated.

The designer of the dwellings is also considering placing all the habitable accommodation on the first and second floors of the building which will be acceptable.

The owners and occupiers of the property should to register with the Environment Agency's Floodline Warnings Direct Service.


Rainwater from the roofs of the buildings should be discharged if possible into soakaways and these should be designed to BRE Digest 365 and approved under Building Regulations. New hardstandings around the building should be constructed with permeable paving.

S M HEMMINGS B Sc C Eng MICE MIWEM


stuart.hemmings@btinternet.com

1st August 2024

LOCATION PLAN




PLAN SHOWING IDB WATERCOURSES



Site Layout 1:500

MODELLLED FLOOD EXTENTS (WITH DEFENCES)



© Environment Agency copyright and/or database rights 2023. © Ordnance Survey Crown copyright and database rights 2023 ACO000807064.

Contact Us: National Customer Contact Centre, PO Box 544, Rotherham, S60 1BY. Tel: 03708 506 506 (Mon-Fri 8-6). Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

APPENDIX A CLIMATE CHANGE

The Environment Agency has issued revised guidance on climate change and have now stated that the new predictions should be considered and incorporated into all flood risk assessments produced after 19th February 2016.

Listed below are the climate change allowances in three documents:

- South Holland SFRA
- EA guidance (2013)
- Revised EA guidance

The recommendations in each document are shown below.

2010 South Holland DC SFRA

The SHDC SFRA states that the the following allowances have been made for climate change:

4.4 Climate Change

Scenarios for the years 2055 and 2115 include for climate change contingency allowances to the amount suggested by PPS25¹. These allowances are expressed in Table 2. Percentage increases are relative to the present-day.

Table 2 – Adopted Climate change contingency allowances

Parameter	Year 2055	Year 2115
Sea level rise (m)	+ 0.33	+ 1.14
Extreme wave height	+ 10%	+ 10%
Peak river flow and volume	+ 20%	+ 20%
Peak rainfall intensity	+ 20%	+30%

Where flows arise from pumping rather than natural run-off, notably in the Vernatt's Drain and for the Fenland subcatchments of the South Forty Foot Drain, peak flow rates for future eras have been taken as equal to current rates since this SFRA assumes all flood risk management measures will remain in their current state.

2013 Guidance to Planners

Guidance to planners was issued by EA in September 2013

Table 1: Recommended contingency allowances for net sea level rises (Net sea level rise (mm per year) relative to 1990)

	1990 to 2025	2025 to 2055	2055 to 2085	2085 to 2115
East of England, east midlands, London, south-east England (south of Flamborough Head)	4.0	8.5	12.0	15.0
South-west England	3.5	8.0	11.5	14.5
North-west England, north-east England (north of Flamborough Head)	2.5	7.0	10.0	13.0

Table 2: Recommended national precautionary sensitivity ranges for peak rainfall intensity, peak river flow, offshore wind speed and wave height

Parameter	1990 to 2025	2025 to 2055	2055 to 2085	2085 to 2115
Peak rainfall intensity	+5%	+10%	+20%	+30%
Peak river flow	+10%	+20%		
Offshore wind speed	+5%		+10%	
Extreme wave height	+5%		+10%	

Revised 2016 EA Guidance

Table 1 peak river flow allowances by river basin district (use 1961 to 1990 baseline)

River basin district	Allowance category	Total potential change anticipated for '2020s' (2015 to 39)	Total potential change anticipated for '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Anglian	Upper end	25%	35%	65%
	Higher central	15%	20%	35%
	Central	10%	15%	25%

For more vulnerable development in flood zone 3(a) the higher central and upper end should be used to assess the range of allowances.

Table 2 peak rainfall intensity allowance in small and urban catchments (use 1961 to 1990 baseline)

Applies across all of England	Total potential change anticipated for 2010 to 2039	Total potential change anticipated for 2040 to 2059	Total potential change anticipated for 2060 to 2115
Upper end	10%	20%	40%
Central	5%	10%	20%

Table 3 sea level allowance for each epoch in millimetres (mm) per year with cumulative sea level rise for each epoch in brackets (use 1990 baseline)

Area of England	1990 to 2025	2026 to 2050	2051 to 2080	2081 to 2115	Cumulative rise 1990 to 2115 / metres (m)
East, east midlands, London, south east	4 (140 mm)	8.5 (212.5 mm)	12 (360 mm)	15 (525 mm)	1.24 m

Table 4 offshore wind speed and extreme wave height allowance (use 1990 baseline)

Applies around all the English coast	1990 to 2050	2051 to 2115
Offshore wind speed allowance	+5%	+10%
Offshore wind speed sensitivity test	+10%	+10%
Extreme wave height allowance	+5%	+10%
Extreme wave height sensitivity test	+10%	+10%

Effects on Predictions of Flood Risk in FRA

1) Flooding from Tidal River Welland

The FRA has identified the main source of flooding where the new climate change recommendations could affect the predictions of flood levels in 2115 at the development site is flooding from the tidal River Welland.

The contingency allowance in metres for the years 2055 and 2115 using 1990 as a baseline in the SFRA compared with the guidelines is as follows

Year	SFRA	2013 guidance	Revised 2016 guidance
2055	0.33	0.395	0.412
2115	1.14	1.205	1.24

Therefore it can be seen that the revised guidance increases the maximum allowance for sea rise in 2115 by 100mm for the maps in the SFRA and 40mm for maps issued by the Environment Agency. The SFRA maps do not predict any flooding on this site

in a 1 in 200 year event in 2115. The small increase shown above will not change this map significantly and cause any flooding on the site in this event.

2) Flooding from Coronation Channel and the IDB drainage system

As the development is in flood zone 3 and is classed as more vulnerable, the central climate change allowance, which is 35%, should firstly be considered. After considering the effects of this increase the higher central, which is 65%, should be considered to assess the effect of this.

The EA have been using an allowance of 20% for climate change over the past few years in their assessments and modelling of their systems. The SHDC SFRA also has used this figure of 20%. The increase to 35% and 65% will not significantly change the conclusion in the SFRA of what might happen if a breach occurred in the bank of the Coronation Channel. If there are additional flows along this part of the Coronation channel and River Welland it will lead to the storage systems of the Cowbit and Crowland Washes operating and additional overtopping over lower banks rather than any significant increase in levels in the river.

IDB's have been using an allowance of 20% for climate change over the past few years in their assessments and modelling of their systems. Generally IDB's are happy that their systems provide a 1 in 100 year standard to most urban areas at the present time.

South Holland IDB, and all IDB's, are aware that climate change will affect the operations of pumping stations, sluices and drainage channels. Pumping stations and sluices only have a 30 year life and will need to be refurbished or rebuilt within this timespan. It is assumed that South Holland IDB will continue to review the modelling they have already carried out and when the Board consider these refurbishments adequate arrangements will be made to incorporate the latest climate change projections in order that the Board continues to provide the same standard of service as the present day.

Therefore it is considered that the mitigation proposed for the development, with the recommendation that the floor levels should be raised to a minimum level of 4.15m OD, or as an alternative no habitable accommodation is provided on the ground floor, is satisfactory.