

## Online Comment

|                    |                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Application</b> | H17-0585-23                                                                                                                            |
| <b>Location</b>    | 1 PARK LANE SURFLEET SPALDING                                                                                                          |
| <b>Proposal</b>    | Conversion of 2 flats into single dwelling including new roof, replacement porches, internal alterations and extended vehicular access |

---

|                |                      |
|----------------|----------------------|
| <b>Name</b>    | Greg Watkinson       |
| <b>Address</b> | Conservation Officer |

|                       |          |
|-----------------------|----------|
| <b>Date Submitted</b> | 25-09-23 |
| <b>For/Against</b>    | Comments |

I write to confirm that I have no objections to this proposal upon conservation grounds.

The proposal site is neither listed, nor is there an established conservation area for Surfleet. However, the proposal site could be considered to form both a part of the setting of the nearby Grade II listed Mermaid Inn, as well as being a part of the historic core of the village of surfleet, within close proximity to a number of clear non-designated heritage assets. The building as extant is a visibly notable draw on the aesthetic qualities of the area, particularly for its blocky, flat-roofed appearance. As such, despite its distant visual relation to the nearby Grade II listed building, I am happy to see that this proposal would almost certainly represent a visual improvement to a building within the setting of a listed building, as well as that of a number of non-designated heritage assets.

The case officer should therefore, continue to consider the application in accordance with Policy 29 of the SELLP in addition to all other relevant planning policy and guidance considerations.