

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For Office Use only

Application No: H18-0245-11/A1

Date Registered:

04 MAY 2011

Case Officer:

DMS.

S O U T H



H O L L A N D
DISTRICT COUNCIL

(Please complete using block capitals and black ink)

APPLICANT NAME AND ADDRESS G Sangha		AGENT NAME AND ADDRESS G R merchant	
Telephone:		Telephone:	
SITE ADDRESS DETAILS 112 Bridge Road, Sutton Bridge			
CURRENT APPLICATION NO: This amendment cannot be considered unless the relevant reference is stated.		H18-0245-11	
Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if applicable)			

FILE COPY

I attach four copies of this form and necessary plans and request that the changes described below can be accepted as an amendment. As the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date (if applicable).

Signed :

Date:

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT OF CURRENT APPLICATION THAT THIS AMENDMENT RELATES TO		change of use of Shop - - - -	
State details of amendments proposed. (Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered)		Omit door on Side elevation	
State drawing numbers of the current submission			
Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)	YES/NO		
Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers			
Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? (If so, state Building Control Surveyor dealing with application)	YES/NO		

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET - LIVE APPLICATION

Admin to Complete

Date Received ... K.P. 4-5 ... Registered ☒ 4/5/8

Case Officer to Complete

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No

If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin if in doubt)
If No, but amendment requested, the following must be completed if the amendment is to be accepted

Certified That The Development Objectives Outweigh The Financial Objectives

Senior Officer's Signature: Date:

Re-Consults as a result of this amendment

None ☒ All ☐

Partial ☐ Parish Council ☐ Ward Member ☐ Press ☐ Site Notice ☐

Residents/Other ☐ - Name/Address:
.....
.....
.....

Case Officer's Decision:

REJECTED - New Application Required ☐

Reason

Do you want this reason to be added to the Rejection Notice? YES/NO

ACCEPTED - Superseded Details Removed ☒

Note

Do you want this note to be added to the Acceptance Notice? YES/NO

Revised Description (if applicable)

Case Officers Signature D.M.G. Date 5/5

Admin To Complete

Agreed Term Date Updated ☐ Re-Consultations Carried Out (if necessary) ☐

Public Register Updated ☐ Acceptance/Rejection Letter Issued ☐

(Plus Library if Re-Consulting Residents)

Gedney, David

From: G R Merchant Ltd [gr.merchant@btconnect.com]
Sent: 03 May 2011 16:37
To: Gedney, David
Subject: Re: H18-0245-11. Revisions to scheme, 112 Bridge Rd, Sutton Bridge - G Sangha

Attachments: 2132-10-05D Proposed A1.pdf



2132-10-05D
Proposed A1.pdf (.

Dear David

With respect to your e-mail with reference to the above, please find attached amended drawing as requested.

With regard to the second paragraph of your message we confirm that your assumptions are correct.

Regards
Bob

On 03/05/2011 15:10, Gedney, David wrote:

> Dear Bob,
>
> In respect of the above application, it appears that the elevational
> drawings omit a new door on the side elevation. I therefore look
> forward to a revised plan that addresses this issue.
>
> It is assumed from the drawings that the width, depth and height of
> the buildings remains the same as previously approved (ref
> H18-0783-10) and that the only alterations are as follows:
> - Revised shopfronts
> - Revised rear external staircase, position of rear door and addition
> of side door (store).
> - Revised internal layout at ground floor only
>
> Can you please confirm (or otherwise).
>
> Regards.
>
> David Gedney.

>
> Development Control Officer,
> South Holland District Council,
> Council Offices,
> Priory Rd,
> Spalding.
> PE11 2XE

>
>
>
>
> "This Email, and any attachments, may contain Protected or Restricted information and is intended solely for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain sensitive or protectively marked material and should be handled accordingly. If this Email has been misdirected, please notify the author immediately. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, distribute, copy, print or relay on any of the information contained in it or attached, and all copies must be deleted immediately. Whilst we take reasonable steps to try to identify any software viruses, any attachments to this Email may nevertheless contain viruses which our anti-virus software has failed to identify. You should therefore carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any documents. South Holland District Council will not accept

any liability for damage caused by computer viruses emanating from any attachment or other document supplied with this e-mail. All GCSx traffic may be subject to recording and / or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation."

>
>

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

Porter, Karen

From: Gedney, David
Sent: 04 May 2011 09:18
To: Porter, Karen
Subject: FW: H18-0245-11. Revisions to scheme, 112 Bridge Rd, Sutton Bridge - G Sangha

Attachments: 2132-10-05D Proposed A1.pdf




2132-10-05D
Proposed A1.pdf (...)

Karen,

Amended plan

DMG



-----Original Message-----
From: G R Merchant Ltd [mailto:gr.merchant@btconnect.com]
Sent: 03 May 2011 16:37
To: Gedney, David
Subject: Re: H18-0245-11. Revisions to scheme, 112 Bridge Rd, Sutton Bridge - G Sangha

Dear David

With respect to your e-mail with reference to the above, please find attached amended drawing as requested.

With regard to the second paragraph of your message we confirm that your assumptions are correct.

Regards
Bob

On 03/05/2011 15:10, Gedney, David wrote:

- > Dear Bob,
- >
- > In respect of the above application, it appears that the elevational
- > drawings omit a new door on the side elevation. I therefore look
- > forward to a revised plan that addresses this issue.
- >
- > It is assumed from the drawings that the width, depth and height of
- > the buildings remains the same as previously approved (ref
- > H18-0783-10) and that the only alterations are as follows:
- > - Revised shopfronts
- > - Revised rear external staircase, position of rear door and addition
- > of side door (store).
- > - Revised internal layout at ground floor only
- >
- > Can you please confirm (or otherwise).
- >
- > Regards.
- >
- > David Gedney.
- >
- >
- > Development Control Officer,
- > South Holland District Council,
- > Council Offices,
- > Priory Rd,
- > Spalding.
- > PE11 2XE
- >
- >
- >

> "This Email, and any attachments, may contain Protected or Restricted information
> and is intended solely for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain
> sensitive or protectively marked material and should be handled accordingly. If this
> Email has been misdirected, please notify the author immediately. If you are not the
> intended recipient you must not disclose, distribute, copy, print or relay on any of
> the information contained in it or attached, and all copies must be deleted
> immediately. Whilst we take reasonable steps to try to identify any software viruses,
> any attachments to this Email may nevertheless contain viruses which our anti-virus
> software has failed to identify. You should therefore carry out your own anti-virus
> checks before opening any documents. South Holland District Council will not accept
> any liability for damage caused by computer viruses emanating from any attachment or
> other document supplied with this e-mail. All GCSx traffic may be subject to recording
> and / or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation."

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
