SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Refusal of Planning Permission

Reference: H18/0802/95 Received:10-AUG-95 Type:FULL

Name: Chapelgate Motor Company
38 Chapelgate
Sutton St James
Spalding
Lincs

Agent: Chapelgate Motor Company
38 Chapelgate
Sutton St James
Spalding
Lincs

Description: Retail car sales site

Location : 28 Bridge Road Sutton Bridge
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice that PERMISSION HAS BEEN REFUSED for the development for the following reason(s):

1 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority the proposed development would constitute a discordant feature in the street scene to the detriment of the visual amenities of the locality. Moreover, the access to the site from High Street is inadequate in width, construction and visibility to cater for the proposed development satisfactorily, without detriment to highway safety. Customers may therefore be tempted to park on Bridge Road or adjoining streets to the detriment of the amenities of neighbouring properties.

Director of Housing and Planning Services
13-OCT-95 H18/0802/95

This decision refers only to that required for the purposes of the Town and Country Planning Act and not for Building Regulations or any other legislation. See enclosed notes relating to rights of appeal.

MRS SALLY WALTHAM BA (Hons) F.C.I.H. Director of Housing & Planning Services

Housing & Planning Services Department, Council Offices, Priory Road, Spalding, Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE (Tel: 01775 761161)

Town and Country Planning Act etc

DRAFT REFUSAL

Reference: H18/0802/95 Received:10-AUG-95 Type:FULL

Name: Chapelgate Motor Company
38 Chapelgate
Sutton St James
Spalding
Lincs

Agent: Chapelgate Motor Company
38 Chapelgate
Sutton St James
Spalding
Lincs

Description: Retail car sales site

Location : 28 Bridge Road Sutton Bridge
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice
that permission has been refused etc
for the following reasons:

1 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority the proposed development would constitute a discordant feature in the street scene to the detriment of the visual amenities of the locality. Moreover, the access to the site from High Street is inadequate in width, construction and visibility to cater for the proposed development satisfactorily, without detriment to highway safety. Customers may therefore be tempted to park on Bridge Road or adjoining streets to the detriment of the amenities of neighbouring properties.

12-OCT-95 AD check..AP.12/10.....

Authorised to issue (inc Delegation).....AP.....