SALLY WALTHAM
BA (Hons) F.C.I.H.
Director of Housing & Planning Services

Housing and Planning
Services Department,
Council Offices,
Priory Road,
Spalding,
Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE

Telephone: (01775) 761161
Fax: (01775) 710772

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
HOUSING & PLANNING SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Application no: H18/0963/99

Original Applicant:
Regalbourne (Haverhill) Ltd
Unit 7, Piperell Way
Haverill
CB9 8PH

Original Agent:
Dixon Architects
12 Oaklands Road
London
N20 8BA

Description: Construct 8 bungalows with garages

Location: Adj. 149 Railway Lane (Plots A-H)
Sutton Bridge
Spalding
Lincs

Amendment: Extension to rear of garages on plots C, E & G and internal alterations. Dwg. No 978.2 & M111.1

07-JAN-00

Dear Sir/Madam

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF AMENDMENT

The amended details submitted in respect of the above proposal have now been considered and there are no objections to the amendment being implemented to the extent described above.

Note: This Notice does not convey any approval under the Building Regulations and you may need to make a new submission.

Yours faithfully

Director of Housing and Planning Services

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☑

Registered 12/11/98

Terminal date/committee updated .....

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/~~No~~ (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? ~~Yes~~/No *

If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No *

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment? PC None ☑
WM
Press
Residents
Other –

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/~~No~~

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/~~No~~ (Refer to Admin ?) *

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :-

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/~~No~~ Confirmed UTS 24/12/99

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: UTS 24-12-99

Superseded details removed ☐

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued: ✓

Public register updated: 7/1

DC Professional Assessment

NOTES:

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only
Application No. H18/0963/99
Date Registered 26 NOV 1999
Case Officer LS

1. Please complete in block letters
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT
REGALBOURNE (HAVERHILL) LTD
Tel. No.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)
DIXON ARCHITECTS
Tel. No. (Contact)

OFFICE COPY

Please complete in block letters
FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE
ADJ. 149 RAILWAY LANE, SUTTON BRIDGE

Please complete
APPLICATION No.
This amendment **cannot be considered** unless the relevant reference is stated
H18/0963/99

Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if any)

2. Please tick **one** box only

A		or	B	
Amendment is proposed where permission already granted If yes, submit two forms and two plans.			Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered If yes, submit four forms and four plans.	✓

Please complete
I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment. I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate. If the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date.
Signed ..... Date 26/11/99

3. Please complete in block letters
FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered.)
SEE ATTACHED LETTER + PLANS
extension to rear of garages on plots C, E + G and internal alterations

State drawing no's of the current submission

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)
YES / NO

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? Yes / No