

DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No: H18-1040-21 **Applicant:** EDF Renewables

Proposal: Details of construction management & precautions for the prevention of mud deposit on public highway (Conditions 5 & 6 of H18-1126-20)

Location: Land To The South Of Centenary Way Sutton Bridge Spalding

Terminal Date: 29th November 2021

Planning Policies**South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019**

02 Development Management
03 Design of New Development
30 Pollution

National Guidance**National Planning Policy Framework 2021**

Section 9 - Promoting sustainable transport
Section 15 - Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
PARISH COUNCIL	0	0	1	0
HIGHWAYS & SUDS SUPPORT	0	0	0	1
SHDC INTERNAL	0	0	0	1

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT**Proposal**

Details of construction management & precautions for the prevention of mud deposit on public highway (Conditions 5 & 6 of H18-1126-20).

Site Description

As original application.

History

As original application.

Consultation Responses

Sutton Bridge Parish Council - No comment.

SHDC Environmental Protection - No objection.

LCC Highways - Does not wish to restrict the grant of permission.

Planning Considerations

Condition 5 (Construction Management Plan) - The Highway Authority and the Council's Environmental Protection Officer have no objection to the submitted details.

Condition 6 (prevention of mud on highway) - The Highway Authority has no objection to the submitted details.

The details for Conditions 5 and 6 are therefore considered acceptable.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:

A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.

B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).

C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the

recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

Conclusion

In light of the above, it is considered that Conditions 5 & 6 of H18-1126-20 can be discharged.