FILE COPY

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Refusal of Planning Permission

Reference: H18/1268/98 Received:22-DEC-98 Type:OUTLINE

Name: Roffes Transport
West Bank
Sutton Bridge
Spalding
Lincs

Agent: Cooper Architectural Design
Hocklesgate
Fleet
Spalding
Lincs

Description: Residential development

Location : Custom House Street Sutton Bridge
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice that PERMISSION HAS BEEN REFUSED for the development for the following reason(s):

1 The proposed development would present a cramped and over-intensive appearance which would be detrimental to visual amenity. As such, the proposed development would be contrary to Structure Plan Policy 5A and the advice contained in Planning Policy Guidance Note No 3 1992 (Housing).

2 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the proposed development, because of its close proximity to the adjacent transport yard, would be likely to have an unacceptably low standard of residential amenity attaching to it.

Director of Housing and Planning Services
03-MAR-99 H18/1268/98

This decision refers only to that required for the purposes of the Town and Country Planning Act and not for Building Regulations or any other legislation. See enclosed notes relating to rights of appeal.

SALLY WALTHAM BA (Hons) F.C.I.H. Director of Housing & Planning Services
Housing & Planning Services Department, Council Offices, Priory Road, Spalding, Lincolnshire. PE11 2XE (Tel: 01775 761161)

Town and Country Planning Act etc

DRAFT REFUSAL

Reference: H18/1268/98 Received:22-DEC-98 Type:OUTLINE

Name: Roffes Transport
West Bank
Sutton Bridge
Spalding
Lincs

Agent: Cooper Architectural Design
Hocklesgate
Fleet
Spalding
Lincs

Description: Residential development

Location : Custom House Street Sutton Bridge
Spalding Lincs

South Holland District Council hereby give notice
that permission has been refused etc
for the following reasons:

1 The proposed development would present a cramped and over-intensive appearance which would be detrimental to visual amenity. As such, the proposed development would be contrary to Structure Plan Policy 5A and the advice contained in Planning Policy Guidance Note No 3 1992 (Housing).

2 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the proposed development, because of its close proximity to the adjacent transport yard, would be likely to have an unacceptably low standard of residential amenity attaching to it.

25-FEB-99 Checked .....

Authorised to issue (inc Delegation).....