

Bryan, Jacob

From: Shaban, Sundas
Sent: 14 December 2022 14:00
To: _planningadvice
Subject: FW: H21-1035-22 - Tretton Manor Barns
Attachments: We sent you safe versions of your files; Binder6 OPTIMIZED OCT 22.pdf

Hi,

Can you please index the below emails as 6SUP (Further clarification re proposed development)

Kind regards

Sundas Shaban

Planning Officer
South Holland District Council

T: 01775 557205

E: sundas.shaban@sholland.gov.uk

www.sholland.gov.uk | www.boston.gov.uk

www.e-lindsey.gov.uk | www.selcp.co.uk



My working hours may not be your working hours. Please do not feel obligated to reply outside of your normal work schedule.

From: Matt Sparrow <matts@peterhumphrey.co.uk>
Sent: 14 December 2022 10:26
To: Shaban, Sundas <Sundas.Shaban@sholland.gov.uk>
Cc: Chris Walford <ChrisW@peterhumphrey.co.uk>
Subject: RE: H21-1035-22 - Tretton Manor Barns

Mimecast Attachment Protection has deemed this file to be safe, but always exercise caution when opening files.

Caution: This message originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe it is suspicious please forward to Suspicious.Emails@pspsl.co.uk and delete the email.

Good Morning Sundas,

It is an essential necessity for my applicant to have this accommodation sited within the premises. Without this he would not have the round the clock security, maintenance and loading facilities that his business needs to function.

These functions are carried out by the Son (Sebastian) who lives in the accommodation. To be sited elsewhere would be detrimental to the applicant's business.

The Son used to be only a part-time employee, but as stated the applicant is now retired and his sons are now running the business full time, so Sebastian is no longer a part-time employee, but an essential component to the business who needs to be on site full time to carry out his duties and responsibilities.

The applicant does indeed own a small number of cottages attached to his property, but these are all privately rented to tenants who have been there for several years and are under long rental agreements. Therefore, moving into these accommodations is not possible as they are not available at this time.

If this application is not looking favourable, can we suggest, as a preferred worst case option, that this accommodation be deemed temporary and conditioned to be approved for a period of three years? This gives the opportunity for the Son to be moved into the cottages should they become available.

Flood Risk Assessment was attached to my previous email but I have attached it to this one also – all recommendations from this FRA will be adhered to.

Kind regards,

Matt Sparrow

Architectural Technician



Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd

Address: 2 Chapel Road, Wisbech, Cambs, PE13 1RG

Tel: 01945 466966

Mobile: 07551079388

Please Note: This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please inform the sender by return and remove it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not represent those of Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd.

Warning: Computer viruses may be transmitted or downloaded onto your computer system via email communication. It is the recipient's responsibility to take any action necessary to prevent computer viruses being transmitted in this way.

**** All of us at PHA would like to thank you for your support this year and look forward to working with you in 2023. Our office will close 5pm Thursday 22nd December 2022 and reopen 8:30am Tuesday 3rd January 2023. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year ****

From: Shaban, Sundas <Sundas.Shaban@sholland.gov.uk>

Sent: 13 December 2022 17:14

To: Matt Sparrow <matts@peterhumphrey.co.uk>

Subject: RE: H21-1035-22 - Tretton Manor Barns

Matt,

There's still no explanation of why an employee is required on the site 24/7. Is the business open 24/7? Also why can the employee not be accommodated within the adjacent Tretton Manor and the various buildings on this site which are within the applicants ownership?

Also the second reason for refusal of the previous application in respect of flood risk as not been address.

Kind regards

Sundas Shaban

Planning Officer

South Holland District Council

T: 01775 557205

E: sundas.shaban@sholland.gov.uk

www.sholland.gov.uk | www.boston.gov.uk

www.e-lindsey.gov.uk | www.selcp.co.uk



My working hours may not be your working hours. Please do not feel obligated to reply outside of your normal work schedule.

From: Matt Sparrow <matts@peterhumphrey.co.uk>

Sent: 13 December 2022 16:40

To: Shaban, Sundas <Sundas.Shaban@sholland.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: H21-1035-22 - Tretton Manor Barns

Mimecast Attachment Protection has deemed this file to be safe, but always exercise caution when opening files.

Caution: This message originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe it is suspicious please forward to Suspicious.Emails@pspsl.co.uk and delete the email.

Good Afternoon Shaban,

There may be a large number of dwellings close to the site but a quick search of rightmove shows only 2 dwellings available within the area close enough for the employee to provide on site security should it be required. These 2 dwellings are £350k and £850k – both far exceed this employees budget. Image captured below.

This demonstrates that the only viable option for this employee to perform his job is to reside in the secluded hidden static caravan which has received no objections from consultees.



£350,000

4 bedroom semi-detached house for sale

Kirkgate, Tydd St Giles, Wisbech, Cambs, PE13 5LB

FULLY MODERNISED & RENOVATED.....! This stunning semi-detached house offers contemporary & stylish living along with good room sizes and a tucked away village location within walking distance ...

[See full property details](#)

Nearby properties matching your criteria



4 bed. detached house for sale

Kirkgate, Tydd St Giles, Wisbech, Cambs, PE13 5NE


£850,000



4 bed. detached house for sale

Kirkgate, Tydd St Giles, Wisbech, Cambs, PE13 5NE

£850,000



As a compromise can we please consider granting a temporary approval for 3 years to be reviewed at that time – rather than a permanent approval?

Kind regards,

Matt Sparrow

Architectural Technician



Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd

Address: 2 Chapel Road, Wisbech, Cambs, PE13 1RG

Tel: 01945 466966

Mobile: 07551079388

Please Note: This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please inform the sender by return and remove it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not represent those of Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd.

Warning: Computer viruses may be transmitted or downloaded onto your computer system via email communication. It is the recipient's responsibility to take any action necessary to prevent computer viruses being transmitted in this way.

**** All of us at PHA would like to thank you for your support this year and look forward to working with you in 2023. Our office will close 5pm Thursday 22nd December 2022 and reopen 8:30am Tuesday 3rd January 2023. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year ****

From: Shaban, Sundas <Sundas.Shaban@sholland.gov.uk>

Sent: 13 December 2022 16:19

To: Matt Sparrow <matts@peterhumphrey.co.uk>

Subject: H21-1035-22 - Tretton Manor Barns

Good Afternoon,

Further to the above application I have reviewed the proposal but it does not sufficiently address the previous refusal reasons. No justification has been submitted as to why an employee is required to live on the site at all times. There are a large number of dwellings in close proximity to the site.

In respect of a flood risk assessment the design and access statement refers to a 'specialist report submitted with application' but I cannot see one on the file.

As it stands I don't believe there is sufficient justification to allow a new residential unit in this countryside location therefore unfortunately we will be recommending refusal unless you wish to withdraw the application.

Kind regards

Sundas Shaban

Planning Officer

South Holland District Council

T: 01775 557205

E: sundas.shaban@sholland.gov.uk

www.sholland.gov.uk | www.boston.gov.uk

www.e-lindsey.gov.uk | www.selcp.co.uk



My working hours may not be your working hours. Please do not feel obligated to reply outside of your normal work schedule.


The information contained in this email is confidential and intended only for the person or organisation to which it is addressed. If you have received it by mistake, please disregard and notify the sender immediately. Unauthorised disclosure or use of such information may be a breach of legislation or confidentiality and the content may be legally privileged. Any improper dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Emails sent from and received by employees of South Holland District Council may be monitored. They may also be disclosed to other people under legislation, particularly the Freedom of Information Act 2000, GDPR, the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you have contacted the Council for a service any personal data you share will be used to help you access its services, or to answer your enquiry in line

with our Privacy Policy. For full details of your rights please visit our website at www.sholland.gov.uk. Unless this email relates to South Holland District Council business it will be regarded by the Council as personal and will not be authorised by or sent on behalf of the Council.

The information contained in this email is confidential and intended only for the person or organisation to which it is addressed. If you have received it by mistake, please disregard and notify the sender immediately. Unauthorised disclosure or use of such information may be a breach of legislation or confidentiality and the content may be legally privileged. Any improper dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Emails sent from and received by employees of South Holland District Council may be monitored. They may also be disclosed to other people under legislation, particularly the Freedom of Information Act 2000, GDPR, the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you have contacted the Council for a service any personal data you share will be used to help you access its services, or to answer your enquiry in line with our Privacy Policy. For full details of your rights please visit our website at www.sholland.gov.uk. Unless this email relates to South Holland District Council business it will be regarded by the Council as personal and will not be authorised by or sent on behalf of the Council.



Engineering Support Practice Ltd. 01462 836000 www.esppractice.co.uk



**FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR A RETROSPECTIVE
PLANNING APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT OF
1 No. STATIC CARAVAN WITHIN THE CONFINES OF
LAND TO THE NORTHEAST OF TRETTON MANOR
FARM, LOWGATE, TYDD ST. MARY, WISBECH,
CAMBRIDGESHIRE, PE13 7PD.**

(MAP REFERENCE, TF 542920,317209)

**THE DEVELOPMENT IS THAT OF PLACING 1 No. STATIC
CARAVAN ON LAND WITHIN SJ STANBERRY & SONS LTD.
COMMERCIAL HOLDING TO THE NORTHEAST OF TRETTON
MANOR FARM.**

Report prepared by:

B.W. Hornigold, Cert. HE (Open), C Env. MCIWEM, CMIM.

Engineering Support Practice Ltd.,

The Cottage, Brow of the Hill,

Leziate, Kings Lynn.

PE32 1EN

This document is copy-right of Engineering Support Practice Ltd.

CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction

2.0 Location

3.0 The Site, Sequential and Exception Tests.

4.0 Existing Flood Alleviation Measures

5.0 Potential Sources of Flooding

6.0 Extent of Known Flooding

7.0 Probabilities and Trends of Flooding

8.0 Impacts of Flooding

9.0 Residual Risks – Extreme Events

10.0 Sustainable Urban Drainage and Water Framework Directive.

11.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

APPENDICES

1.0 Environment Agency Correspondence.

2.0 Environment Agency Flood Zone & Tidal Hazard Mapping Data.

3.0 North Level Internal Drainage Board Main Drain Map.

4.0 North Level Internal Drainage Board Policy Document.

**5.0 Location Plan, Layout Plan and Suggested Ground Anchor Systems.
Design and Access Statement.**

6.0 Photographs of Site

7.0 South Holland District Council Document Extracts.

8.0 National Planning Policy Framework extracts.

**9.0 Sustainable Drainage Systems / Effluent Disposal System & Water Framework
Directive.**

10.0 Disclaimer

1.0 Introduction.

1.1 The site will be the subject of a Retrospective Planning Application by SJ Stanberry & Sons Ltd., Tretton Manor Barn, Lowgate, Tydd St Mary, PE13 5PD for 1 No. Static Caravan compliant with the Southeast Lincolnshire, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment March 2017 and Southeast Lincolnshire Local Plan Policy Matrix V4. The site is located adjacent an existing commercial development placed to the northeast of Tretton Manor Farm. Access to and from the site is to be off the southeast boundary access drive onto Lowgate Road, Tydd St. Mary, no problems are envisaged with both access and egress of the site. (Appendix 5:6:7)

1.2 The site is located to the south of Tydd St. Mary village adjacent the junction of Lowgate and Mayners dyke immediately north of the south of the B1165 highway. The Static Caravans are located adjacent the northeast boundary of the commercial holding, agricultural land is to the northwest, northeast, Lowgate Road to the southeast and Tretton Manor Farm to the southwest. (Appendix 5:6)

1.3 As required the site now must comply with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), Section 10 "Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change, Flooding and Coastal Change" and Section 1, "Flood Risk", within the Technical Guidance to the NPPF document. A Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared to comply with the requirements of the NPPF, the South Holland District Council and the Environment Agency (EA) that the development is within such guidelines. (Appendix 7:8)

1.4 The Environment Agency has been contacted to gain their advice and information on points relating to the Flood Risk Assessment. The site is identified as being within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3a a passive flood zone protected to the 1 in 200year service level. The site is within the North Level Internal Drainage Board (NLIDB) District, a Boards Main Drain is identified as being to the south and west of the development site. (Appendix 1:2:3)

1.5 Contact has been made with the South Holland District Council (SHDC) Planning Department and information has been gained regarding the Southeast Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment document March 2017 commissioned by the SHDC. (Appendix 7)

1.6 Engineering Support Practice Ltd was appointed in October 2022 to provide the Flood Risk Assessment for the site.

2.0 Location.

2.1 The development site is located within the adjacent commercially developed land with the static caravan being placed on the northeast boundary of the site. The site is currently an unutilised area of land within the commercial holding. (Appendix 5/6)

2.2 The site location and the extent of the area and the general development area are shown on the enclosed plans. (Appendix 5) Map Ref., TF 542920,317205.

2.3 The site is located within the North Level Internal Drainage Board District and is within the South Holland District Council Planning Area. It is shown to be within the indicative Environment Agency (EA) Flood Risk Zone 3a. passive protected flood plain a North Level IDB., Main Drain is located to the immediate west of the site with a further drain located to the south. (Appendix 2)

3.0 The Site, Sequential and Exception Tests.

3.1 The site is occupied by the two static caravans but was a vacant area of land within the commercial holding of SJ Stanberry & Sons Ltd. The site will be subject to a retrospective planning application for a proposed development of a static caravan to be located providing accommodation for employees. Access will be via the adjacent highway Lowgate Road.

3.2 The area of the development site is restricted to that as detailed on the enclosed plans and is bounded to the northeast & northwest by agricultural the southeast by Lowgate Road and the southwest by Tretton Manor Farm. The site area is of 0.025ha. (Appendix 5i6)

3.3 The proposed development site is as detailed on the enclosed plans (Appendix 6) photographs of the site are within the report. (Appendix 7)

3.4 The site is not within the bye-law distance of any South Holland Internal Drainage Board "Main Drain". (Appendix 3/4)

3.5 Sequential Test.

3.5.1 Whilst the site is within Flood Zone 3a it is considered that the proposal is not subject to the Sequential Test as the siting of the two static caravans is entirely related to the commercial site operations, to be sited elsewhere would be inappropriate.

3.5.2 A Design and Access statement has been compiled and offers support and reasoning as to why it is a requirement that the two static caravans should be essentially placed at the proposed location. The placing of such accommodation will provide the betterment detailed within the Design and Access Statement and therefore it is considered that in doing so the Sequential Test is passed in this instance. (Appendix 5)

3.6 Exception Test.

3.6.1 The development is that of locating 1 No. static caravan at the SJ Stanberry & Sons Ltd. commercial holding to provide accommodation for employees.

3.6.2 The Flood Zone 3a high probability. definition within Table 1: Flood Zones of the NPPF Technical Guidance document (Appendix 9) indicates that "More vulnerable uses should only be permitted in this zone if the Exception Test is passed" The proposed development is, within Table 2: Flood Risk vulnerability classification of the NPPF Technical Guidance document, one of the "More Vulnerable" type "bullet point 3" (Appendix 8)

3.7 To pass the Exception Test, NPPF Clause 102, states, (Appendix 7/8)

3.7.1. "It must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, informed by a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment where one has been prepared."

3.7.2 A site specific flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe for its lifetime, estimated to be ten years, considering the vulnerability of its user without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible will reduce flood risk overall.

3.8 This Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the development meets the requirement relating to the safety of the development for its lifetime and its users and does not increase flood risk elsewhere.

3.9 The development therefore complies with, NPPF Clause 102, and passes the Exception Test.

4.0 Existing flood alleviation measures.

4.1 The site is located within proximity to the Tydd St. Mary village settlement boundary and is within the South Holland District Council administrative area and the North Level Internal Drainage Board District (NLIDB) (Appendix 3/4/5/7)

4.2 NLIDB. "Main Drains" exists to the immediate southwest and southeast of the site and provides a general drainage service to the whole of the localised catchment. The development will not be within the Boards 9m. bye-law distance of the "Main Drain" water course. (Appendix 3/4)

4.3 The existing standard of drainage within the North Level IDB District can deal with the 1 in 100year fluvial event and confirmation of this has been noted on the IDB internet web site. (Appendix 3) Inspections of the appropriate watercourse indicate that a minimum of 0.9m free board is available within the Boards systems and is compatible with the Department of Environment Food and Rural Affairs target of services for agricultural land and residential areas.

4.4 The North Level IDB. maintains their "Main Drains" on an annual basis and when required improvements works are carried out to these watercourses to ensure that a high level of services is maintained. The site is therefore considered to be at a low risk of flooding from the Boards watercourses. The area is at a low risk of flooding from the Internal Drainage Board systems. (Appendix 3/4)

4.5 Those tidal defences which are provided by the Environment Agency i.e., those provided along the frontage of the Fluvial and Tidal River Nene are designed to cope with the 1 in 200year. (Appendix 2)

4.6 The current maintenance standard adopted by the Environment Agency for the tidal defences and those of the North Level IDB. for its "Main Drains" is such that it could be of high standard and meet with those requirements that would ensure that the level of service is retained and maintained into the future such that it is able to cope with climate change.

4.7 The site area is not served by Anglian Water Public Sewers and therefore it is the intention that the development will discharge effluent to a bespoke treatment plant and discharged to drainage field designed to BRE 365 standards. The surface water discharges are intended to be dealt with applying the Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) principles installation of water butts and soak aways, being utilised as required, in the hierarchical listings for Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDs) adoption and designed to BRE 365 standards. Should the application of BRE 365 infiltration testing prove that the efficiency of soakaways is inadequate an application to the NLIDB, for consent to discharge to an adjacent riparian water course. (Appendix 4/5/6)

5.0 Potential sources of flooding.

5.1 There are five potential sources of flooding which are identified as a result of this assessment.

- a) Local failures or blockages of the Boards "Main Drains".**
- b) The 1 in 100year fluvial event being exceeded in the Internal Drainage Board Main Drain.**
- c) The 1 in 200year tidal event being exceeded which would cause overtopping or major failure of the Tidal Nene Defences.**
- d) Pluvial Flooding of the site.**
- e) Ground Water Flooding of the site.**

5.2 The probability of flooding from source:

a) Is low owing to the high maintenance standards being achieved and managed by the North Level IDB. The system which is used to service this area is one that has been maintained at a high standard over the past and thus this together with inspections applied to the Boards area enhances the protection provided. (Appendix 3)

b) Should the 1 in 100year fluvial event be exceeded the flood water would take up the available freeboard within the Boards watercourse and should this be exceeded flood water will extend into the lower agricultural land and therefore the maximum height which can be obtained at the Proposed Site would be below that of the adjacent site levels, therefore NPPF is adhered to. (Appendix 3/4)

c) The Tidal Defences protecting the area were improved following the 1978, Tidal Surge event and currently provide protection against the 1 in 200year tidal event. However, these defences are at such a height that they will not fully protect against climate change, overtopping at the year 2122. The development site being some 3.60 kilometres west from the main area of fluvial / tidal defence of the River Nene would be at a low risk of flooding from any such over topping which would occur over a small period during the tide cycle curve and be readily absorbed into the North Level Internal Drainage Board systems. (Appendix 2/5)

c.1 Tidal Hazard mapping data has been acquired from the Environment Agency and is as follows:

Taking due regard of a breaching of defences in the year 2115 coincident with a 0.5% event (1 in 200year) provides factors for,

1. Max Hazard, Between 0.75 and 1.25 "Danger to some".
2. Max Depth, 0.00m. - 0.25m.
3. Max Velocity, 0.00m./s - 0.30m./s

Therefore, the appropriate mitigation measures if entirely based on the THM data would be to raise the finished ground floor level (FGFL) to 0.25m. above adjacent ground levels. However, to accord with the Southeast Lincolnshire Flood Risk Standing Advice the finished ground floor level would be set 1.0m above the adjacent ground level. As the proposed development is the placing of two static caravans with an expected life of ten years it is deemed appropriate that the FGFL of the static caravans should be set 500mm. above the adjacent ground level. Additionally, the structures should be affixed to the ground utilising ground anchors. (Appendix 5)

d) Pluvial Flooding of the site is not expected owing to the topographical terrain falling generally away from the dwelling towards the agricultural lands' northeast and the riparian boundary drain.

e) Ground Water Flooding is not expected as again any discharge emanating from the ground will migrate to the lowest areas of the site, no artesian effects are evident on the existing land use profile with such levels reduced by the proximity of the NLIDB. Main drain.

5.3 To ensure protection from any future extreme rainfall events it is recommended that the Finished Floor Level (FFL) is placed 500mm. above the general site ground level. (Appendix 9)

5.4 The existing tidal defences together with the fluvial defences are such that providing maintenance of them remains to the current standard any event more than the protection standard would provide minimal over spilling and therefore since the over topping by the Tidal River would be of a small duration, it and that of the on-line storage would be available to absorb such impacts, it is considered that this would not adversely affect the site. (Appendix 1.2'3)

5.5 With regard to the Internal Drainage Board system again considerable protection is provided within the maintenance standard adhered to by the Board throughout its water courses.

6.0 Extent of known flooding.

6.1 It is understood that minor flooding of the surrounding land may have taken place during the 1953 tidal flood event when the tidal river were overtopped in reaches of the Tidal River Nene. There is however no evidence of any further flooding since that time as flood defences were improved following 1953. No flooding took place during the 1978 and 2013 tidal events and defences were again raised to a higher standard. Which today are considered to be appropriate to provide a 1 in 200year protection and duly protected the North Level 10B area at the time of the 5th December 2013 extreme tidal event. (Appendix 2/3)

6.2 Despite the heavy rain fall periods in 1978, 1998, 2000, 2008 and 2014 the area did not suffer flooding, but this is perhaps a reflection on the high level of service and maintenance provided by the IDB.

6.3 Therefore considering the fore mentioned situations it can be considered that the Environment Agency and the Internal Drainage Board systems currently meets and provides protection to this site to the 1 in 100year fluvial event scenario and the tidal defences provide protection to the 1 in 200year tidal event.

7.0 Probabilities and trends of flooding.

7.1 The probability of this development site flooding from the local Internal Drainage Boards system is low. It should also be noted that despite being placed within the Flood Zone 3a area on the Environment Agency flood risk map the site is protected by the Tidal Defences on the River Nene frontage and it is deemed that these defences provide the EA. quoted 1 in 200year tidal event protection. It should be noted that climate change and sea level rises will take place over the next 100 years however such over topping levels will not adversely affect the site because the over topping would be very small duration and contained within the existing protection systems in and around Sutton Bridge and Tydd Gate surrounding catchment and those provided by the IDB. (Appendix 2/8)

7.2 Taking due regard of the suggested figures for sea level rise in NPPF Technical Guidance document indicating a rise in the rate of change of increasing tide levels such that it is indicated that the 1 in 200year surge by the year 2122. May exceed +5.50m.AODN. Should this be the case it is almost certain that appropriate measures to improve the level of service to the developed areas of catchment adjacent the River Nene Sutton Bridge to Tydd Gate reach would be implemented and maintain the required standard of protection currently enjoyed. (Appendix 1/2)

7.3 The probability of the site flooding from the Tidal River Nene is technically remote because of the existing standard of defences which provide the 1 in 100 year tidal event level protection and are some 3.60km. to the east of the development site any such major failure or overtopping of defences because of the distance would offer little or no effect on water levels on the adjacent proposed site. (Appendix 5)

7.4 If under extreme conditions the level of fluvial flood water rose in the IDB's system this would not be of a sudden nature and it is probable that sufficient time would be available to take precautionary actions to limit the extent of the potential flood event. The placing of the FGFL. at 0.50m. above the adjacent ground level will provide mitigation against an extreme flood event. (Appendix 2)

7.5 It should be noted that the water levels of the drainage channels throughout the area will tend to rise because of the impacts of climate change however the existing system of defences together with the protection provided by the adjacent flood plains will provide mitigation.

8.0 Impacts of flooding.

8.1 It is considered that there are no significant impacts of flooding to be anticipated for the proposed site bearing in mind the protection provided by the Environment Agency and the North Level IDB drainage systems. The development site is at a level which is above the 1 in 100year fluvial event and protected to the 1 in 200year level of service by the existing tidal defences.

8.2 To ensure protection against failure of the tidal defences and extreme rainfall events it is recommended that the Finished Ground Floor Level (FGFL) of the proposed development will be set 0.50m. above the adjacent ground level.

8.3 No increased flooding risk is expected because of the proposed development.

8.4 It is recommended that residents sign up to the "Direct Line Flood Warning Service" of the Environment Agency and consult with the South Holland District Council Emergency Planning Department to agree a Flood Emergency Preparedness Plan (FEPP) in preparation of any evacuation procedures that may be implemented during an extreme tidal event.

9.0. Residual Risks – Extreme Event.

9.1 Residual risk from extreme events to this site is low despite being in EA Flood Zone 3a. The protection afforded by the Tidal Flood Defences of the River Nene and maintained by the Environment Agency are of such a high standard that it could be considered highly unlikely for a catastrophic failure to take place and adversely affect the development site. During an extreme event the current system of monitoring together with communication systems would provide warning to the development area of any likely flooding that would occur do to back flows in the Internal Drainage Boards Drainage system owing to inundations by tidal waters.

9.2 The protection afforded by the North Level IDB. is compliant with NPPF, it must be recognised however that this protection will be eroded owing to climate change, but due consideration must be given to the fact that the IDB. continue to monitor the situation. (Appendix 3/4/8)

9.3 Considering the climate change assessment, that there will be an increase in flows of 20% up to 2050 and beyond, it is still felt that no increase flood risk will occur on the development site.

9.4 Although within the Environment Agency Flood Zone 3a classification the site is as said to be at a medium to low risk of flooding due to the current standards of drainage and flood defence maintenance levels. The site is not located within a Tidal Functional Flood Plain and is protected to a 1 in 200year standard and therefore can be in a passive flood plain area it is also adjacent to the IDB. Main Drain which is maintained and held at to a high standard of service.

9.5 It is considered that there is no risk of flooding to adjoining properties because of this proposed development site. The surface water discharges being discharged into a Sustainable Urban Drainage System principled installation will reduce the pluvial flood risk to the site and surrounding properties. (Appendix 9)

9.6 In the extreme event of a serious blockage of the watercourse downstream within the Internal Drainage Board system or the over topping of the tidal defences protection would be afforded by the existing flood storage which is within the existing system of the Boards main drains. Should major events occur it is expected that flood warnings given by the Environment Agency and the Internal Drainage Boards would be forthcoming and it would be expected that any occupancy during the period would take appropriate action as recommended by the South Holland District Council (SHDC) Emergency Action Plan. A Flood Emergency Preparedness Plan should be prepared and agreed with the Emergency Planning Department of the SHDC. to ensure guidance during notified flood emergency events. (Appendix 7)

9.7 It is recommended that the residents sign up to the Environment Agency "Direct Line Flood Warning Service" to ensure timely notification of any adverse flood risks.

10.0 Sustainable Urban Drainage (SUDS) and Water Framework Directive.

10.1 The South Holland District Council SFRA, requires that a sustainable method of dealing with surface water run-offs is adopted on site together with due consideration of the Water Framework Directives to ensure that the over- arching environmental issues are dealt with and that no dis-benefits are created by the proposed development. (Appendix 7:8-9)

10.2 The site being of a minor development size allows, subject to confirmation, the installation of soak aways to deal with surface water run-offs in a traditional SuDs methodology. The usage of such soak aways usage will be confirmed, when appropriate, using BRE 365 infiltrations test regulations for design purpose and combined with additional SuDs compliant requirements such as water butts and permeable surface access drives and highway. (Appendix 5)

10.3 Should BRE 365 infiltration testing of the sub soils indicate that soakaways may not be suitable approaches should be made for discharges of surface water be made to the adjacent riparian watercourse. (Appendix 9)

10.4 A bespoke effluent treatment plant and drainage field should be installed to accept the effluent discharge the system designed to meet the numbers of occupants and to BRE 365 standards, alternatively the discharges, subject to consent, may be directed to the riparian watercourse.

11.0 Conclusions and recommendations.

11.1 As a result of the assessment the following conclusions and recommendations have been reached.

11.2 The proposed development site being in Environment Agency Tidal Zone 3a, is not considered to be in a Functional Tidal Flood Plain, It is in the Passive Flood Plain protected by existing tidal defences to a 0.5% standard. Therefore, this development is allowable in this zone providing the "Sequential and Exception Tests" are passed as required in the National Planning Policy Framework document. (Appendix 2:7/8/9) However, the planning application is one of a retrospective nature for a placement of 1 No. Static Caravan on an existing commercial site to accommodate employees, with a limited life of ten years. It is suggested that the retrospective application is in accordance with the Southeast Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk assessment March 2017 Matrix V4, recommendations, because of this document it is considered that these items have been successfully complied with.

11.3 The site is located within the North Level Internal Drainage Board District and the standard of drainage provided at this site is suggested to be greater than 1 in 100year fluvial protection, thus complies with the 1 in 100 year NPPF compliant event and this accords with the DEFRA guide lines for urban development. The Board's watercourses have been designed to provide a freeboard (the distance between land level and the level of water in the watercourse) of 0.9m. above the water level of a 1 in 50year flood event to the lowest land levels in the district.

11.4 Attention is drawn to the predictions for sea level rise by the year 2122, which is the period over which the assessment must extend, this indicates that a surge tide of the 1 in 200year event type, by that date, could reach + 1.24m. above the historic reference level +6. 12m.AODN. of current day 1 in 200 year extreme tidal event, a level of +7. 36m.AODN. This is clearly beyond the capabilities of the current defences to provide protection to the developed area up to the 1 in 200year standard. It is therefore assumed that appropriate measures will be taken to ensure that the correct standard is retained for the area. (Appendix 9:9)

11.5 Tidal Hazard mapping data has been acquired from the Environment Agency and is as follows:

Taking due regard of a breaching of defences in the year 2115 coincident with a 0.5% event (1 in 200year) provides factors for,

- 1. Max Hazard, Between 0.75 and 1.25 "Danger to some".**
- 2. Max Depth, 0.00m. - 0.25m.**
- 3. Max Velocity, 0.00m./s - 0.30m./s**

Therefore, the appropriate mitigation measures if entirely based on the THM data would be to raise the finished ground floor level (FGFL) to 0.25m. above adjacent ground levels. However, to accord with the Southeast Lincolnshire Flood Risk Standing Advice the finished ground floor level would be set 1.0m above the adjacent ground level. As the proposed development is the placing of two static caravans with an expected life of ten years it is deemed appropriate that the FGFL of the static caravans should be set 500mm. above the adjacent ground level. Additionally, the structures should be affixed to the ground utilising ground anchors. (Appendix 5)

11.6 The area is not served by Anglian Water Public Sewers and therefore it is the intention that the development will discharge effluent to a bespoke effluent treatment plant and drainage field designed to BRE 365 standards, alternatively a discharge consent acquired from the NLIDB. (Appendix 3/5/6)

11.7 The site being of a minor development size allows, subject to confirmation, the installation of soak aways to deal with surface water run-offs in a traditional SuDs methodology. The usage of such soak aways usage will be confirmed, when appropriate, using BRE 365 Infiltrations test regulations for design purpose and combined with additional SuDs compliant requirements such as water butts and permeable surface access drives and highway. Alternatively consent to discharge to the adjacent riparian watercourse may be applied for. (Appendix 4/9)

11.8 To ensure full mitigation against extreme rainfall events and or extreme flood events the proposed finished floor levels (FFL) should be raised 0.50m. above the adjacent ground level. (Appendix 2)

11.9 It is also suggested that occupants be made aware of Emergency Procedures that reflect the importance of the safety of the public and be supplied with a Flood Emergency Preparedness Plan agreed with the South Holland District Council (SHDC) Emergency Planning Department to assist and direct residents' actions during a flood emergency event. It is felt that it would be appropriate for the owners to ensure that they are fully conversant with the Flood Warning Systems of the Environment Agency, the SHDC. "District Emergency Procedures" and to familiarize themselves with the location of the North Level IDB. Office, this will enable access to information on any Heavy Rainfall Events and or Flood Events increasing the risk of flooding to the development area. The residents / owner should register with the Environment Agency (EA) to receive the "Direct Line Flood Warnings" service to provide notification of extreme fluvial and tidal events.

APPENDIX 1

ben.hernigo@btinternet.com

Our ref: CCN-2021-232886

Date: 22-09-2021

Dear Ben

Provision of Flood Risk Information for Lowgate, Tydd St Mary.

Thank you for your request to use our flood risk information for the above site. The information is set out below and attached. It is important you read any contextual notes on the maps provided.

If you are preparing a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for this site, please note this information may not be sufficient by itself to produce an adequate FRA to demonstrate the development is safe over its lifetime. Additional information may be required to carry out an appropriate assessment of all risk, such as consequence of a breach in defences.

We aim to review our information on a regular basis, so if you are using this data more than twelve months from the date of this letter, please contact us again to check it is still valid.

1. Flood Map

The attached map includes the current Flood Map for your area. The Flood Map indicates the area at risk of flooding, assuming no flood defences exist, for a flood with a 0.5% chance of occurring in any year for flooding from the sea, or a 1% chance of occurring for fluvial (river) flooding. It also shows the extent of the Extreme Flood Outline which represents the extent of a flood with a 0.1% chance of occurring in any year, or the highest recorded historic extent if greater.

In some locations, such as around the fens and the large coastal floodplains, showing the area at risk of flooding assuming no defences may give a slightly misleading picture in that if there were no flood defences, water would spread out across these large floodplains. This flooding could cover large areas of land but to relatively shallow depths and could leave pockets of locally slightly higher land as isolated dry islands. It is important to understand the actual risk of the flooding to these dry islands, particularly in the event of defence failure.

The Flood Map also shows the location of formal raised flood defences and flood storage reservoirs. It represents areas at risk of flooding for present day only and does not take account of climate change.

The Flood Map only indicates the extent and likelihood of flooding from rivers or the sea. It should also be remembered flooding may occur from other sources such as surface water, sewers, road drainage, etc.

2. Historic Flood Event Outlines

With regards to the history of flooding, I can advise we do not have any records of flooding in this area. It is possible recent flooding may have occurred which we are currently investigating, therefore this information may be subject to change. It is possible other flooding may have occurred which other risk management authorities, such as the Lead Local Flood Authority (ie. top tier council, or Internal Drainage Board, where they exist), have responsibility.

3. Schemes in the area

There are no ongoing capital projects to reduce or sustain the current flood risk to this site

4. Fluvial Flood Risk Information

This site is not considered to be at risk of flooding from main rivers

The site may be at risk from local ordinary watercourses for which other risk management authorities, such as the Lead Local Flood Authority (the top tier council) or Internal Drainage Board, where they exist, have responsibility

5. Tidal Flood Risk Information

5.1 Tidal Defence Information

The existing tidal defences protecting this site consist of earth embankments

They are in fair condition and reduce the risk of flooding (at the defence) to a 0.67% (1 in 150) chance of occurring in any year. We inspect these defences routinely to ensure potential defects are identified

Refer to paragraph 3 for details of any ongoing capital projects to reduce the flood risk to this site

5.2 Tidal Flood Levels

The attached data sheets show our current best estimate for extreme tide levels

Please read the information notes on the data sheets

5.3 Tidal Hazard Mapping

For certain locations we have carried out modelling to map the maximum values of flood depth, velocity and hazard rating (danger to people) resulting from overtopping and/or breaching of defences at specific locations for a number of scenarios

At present this information is available along the full coastal tidal floodplain, except the tidal Wingham Haven in Boston (upstream of Hobbses) where only breaching and not overtopping has been modelled and the tidal River Welland (upstream of Fosdyke Bridge) where neither breaching nor overtopping are available

The number of locations we have this information for is expected to increase in time

The attached maps show the maximum values of flood depth, velocity and hazard rating (danger to people) resulting from breaching of the defences at specific locations for the scenarios below. For some locations the breach mapping also includes flooding from overtopping if this is expected in that scenario. The location of modelled tidal breaches is shown on a separate attached map

5.3.1 Tidal Hazard Mapping - Breaches

- Year 2115 0.5% (1 in 200) chance
- Year 2115 0.1% (1 in 1000) chance

Your site is not affected by breaching of the local defences for the present day (2035) scenarios

5.3.2 Tidal Hazard Mapping – Overtopping

Your site is not affected by overtopping of the defences for the present day (2006) and climate change (2115) scenarios

6. Development Planning

If you would like local guidance on preparing a flood risk assessment for a planning application please contact our Sustainable Places team at sp@environmental.gov.uk or 01708 500 506. We will help if you mention this data request and attach your site location plan.

We provide free preliminary advice. Additional/detailed advice, review of draft FRAs and meetings are chargeable at a rate set to cover our costs, currently £100 (plus VAT) per hour of staff time. Further details are available on our website at www.environmental.gov.uk/developmentplanning/developmentplanning.htm.

General advice on flood risk assessment for planning applications can be found on GOV.UK at: www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications

Climate change will increase flood risk due to overtopping of defences. Please note, unless specified otherwise, the climate change data included has an allowance for 20% increase in flow. Updated guidance on how climate change could affect flood risk to new development - Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances was published on GOV.UK in February 2016. The appropriate updated climate change allowance should be applied in a Flood Risk Assessment.

You should also consult the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment produced by your local planning authority.

7. Data Licence and Other Supporting Information

We respond to requests for recorded information we hold under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and the associated Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR).

This information is provided in accordance with the Open Government Licence which can be found here: <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>

Further information on flood risk can be found on the GOV.UK website at: www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk

8. Other Flood Risk Management Authorities

The information provided with this letter relates to flood risk from main river or the sea. Additional information may be available from other risk management authorities, such as the Lead Local Flood Authority (ie local tier council) or Internal Drainage Board (where they exist).

I hope we have correctly interpreted your request. If you have any queries or would like to discuss the content of this letter further please contact Frederic Stuhldreier using the email address below.

Yours sincerely



Ceres House, Seacroft Road, Lincoln LN2 4DW
Customer services line 01708 500 506
Email: enquiries@environmental.gov.uk

Local toll-free numbers cost the same as calls to standard geographical line numbers beginning with 01 or 02.

for Alastair Windler

Welland and Nene Partnerships and Strategic Overview Team Leader

e-mail: PSQWV@environment-agency.gov.uk

Enc:

Flood Map

Tidal Level Data Sheets – Map and Tables

Tidal Breach Points – Locations Map

Wazara Mapping – Breaching

Ceres House, Searby Road, Lincoln LN2 4DW

Customer services line 03708 500 506

E-mail: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

www.environment-agency.gov.uk

Calls to 03 numbers cost the same as calls to standard geographic line numbers beginning with 01 or 02

APPENDIX 2

Flood map for planning

Your reference
LOWGATE

Location (easting northing)
542920/317209

Created
27 Sep 2021 15:47

Your selected location is in flood zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.

This means:

- you must complete a flood risk assessment for development in this area
- you should follow the Environment Agency's standing advice for carrying out a flood risk assessment (see www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-standing-advice)

Notes

The flood map for planning shows river and sea flooding data only. It doesn't include other sources of flooding. It is for use in development planning and flood risk assessments.

This information relates to the selected location and is not specific to any property within it. The map is updated regularly and is correct at the time of printing.

Flood risk data is covered by the Open Government Licence which sets out the terms and conditions for using government data. <https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3>

Use of the address and mapping data is subject to Ordnance Survey public viewing terms under Crown copyright and database rights 2021 OS 100024198. <https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/os-terms>



Environment
Agency

Flood map for planning

Your reference

LOWGATE

Location (using grid/bagp)

542920/317209

Scale

1:2500

Created

27 Sep 2021 15:47



Selected point



Flood zone 3



Flood zone 3 area
excluding flood defence
electrics

Flood zone 2



Flood zone 1

Flood defence

Water

Flood status (if any)




Page 2 of 2

Flood Map centred on TF 42901 17206 - created September 2021 [Ref: CCN-2021-232886]



East Coast and Wash - 2018 Coastal Flood Boundary [CFB] Dataset
Key Node Points




2018 Coastal Flood Boundary Extreme Sea Level (m)

ID	Product	Category	Status	Annual Sales Performance (Units Sold, Revenue, Profit)																	
				Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4			YTD			Total		
				Units	Revenue	Profit	Units	Revenue	Profit	Units	Revenue	Profit	Units	Revenue	Profit	Units	Revenue	Profit	Units	Revenue	Profit
1001	Smartphone X	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1002	Headset Pro	Electronics	Active	800	\$80,000	\$16,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	700	\$70,000	\$14,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	3400	\$340,000	\$68,000	3400	\$340,000	\$68,000
1003	Tablet Y	Electronics	Active	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1700	\$170,000	\$34,000	6200	\$620,000	\$124,000	6200	\$620,000	\$124,000
1004	Smartwatch Z	Electronics	Active	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	800	\$80,000	\$16,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	3800	\$380,000	\$76,000	3800	\$380,000	\$76,000
1005	Wireless Earbuds	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1006	Smartwatch A	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1007	Smartwatch B	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1008	Smartwatch C	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1009	Smartwatch D	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1010	Smartwatch E	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1011	Smartwatch F	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1012	Smartwatch G	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1013	Smartwatch H	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1014	Smartwatch I	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1015	Smartwatch J	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1016	Smartwatch K	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1017	Smartwatch L	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1018	Smartwatch M	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1019	Smartwatch N	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1020	Smartwatch O	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1021	Smartwatch P	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1022	Smartwatch Q	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1023	Smartwatch R	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1024	Smartwatch S	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1025	Smartwatch T	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1026	Smartwatch U	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1027	Smartwatch V	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1028	Smartwatch W	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1029	Smartwatch X	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1030	Smartwatch Y	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1031	Smartwatch Z	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1032	Smartwatch A	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1033	Smartwatch B	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1034	Smartwatch C	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1035	Smartwatch D	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1036	Smartwatch E	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1037	Smartwatch F	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1038	Smartwatch G	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1039	Smartwatch H	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1040	Smartwatch I	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1041	Smartwatch J	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1042	Smartwatch K	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1043	Smartwatch L	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1044	Smartwatch M	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1045	Smartwatch N	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1046	Smartwatch O	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1047	Smartwatch P	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1048	Smartwatch Q	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1049	Smartwatch R	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000	4600	\$460,000	\$92,000
1050	Smartwatch S	Electronics	Active	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000	5400	\$540,000	\$108,000
1051	Smartwatch T	Electronics	Active	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	900	\$90,000	\$18,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000	4200	\$420,000	\$84,000
1052	Smartwatch U	Electronics	Active	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000	5000	\$500,000	\$100,000
1053	Smartwatch V	Electronics	Active	1400	\$140,000	\$28,000	1500	\$150,000	\$30,000	1300	\$130,000	\$26,000	1600	\$160,000	\$32,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000	5800	\$580,000	\$116,000
1054	Smartwatch W	Electronics	Active	1100	\$110,000	\$22,000	1200	\$120,000	\$24,000	1000	\$100,000	\$20,000	1300								



Max Hazard



1. **Introduction**
 2. **Background**
 3. **Methodology**
 4. **Results**
 5. **Conclusion**
 6. **References**
 7. **Appendix**
 8. **Index**
 9. **Glossary**
 10. **Notes**
 11. **Footnotes**
 12. **Endnotes**
 13. **References**
 14. **Appendix**
 15. **Index**
 16. **Glossary**
 17. **Notes**
 18. **Footnotes**
 19. **Endnotes**
 20. **References**
 21. **Appendix**
 22. **Index**
 23. **Glossary**
 24. **Notes**
 25. **Footnotes**
 26. **Endnotes**
 27. **References**
 28. **Appendix**
 29. **Index**
 30. **Glossary**
 31. **Notes**
 32. **Footnotes**
 33. **Endnotes**
 34. **References**
 35. **Appendix**
 36. **Index**
 37. **Glossary**
 38. **Notes**
 39. **Footnotes**
 40. **Endnotes**
 41. **References**
 42. **Appendix**
 43. **Index**
 44. **Glossary**
 45. **Notes**
 46. **Footnotes**
 47. **Endnotes**
 48. **References**
 49. **Appendix**
 50. **Index**
 51. **Glossary**
 52. **Notes**
 53. **Footnotes**
 54. **Endnotes**
 55. **References**
 56. **Appendix**
 57. **Index**
 58. **Glossary**
 59. **Notes**
 60. **Footnotes**
 61. **Endnotes**
 62. **References**
 63. **Appendix**
 64. **Index**
 65. **Glossary**
 66. **Notes**
 67. **Footnotes**
 68. **Endnotes**
 69. **References**
 70. **Appendix**
 71. **Index**
 72. **Glossary**
 73. **Notes**
 74. **Footnotes**
 75. **Endnotes**
 76. **References**
 77. **Appendix**
 78. **Index**
 79. **Glossary**
 80. **Notes**
 81. **Footnotes**
 82. **Endnotes**
 83. **References**
 84. **Appendix**
 85. **Index**
 86. **Glossary**
 87. **Notes**
 88. **Footnotes**
 89. **Endnotes**
 90. **References**
 91. **Appendix**
 92. **Index**
 93. **Glossary**
 94. **Notes**
 95. **Footnotes**
 96. **Endnotes**
 97. **References**
 98. **Appendix**
 99. **Index**
 100. **Glossary**
 101. **Notes**
 102. **Footnotes**
 103. **Endnotes**
 104. **References**
 105. **Appendix**
 106. **Index**
 107. **Glossary**
 108. **Notes**
 109. **Footnotes**
 110. **Endnotes**
 111. **References**
 112. **Appendix**
 113. **Index**
 114. **Glossary**
 115. **Notes**
 116. **Footnotes**
 117. **Endnotes**
 118. **References**
 119. **Appendix**
 120. **Index**
 121. **Glossary**
 122. **Notes**
 123. **Footnotes**
 124. **Endnotes**
 125. **References**
 126. **Appendix**
 127. **Index**
 128. **Glossary**
 129. **Notes**
 130. **Footnotes**
 131. **Endnotes**
 132. **References**
 133. **Appendix**
 134. **Index**
 135. **Glossary**
 136. **Notes**
 137. **Footnotes**
 138. **Endnotes**
 139. **References**
 140. **Appendix**
 141. **Index**
 142. **Glossary**
 143. **Notes**
 144. **Footnotes**
 145. **Endnotes**
 146. **References**
 147. **Appendix**
 148. **Index**
 149. **Glossary**
 150. **Notes**
 151. **Footnotes**
 152. **Endnotes**
 153. **References**
 154. **Appendix**
 155. **Index**
 156. **Glossary**
 157. **Notes**
 158. **Footnotes**
 159. **Endnotes**
 160. **References**
 161. **Appendix**
 162. **Index**
 163. **Glossary**
 164. **Notes**
 165. **Footnotes**
 166. **Endnotes**
 167. **References**
 168. **Appendix**
 169. **Index**
 170. **Glossary**
 171. **Notes**
 172. **Footnotes**
 173. **Endnotes**
 174. **References**
 175. **Appendix**
 176. **Index**
 177. **Glossary**
 178. **Notes**
 179. **Footnotes**
 180. **Endnotes**
 181. **References**
 182. **Appendix**
 183. **Index**
 184. **Glossary**
 185. **Notes**
 186. **Footnotes**
 187. **Endnotes**
 188. **References**
 189. **Appendix**
 190. **Index**
 191. **Glossary**
 192. **Notes**
 193. **Footnotes**
 194. **Endnotes**
 195. **References**
 196. **Appendix**
 197. **Index**
 198. **Glossary**
 199. **Notes**
 200. **Footnotes**
 201. **Endnotes**
 202. **References**
 203. **Appendix**
 204. **Index**
 205. **Glossary**
 206. **Notes**
 207. **Footnotes**
 208. **Endnotes**
 209. **References**
 210. **Appendix**
 211. **Index**
 212. **Glossary**
 213. **Notes**
 214. **Footnotes**
 215. **Endnotes**
 216. **References**
 217. **Appendix**
 218. **Index**
 219. **Glossary**
 220. **Notes**
 221. **Footnotes**
 222. **Endnotes**
 223. **References**
 224. **Appendix**
 225. **Index**
 226. **Glossary**
 227. **Notes**
 228. **Footnotes**
 229. **Endnotes**
 230. **References**
 231. **Appendix**
 232. **Index**
 233. **Glossary**
 234. **Notes**
 235. **Footnotes**
 236. **Endnotes**
 237. **References**
 238. **Appendix**
 239. **Index**
 240. **Glossary**
 241. **Notes**
 242. **Footnotes**
 243. **Endnotes**
 244. **References**
 245. **Appendix**
 246. **Index**
 247. **Glossary**
 248. **Notes**
 249. **Footnotes**
 250. **Endnotes**
 251. **References**
 252. **Appendix**
 253. **Index**
 254. **Glossary**

பிழைப்பு

PLA. 75-21071 (110)

Completed by:


Page 10

SECRET


Category	Annual	Quarterly
----------	--------	-----------

JAN 26 1970

Max Depth



Max Velocity




Executive Summary
Lincolnton and Northamptonshire


Page 10


[illegible]

Category	Annual	Quarterly
----------	--------	-----------

JAN 26 1970







T

11111111

11111111

11111111

11111111

11111111

Tretton

11111111

11111111

1890


1891

1892

Tretton

Tretton

APPENDIX 3



North Level District Internal Drainage Board

01 2020

District map

© Crown Copyright. Reproduced by permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

Copyright 2020

Copyright 2020

Copyright 2020

EMERGENCY CONTACTS

Paul Sharman

Chief Executive

Mon 07230309872

0200 300 000

Operations Emergency

0200 300 000

Nick Day

Weekly Magazine

0200 300 000

FIND US

On the radio

On the TV

On the web

On the phone

On the bus

On the train

On the road



GENERAL ENQUIRIES

0200 300 000

Audit Enquiries

0200 300 000

Referrals

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

0200 300 000

APPENDIX 4

Policies

Below are links to the Board's policies and the Board's Policy Manual. The Board's policies are available on the Board's website.

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

• [Privacy Policy](http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy), <http://www.newlevel.org/policies/privacy-policy>

APPENDIX 5

Mr S Stanberry
Tretton Manor Barn
Lowgate
Tydd St Mary
Wisbech
PE13 5PD

County Council
Penny Road
Sleaford
Lincolnshire
PE1 1XG

Admin: 01775 764723
DO Officers: 01775 764723
Fax: 01775 764717

17th August 2021

www.southholland.gov.uk
www.southholland.gov.uk

Dear Sirs

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 FULL PLANNING PERMISSION

Reference: H21-0869-21
Proposal: Siting of 2 static caravans - retrospective
Location: Tretton Manor Barns Lowgate Tydd St Mary Spalding
Applicant: Mr S Stanberry

INVALID APPLICATION

I am writing with reference to your recently submitted application and advise you that the application cannot be registered because it is currently considered to be invalid.

We will require the following before the application can be progressed:

Please outline the site boundary in red on the 1:2500 site location plan.

Please provide photographs of the caravans.

The site falls within Flood Zone 3, therefore a site specific Flood Risk Assessment is required.

It would also be useful to have a little background information/supporting information relating to the proposal.

Please return a copy of this letter with your reply to enable us to deal with the application without further delay. The application will remain on hold until we are in receipt of the above information. If returning information by email, please email planningadvice@southholland.gov.uk and include a copy of this invalidation letter in your response.

If your application is invalid because of an outstanding fee you can pay in the following ways, please quote H21-0869-21 when making your payment:

Online by visiting www.southholland.gov.uk/payments and selecting Pay Online

Over the phone by calling 01775 764723

If you have any queries regarding the contents of this correspondence please contact our administration

team on 01775 764723 quoting the above reference number

Yours faithfully

South Islands District Council
Planning Department

Cookies on Check your long term flood risk

We use analytics cookies to help understand how users use our service.

STANBERRY & SONS LTD, TRETTON MANOR BARN, LOWGATE, TYDD ST. MARY, WISBECH, PE13 5PD

Rivers and sea risk

Low risk

Low risk means that each year this area has a chance of flooding of between 0.1% and 1%.

Surface water risk

Very low risk

Very low risk means that each year this area has a chance of flooding of less than 0.1%.

Lead local flood authorities (LLFA) manage the risk from surface water flooding and may hold more detailed information. Your LLFA is Lincolnshire.

Reservoir risk

There is no risk of reservoir flooding

Groundwater risk

No risk of groundwater flooding

51

52

53

54

PF13 SOP

10/1/00

10/1/00

10/1/00

五

五


五

五

五

五

五



SITE PLAN 1:500



PETER HUMPHREY

ASSOCIATES

Design & Access Statement

Application: Proposed String of 2 static caravans - retrospective

Address: Tretton Manor Barn, Longgate, Tyde St Mary, Wisbech PE13 5PD



Introduction

The following design and access statement has been produced to ensure that a high quality development is produced in accordance with the guidelines laid down in the government design advice, and more importantly that the proposal respects the local context that it will integrate with.

The applicant has owned the site for a number of years and operates a business (S J Stanbury & Sons) from there.

This full planning application is for the siting of 2 static caravans retrospectively for the use of essential employees that are required on site to manage the business.

The applicant currently resides in the property at Tretton Manor Barn, but has retired from actively running the business and spends continuous amounts of time away from this address.

There was a previous application made by the applicant which was refused as dwellings this application seeks approval for the functional need of the siting of these caravans as an essential need for the business operations.

This retrospective application is for the siting of two static caravans on the applicant's property to house essential employees to his business.

The applicant is now retired. His son (and the applicant) relies on to be on site to handle business operations and security, and his family is the occupant of one of these caravans.

The other occupant is also an essential employee who needs to be on site at various unsonable hours so needs to live as close to the site as possible, due to the loading/unloading of vehicles at early hours of the morning.

Design & Appearance

The site where the static caravans are located are to the rear (northeast) where the surrounding site boundaries are densely landscaped as existing, ensuring that the proposal is low impact on the local area and nearby dwelling.

No landscaping will be removed.

This existing landscaping will ensure minimal noise/disturbance from the proposal.

The existing landscaping is approximately 5 metres high to the north and adequately shields the static caravans (approx. 3 metres high) from the surrounding fields.

The nearest dwelling to the north is approximately 0.7 miles away along a lane, rendering visibility or noise pollution to neighbours non-existent.

Care has also been taken to acquire caravans of neutral and natural colour to blend in with its countryside setting.

The static caravans are not visible from the road or neighbouring properties to the north.

Therefore, it is considered that this proposal has no impact on the intrinsic rural character of the countryside.



Access, Parking & layout

The site has an existing concrete access off Cowgate, which serves the commercial site and continues around to provide access to the proposed static caravans.

There is parking space enough for two vehicles per static caravan.

As the accommodation is essential employees on the site, this proposal will decrease traffic on the road as there is no need for all site employees to commute to the site.

The static caravans are situated in the corner of the site, which is separate to the commercial area, giving the occupants a degree of privacy. The dense landscaping to the north and west also contributes to this.

Accommodation, Facilities and Services

This application seeks approval for 2 static caravans.

The application is for year round occupancy by employees of the business. **The applicant would be more than happy to place an occupancy condition on this.**

Both occupants of the caravans have their own vehicles. However, the village of Tydd St Giles is less than a 10 min walk away with access to typical village shops and services and also bus stops with routes making the towns of Wisbech and Kings Lynn accessible.

Hard and soft landscaping

All boundaries will remain as existing and is densely landscaped. The proposed static caravan site is currently fenced off from neighbouring fields and is in a separate corner to the commercial activity of the site.

The existing landscaping is approximately 5 metres high to the north and adequately shields the static caravans (approx. 3 metres high) from the surrounding fields.

Waste management

Both static caravans have Recycling and General Waste bins are managed by the applicant, waste collected by local authority (shown on site plan).

Drainage

Surface water discharge – the site being of a minor development size allows, subject to confirmation, the installation of soakaways to deal with surface water run offs in a traditional SuDs methodology. The usage of such soakaways will be confirmed, when appropriate, using BRE 365 infiltrations test regulations for design purpose and combined with additional SuDs compliant requirements such as water butts and permeable surface access drives and highway.

For water drainage – Bio Tanks situated on site.

Flood Risk Assessment

See specialist report submitted with original application.

Sequential Test

Whilst the site is within Flood Zone 3a, it is considered that the proposal is not subject to the sequential test as the siting of the two static caravans is entirely related to the commercial site operations; to be sited elsewhere would be inappropriate.

However:

- Most of the land surrounding Todd St Mary is Flood Zone 3.
- Therefore an alternative site close by would also be in Flood Zone 3.
- The caravans are attached to the needs of the operations of the business on site with the Applicant's son having oversight of the business from his caravan and therefore it is not practical for it to be based at a separate location.

- The site and the surrounding area is flat so there is no opportunity to position the proposed site on higher land;
- The proposed site is currently unused by the business and has no other practical use;
- The probability of the site flooding from any Environmental Agency system is less than 1% Annual probability (1 in 100 chance each year) because of the standards of the existing flood defences; therefore the actual risk of flooding at the site is low; and
- On the basis of the above the site is considered to pass the Sequential Test.


Conclusion

This retrospective application is for the siting of two static caravans on the applicant's property to house essential employees to his business.


There is a clear functional need for these employees to be housed on site, to aid the running of the business as the applicant is now retired.

It would not be practical or appropriate for these caravans to be sited elsewhere so this statement seeks the approval for this application from South Holland District Council.

APPENDIX 6







APPENDIX 7

South East Lincolnshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment March 2017



South East Lincolnshire
Joint Strategic Planning Committee

1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	National Planning Requirements	3
1.3	South East Lincolnshire SFRA	4
1.4	Guidance on using this SFRA	5
2.	Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea)	5
3.	Fluvial and Tidal Hazard Mapping	6
4.	Fluvial and Tidal Depth Mapping	7
5.	Local Sources of Flooding	8
5.1	Perennial	8
5.2	Surface Water Flooding	8
5.3	Groundwater Flooding	9
5.4	Flooding from Other Local Sources	9
6.	Functional Floodplain	10
7.	Reservoir Flooding	10
7.1	Development of a new reservoir	10
7.2	Development on a stretch of a tributary to an existing reservoir	11
7.3	Existing Reservoir	11
8.	Sequential Test	11

8.2	Local Plan Sequential Test	12
8.3	Alternative Test for Planning Applications	12
9.	Exception Test	14
10.	Mitigation/Standing Advice	14
11.	Climate Change Allowances for Planning	15
12.	Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment	15
13.	Emergency Planning and Evacuation	15
13.1	Are flood warnings and evacuation already in place?	15
13.2	What are the important considerations for flood warning and evacuation plans?	15
13.3	What should be included in emergency planning plans and in relation to residents?	16
14.	Sustainable Drainage	16
15.	Appendices	17

- 1.1 The South East Lincolnshire Joint Planning Unit (SELJPU) area lies to the west and south-west of the Wash estuary. The low-lying nature of the area makes it vulnerable to flooding from both rivers and the sea. The main watercourses, such as the River Witham, River Welland, River Nene and connected drainage channels have tidal influences. The area is protected from flooding by an extensive network of raised engineered defences, which provide varying standards of defence. Climate change, particularly associated with the predicted effects on sea level rise, brings an increased likelihood of flooding that could be a danger to life affecting whole settlements. Such an extreme consequence would come about as a result of the highest tides predicted to be common in 100 years time coupled with storm surge conditions causing major breaches in coastal tidal defences. Even a minor flood event has the potential to inundate valuable farmland with saline water and impact productivity for many years.
- 1.2 The Environment Agency's Flood Map shows that almost the whole of the Borough of Boston and a large part of the South Holland District are at a high probability of flooding. Approx. 85% of Boston lies within the floodplain (84% in Flood Zone 3 'high probability', 1% in Flood Zone 2 'medium probability') resulting in 26,503 residential and 1,212 commercial properties being at risk. Approximately 74% of South Holland lies within the floodplain (58% in Flood Zone 3 'high probability', 16% in Flood Zone 2 'medium probability') resulting in 29,922 residential and 1,296 commercial properties being at risk.
- 1.2.1 Government guidance contained in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) advises that a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) should be carried out by one or more local planning authorities to assess the risk to an area from flooding from all sources, now and in the future, taking account of the impacts of climate change, and to assess the impact that land use changes and development in the area will have on flood risk.
- 1.3 This report contains the SFRA carried out by the South East Lincolnshire Joint Planning Unit (SELJPU). It is accompanied by mapping which shows the variation in flood risk for land within the SELJPU area.
- 1.3.2 The assessment has been undertaken to ensure there is consistent evidence base against which the SELJPU can inform its Local Plan and Development Management decisions.
- 1.3.3 The previous Boston Borough Council SFRA was completed in October 2010. South Holland District Council's SFRA was completed in January 2010.
- 1.3.4 This report supersedes these existing documents and provides the latest and best available information on flood risk.
- 1.3.5 The report contains information to cover the two levels of assessment recommended by the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG), i.e. Level 1 and Level 2 assessments.
- 1.3.6 The Level 1 assessment is present in the form of the Environment Agency's Flood Map Flood Map for Surface Water and Reservoir Flood Map. These maps identify the potential extent of flooding from tidal, fluvial, surface water and reservoirs (further detail on these maps is contained in the relevant sections below).
- 1.3.7 The Level 2 assessment is present in the form of hazard mapping, which classifies flood risk as Low Hazard and Dangerous/Very Dangerous based upon the modeling of factors including

the potential depths of flooding, the velocity of flood flows and the presence of water borne debris.

- 1.3.8 The hazard mapping covers the whole of the Boston Borough Council area (please note that the component maps for the hazard in Boston – i.e. depth and velocity – are only available for those areas where tidal risk is dominant). In the South Holland area, the hazard map covers Spalding, Princesburg, Surfleet, Crowland and Sutton Bridge.
- 1.3.9 Development in areas beyond the Level 2 SFRA boundaries (and the floodly dominant areas of Boston) and within a Flood Zone will need to undertake their own assessment relative to the scale and nature of the proposed development, to inform appropriate mitigation consistent with the appended Standing Advice.
- 1.3.10 This document has been prepared in consultation with the Environment Agency, and Lincolnshire County Council in its role as Lead Local Flood Authority. It brings together information from these organisations, as well as the Internal Drainage Boards.
- 1.3.11 The Local Authorities and the Environment Agency have agreed a framework of flood risk mitigation requirements for development proposals according to different levels of risk (standing advice – see section 10 below). This sets a level of safety over the lifetime of the development where development in areas at risk is deemed appropriate and necessary to meet local needs. The Local Authorities will use the relevant parts of the standing advice to ensure a consistent approach to flood mitigation is applied and to ensure development in high hazard areas is made safe as required by the NPPF. The standing advice also gives a clear indication of where mitigation will need to be incorporated into development proposals, which will enable developers to design in these elements and include in any viability appraisal from the outset.

- 1.4.1 The information within this SFRA is being used to refine information on river and sea flooding shown on the Environment Agency's [Flood Monitoring](https://www.floodmonitoring.co.uk/) website. The information is being used by the SELFPU to:
- Determine the variations in risk from all sources of flooding;
 - Inform the Sustainability Appraisal of the Local Plan so that flood risk can be fully taken into account when considering allocation options and in the preparation of plan policies;
 - Apply the Sequential Test, and where necessary, the Exception Test when determining land use allocations;
 - Assist with site-specific flood risk assessments;
 - Determine the acceptability of flood risk in relation to emergency planning capability and;
 - Consider opportunities to reduce flood risk to existing communities and development through better management of surface water, provision for conveyance and of storage of flood water.

- 1.4.2 The SFRA is divided into sections, which contain a variety of information such as flood maps, guidance and advice. Please note that this SFRA does not seek to duplicate advice and guidance already contained in other planning documents. Therefore, it should be read in conjunction with government guidance on flood risk, currently contained in the [Flood Risk Regulations](#) and the [Flood Risk Assessment](#).

- 2.1.1 The Environment Agency's [Flood Monitoring](https://www.floodmonitoring.co.uk/) website shows areas across England that could be affected by flooding from rivers or the sea in the current day. It also shows flood

defences and the areas that benefit from them. The Flood Map is available online from the Environment Agency's website, and it has been designed to raise awareness among the public, local authorities and other organisations of the likelihood of flooding. It aims to encourage people living and working in areas prone to flooding to find out more and take appropriate action.

- 2.1.2 The Flood Map shows the extent of Flood Zones, areas also known as flood plains, which could be affected by flooding from rivers and the sea.
- 2.1.3 These Flood Zones are defined in the Government's NPPG. They ignore the presence of existing flood defences, since defences can be 'overlapped' if a flood occurs which is higher than the defences are designed to withstand. Defences can even fail in extreme events.
- 2.1.4 Flood Zone 1 shows areas with the lowest probability of flooding from rivers and the sea where the chance of flooding in any one year is less than 0.1% (i.e. 1 in 1000).
- 2.1.5 Flood Zone 2 shows the area between Zone 1 and Zone 3. This represents an area with the chance of flooding in any one year between 0.1% and 1% fluvial or 0.5% total (i.e. between a 1 in 1000 and either a 1 in 100 fluvial or a 1 in 200 total chance). The outer edge of this zone is referred to as the 'Extreme Flood Outline' (EFO).
- 2.1.6 Flood Zone 3 shows areas with the highest probability of flooding. The chance of flooding in any one year is greater than or equal to 1% (i.e. a 1 in 100 chance) for river flooding and greater or equal to 0.5% (i.e. a 1 in 200 chance) for coastal and total flooding.
- 2.1.7 The Environment Agency Flood Map should be used by Local Planning Authorities to inform planning decisions, and the Flood Zones are the starting point for applying the Sequential Test. For further information, please refer to Chapter 8 of this SFRA.
- 2.1.8 Flood Zones are also used to identify when a developer needs to carry out a Flood Risk Assessment.
- 2.1.9 A Flood Risk Assessment or Flood Risk Statement may also be required for development at risk of flooding from local sources of flooding. This is discussed in further detail in Section 5 on Local Sources of Flooding.

- 3.1.1 This SFRA contains hazard mapping for the parts of the South East Lincolnshire study area, which incorporates both Fluvial and Tidal flood events for Boston, Spalding, Sutton Bridge, Pinchbeck, Crowland and Surlingham (see Appendix A).
- 3.1.2 The hazard mapping includes modelled flooding consequences resulting from both overtopping and breaching of flood defences.
- 3.1.3 The failure (or breaching) of flood defences is likely to cause rapid inundation of areas behind flood defences as flow in the river channel or from the sea discharges through the breach. A breach can happen with little or no warning, although they are much more likely to occur when there are extreme tides or river levels and the stresses on flood defences are highest. Flood water flowing through a breach will normally discharge at a high velocity, rapidly 'flung' up the areas behind the defences, resulting in significant damage to buildings and a high risk of loss of life.
- 3.1.4 The crest heights of the defences used in all modelling scenarios was based on present defence heights. Each breach was simulated individually. The width of the breach was dependent on the type and location of the defence.
- 3.1.5 The probability of a breach event is extremely difficult to determine, although overtopping will promote breaching. The modelling therefore only assesses the consequence of breaches; the assessment of the probability of a breach occurring is not required. Also, national planning guidance requires those proposing development in flood risk areas to ensure development and its future users are safe from the harmful effects of flooding therefore

Appropriate mitigation against potential impacts will be required (i.e. the consequences of defence failure)

- 3.1.6 Overtopping of fluvial defences occurs when water levels exceed the height of the defence. The worst case occurs when the fluvial or tidal levels are above the defence level as this can lead to rapid inundation and prolonged flooding. Less severe overtopping can occur when flood levels are below defence levels, but wave action causes wave overtopping. Flood defences are commonly designed with a degree of freeboard to provide protection against overtopping from some waves.
- 3.1.7 Two scenarios were modeled: the 1 in 200 (0.5%) and 1 in 1000 (0.1%) . This was undertaken for the present day (2006 baseline) and 2115 (the year to which the final Defra sea level allowances related and a low for a lifetime of development).
- 3.1.8 The definition of flood hazard used in this hazard mapping follows the definition used by Defra and the Environment Agency which is translated in 'Flood Risk Assessment Guidance for New Development Phase 2: R&D Technical Report FD2320/TR2'. The classification is split into four categories, defined by the depth and velocity of flood waters and the related ability of people to evacuate the area once a flood occurs. Please refer to the table below for further information on flood hazard.

Table 13.1 Danger to people for different combinations of depth and velocity

Velocity (m/s)	Depth of flooding (m)												Key
	0.05	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.60	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.50	
0.20													
0.30													
0.40													
0.50													
0.60													
0.70													
0.80													
0.90													
1.00													
1.20													
1.50													
2.00													
2.50													
3.00													
3.50													
4.00													
4.50													
5.00													

(Source: Table 13.1 of FD2320/TR2)

- 3.1.9 In addition to the areas of danger, there is a small area classified as low hazard (shown in green) on the hazard mapping. This area represents the outer extent of where flood water would reach during the breach or overtopping scenario, but should not pose a hazard to people. This represents the white boxes shown above in Table 13.1, where depths could be between 0.05m and 0.3m.
- 4.1.1 The hazard mapping shows the consequences should a breach or overtopping of existing defences occur. The results show the likely flood depths, velocities and overall hazard to a proposed site.
- 4.1.2 In particular, where hazard mapping indicates a proposed site will be inundated, the minimum finished floor levels of any development should be informed by the flood depth.

maps arising from the applicable scenario (see Section 1.1 - Climate Change Allowances for Planning).

- 4.1.3 The Environment Agency and the Local Planning Authorities have agreed standing advice that applies to planning applications within the area covered by the Level 2 SFRA. This standing advice outlines how the flood depth maps (where available - see paragraph 1.3.8 above) should be used to inform adequate flood risk mitigation measures, along with further information on the correct scenarios to consider for each development type.
- 4.1.4 Please see Chapter 9 of this SFRA for further guidance on the Exception Test and flood risk mitigation requirements.
- 4.1.5 The Depth Maps can be found in Appendix B.

- 5.1.1 The Environment Agency is responsible for managing the risk of flooding from main rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and the sea.
- 5.1.2 Lead Local Flood Authorities are responsible for managing the risk of flooding from local sources: surface water, groundwater and ordinary watercourses. Local sources of flooding are considered in more detail in this Section.
- 5.1.3 Internal Drainage Boards are responsible for managing the risk of flooding within their Drainage Districts.

- 5.2.1 The NPPF requires that the risk from all sources of flooding is considered and that priority is given to the use of sustainable drainage systems. The NPPF advises that SFRA's should identify areas at risk from surface water flooding and drainage issues taking account of the surface water flood risk map published by the Environment Agency and any other available evidence, such as local flood risk management strategies. It should also identify the types of measures which may be appropriate to manage them, taking account of location, site, opportunities, constraints and geology. Lead Local Flood Authorities provide expert advice to planning authorities on the management of surface water for planning.
- 5.2.2 The Environment Agency's Flood Map for Surface Water (FMSW) gives an indication of the broad areas likely to be at risk of surface water flooding. However, **THEY ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR IDENTIFYING WHETHER AN INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY WILL FLOOD**.
- 5.2.3 This is because the mapping only gives an indication of broad areas at risk, and because the Environment Agency does not hold information on floor levels, construction characteristics or designs of properties. It would need this and other detailed information to be able to say whether flooding of certain depth would enter into an individual property and cause damage.
- 5.2.4 These maps may be suitable for identifying where properties are in areas at risk of flooding for locations where surface water flooding is strongly influenced by topography.
- 5.2.5 In 2010 the Flood and Water Management Act defined 'surface runoff'.
- 5.2.6 Generally, the type of flooding shown by the Flood Map for Surface Water fits with the definition in the Act and shows:
 - The flooding that takes place from the 'surface runoff' generated by rainwater (including snow and other precipitation) which:
 - (a) is on the surface of the ground (whether or not it is moving); and
 - (b) has not yet entered a watercourse, drainage system or public sewer.

- 5.2.7 The Flood Map for Surface Water will pick out natural drainage channels, rivers, low areas or floodplains, and flow paths between buildings, but it will only indicate flooding caused by local rainfall.
- 5.2.8 It does not show flooding that occurs from overflowing watercourses, drainage systems or public sewers caused by catchment wide rainfall events or river flow.
- 5.2.9 The Flood Map for Surface Water shows areas where surface water would be expected to flow or pond. There are four categories of risk:
- High - the chance of flooding in any year is greater than 3.3% (1 in 30);
 - Medium - the chance of flooding in any year is 3.3% (1 in 30) or less but greater than 1% (1 in 100);
 - Low - the chance of flooding each year is 1% (1 in 100) or less but greater than 0.1% (1 in 1000);
 - Very low - the chance of flooding each year is 0.1% (1 in 1000) or less.
- However, users must note that this is the chance of this rainfall, and not of the resulting flood extent occurring. Consequently, it only provides a general indication of areas which may be more likely to suffer from surface water flooding in these rainfall probabilities.
- 5.2.10 The Flood Map for Surface Water shows predictions of flooded areas but does not show whether individual properties will be affected by surface water flooding or have been affected in the past. The Flood Map for Surface Water should not be used to predict if individual properties will flood.
- 5.2.11 Developers are strongly advised to use local knowledge in conjunction with records held by Local Authorities and other partners (for example, of drainage systems and historic surface water flooding records) to assess and understand the suitability of the Flood Map for Surface Water as an indicator for surface water flooding in a proposed development area.
- 5.2.12 The Flood Map for Surface Water is available on the Environment Agency's www.floodmap.gov.uk.
- 5.2.13 A Flood Risk Assessment or Flood Risk Statement will be required in support of a planning application if a site lies within an area shown to be at risk of flooding on the Flood Map for Surface Water.
- 5.3.1 'Groundwater' means all water which is below the surface of the ground and in direct contact with the ground or soil. Periods of abnormally high rainfall can result in groundwater flooding of basements and the emergence of groundwater at the ground level, causing damage to property and infrastructure.
- 5.3.2 The British Geological Survey (BGS) have prepared a map showing the degree of susceptibility to groundwater flooding. The BGS should be contacted for more detailed site specific information on susceptibility to groundwater flooding whilst preparing a Flood Risk Assessment or Flood Risk Statement.
- 5.3.3 The Lead Local Flood Authority will need to be consulted on any proposed development in an area at risk of groundwater flooding.
- 5.4.1 Flooding may also occur as a result of out of bank flows from ordinary watercourses. The flood risk from ordinary watercourses with a catchment greater than 5km² in Lincolnshire is identified on the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning. The flood extents shown on the flood map for planning are indicative only and may not represent the full extent of flooding. A site specific Flood Risk Assessment will therefore be required to assess the flood risk for any potential development site located in Flood Zones 2 or 3 of an ordinary watercourse.

- 5.4.2 In addition to the above requirement, the Lead Local Flood Authority will also require a site specific Flood Risk Assessment to be carried out if the site lies within 10m of an ordinary watercourse.
- 6.1.1 The NPPG advises that Flood Zone 3b (Functional Floodplain) should be identified by Local Planning Authorities in Strategic Flood Risk Assessments.
- 6.1.2 Functional Floodplain should not be defined solely on rigid probability parameters. However, it is generally defined as land which would naturally flood with a 5% (1 in 20) chance in any one year or greater, or is designed to flood (such as a flood attenuation scheme).
- 6.1.3 Functional Floodplain is a very important planning tool in making space for flood waters when flooding occurs. The SELFLFA's approach is to direct development away from these areas informed by the Environment Agency's flood risk management plans and shoreline management plans and local flood risk management strategies produced by Lincolnshire County Council (the Lead Local Flood Authority).
- 6.1.4 Within South Holland District, the functional floodplain comprises of the designated flood storage areas of the Crowland and Cowbit Washes and the Surfleet Reservoir (please also refer to section 7 below regarding reservoir flooding).
- 6.1.5 There is currently no Functional Floodplain in the Boston Borough.
- 6.1.6 The NPPG advises applicants that only essential development is appropriate in the Functional Floodplain.
- 6.1.7 Essential infrastructure that has to be there and has passed the Exception Test may also be permitted providing it is designed and constructed to:
- remain operational and safe for users in times of flood;
 - result in no net loss of floodplain storage;
 - not impede water flows and not increase flood risk elsewhere.
- 7.1.1 The NPPG requires those proposing developments to assess the risk from all forms of flooding. The failure of a reservoir is one form of flooding that has the potential to cause catastrophic damage due to the sudden release of large volumes of water. The local planning authority has to evaluate the potential damage to buildings or loss of life in the event of dam failure, compared to other risks, when considering development downstream of a reservoir.
- 7.1.2 There are two flood storage reservoirs within the district of South Holland, namely Surfleet Reservoir, and the Crowland and Cowbit Washes. The latter is designated as a large raised reservoirs under the Reservoirs Act 1975.
- 7.1.3 The Reservoirs Act 1975 applies to all large raised reservoirs in England. Large raised reservoirs are those reservoirs capable of holding 25,000m³ or more of water above natural ground level.
- 7.1.4 The following sections provide some advice on what to consider in the two most common scenarios: development of a new reservoir and development downstream of or adjacent to an existing raised reservoir.
- 7.2.1 Anyone proposing the development of a new reservoir that will have an above ground capacity of 25,000m³ or more must consider the potential flood risk downstream of the new reservoir in the event of dam failure leading to an uncontrolled release of water.

- 7.2.2 Developers will also need to provide the Environment Agency's Reservoir Safety Team with a notice of their intention under Section 21 of the Reservoirs Act, not less than 28 days before work on-site is due to start.
- 7.2.3 Applicants will also need to appoint a qualified civil engineer (construction engineer) under Section 6 of the Reservoirs Act to design and supervise the construction work. Details of suitably qualified engineers can be found [here](#). It is recommended that developers complete a dam breach analysis and reservoir flood map, although this is not a requirement of the Reservoirs Act.
- 7.3.1 Reservoir flood maps for large raised reservoirs can be found [here](#).
- 7.3.2 An assessment of the risk of inundation from the reservoir must be submitted in support of any planning application (this will normally include the need for breach analysis). This should include not only the risks posed to the development but also if the development within the reservoir's flood extent could change its risk designation to high-risk.
- 7.3.3 Given the potential legal and cost implications of development downstream of a 'not high-risk' reservoir, it is also recommended that applicants notify the reservoir owner of the planning application.
- 7.4.1 The Surfleet Reservoir lies immediately upstream of the Surfleet outfall on the River Glen and is classified as Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain. This zone comprises land where water has to flow or be stored at times of flood. The reservoir contains approximately 50 properties, which were originally built as holiday chalets and intended for temporary occupancy only. Over the years these chalets changed hands, have been renovated and replaced, often with new owners being unaware of the risks involved. Many are now occupied all year round as permanent dwellings. Historic data records several flooding incidences, most recent events occurring in 1980, 2002, 2009 and January 2013.
- 7.4.2 National planning policy requires local planning authorities to adopt proactive strategies to mitigation and adapt to climate change, taking full account of flood risk. Where climate change is expected to increase flood risk so that some existing development may not be sustainable in the long-term they should seek opportunities to facilitate the relocation of development, including housing, to more sustainable locations. Due to the increased likelihood of flood events occurring in this area and the need to protect property and life, it is a recommendation of this SFRA that further development in this location is not supported and opportunities to relocate existing properties outside of the functional floodplain are explored.
- 8.1.1 The NPPF requires Local Plans to apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid, where possible, flood risk to people and property. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment provides the basis for applying this test. The general approach is designed to ensure that areas at little or no risk of flooding from any source are developed in preference to areas at higher risk. The aim is to keep development out of medium and high flood risk areas (Flood Zones 2 and 3) and areas affected by other sources of flooding where possible.
- 8.1.2 The refined information in this SFRA (i.e. the hazard mapping) incorporates the consideration of climate change and should be used when carrying out a sequential assessment. Some areas that are shown not to be at risk in the undefended scenario (i.e.

the Flood Zones may be at risk in the defended climate change scenario (i.e. the 2115 hazard mapping).

- 8.1.3 Where no reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 1 exist then Local Planning Authorities should consider the availability of sites in Flood Zone 2. Only where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zones 1 or 2 should the suitability of sites in Flood Zone 3a be considered.
- 8.1.4 However, as mentioned in the Introduction above, the majority of the Boston Borough Area and large parts of the South Holland district lie within Flood Zone 3. For this reason, and for the reason outlined in 8.1.2 above, it is therefore necessary to use the refined flood risk information (Hazard and Depth maps) to assist with the application of the Sequential Test.
- 8.2.1 The South East Lincolnshire Joint Planning Unit has used the mapping outputs contained in this Strategic Flood Risk Assessment to apply the Sequential Test.
- 8.2.2 The sites contained in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) for each settlement were assessed in terms of their suitability, availability and achievability to accommodate housing. Sites concluded to be developable and deliverable were then ordered in terms of which Flood Zone they were in.
- 8.2.3 As many of the settlements, and therefore potential housing sites, are entirely or largely within Flood Zone 3, it was necessary to then consider which flood hazard category they were in. The Breach hazard Map for the 2115 scenario was used for this assessment (as the NPPF requires residential development lifetime to be considered for a minimum 100 years).
- 8.2.4 Where there were sites within a settlement in the same hazard category, it was necessary to use the information on flood depth (again in the 2115 breach scenario) to choose the most sequentially preferable. The Flood Zone, hazard and depth categories are recorded in the SHLAA.
- 8.3.1 The NPPF requires those proposing development in a location which is vulnerable to flood risk to present evidence to demonstrate that there are no other locations at a lower risk of flooding that could be suitable to accommodate the proposal. In line with this requirement, the Local Planning Authority will expect planning applications to be supported by evidence to demonstrate that the Sequential Test can be passed. Guidance on the application of the Sequential Test is available in the NPPF.
- 8.3.2 The NPPF advocates that the Sequential Test should be applied to all forms of development in medium and high risk areas (Flood Zones 2 or 3), other than sites which have been allocated in the Local Plan or for applications for minor development or change of use (except for a change of use to a caravan, camping or chalet site, or to a mobile home or park home site).
- 8.3.3 Minor Development is defined as:
- Minor non-residential extensions (Industrial/Commercial/etc.) extensions with a footprint less than 250m² (where subsequent 250m² extensions are proposed a Sequential Test will be required).
 - Alterations: development that does not increase the size of buildings e.g. alterations to external appearance.
 - Householder development e.g. sheds, garages, games rooms etc. within the curtilage of the existing dwelling in addition to physical extensions to the existing dwelling itself. This definition EXCLUDES any proposed development that would create a separate dwelling within the curtilage of the existing dwelling e.g. subdivision of houses into flats.

- 8.3.4 **Subdivision of Dwellings.** Although the subdivision of a house into flats is specifically excluded from the definition of minor development, where no significant external alterations are required, it would be viewed as a Change of Use application. Change of Use applications are excluded from the Sequential Test as clarified above. So, the conversion of a barn into a dwelling or the subdivision of a dwelling into two or more dwellings does not require a Sequential Test as long as it does not involve significant external alterations/extensions. Development Management officers will provide specific advice on whether or not a development falls within this category.
- 8.3.5 **Replacement dwellings.** Where an individual proposes to redevelop their property in an existing flood risk area the consideration of alternative sites is not likely to be a realistic option. In such instances, the Sequential Test should include a statement as to why there is no alternative available to them to develop. This simple statement will satisfy the Sequential Test provided that they:
- 1) Do not increase the number of bedrooms; and
 - 2) Do not increase the number of dwellings in an area of flood risk (i.e. through the replacement of a single dwelling with an apartment block); and
 - 3) Do not significantly increase the floor area of the building (i.e. beyond 40% of the original).
- 8.3.6 In order to carry out a Sequential Test it is necessary to define the geographical area in which a search for alternative sites at a lower risk of flooding will be undertaken. The search area for the test should be the whole of the Council area unless the functional requirements of the development justify a reduced search area. For example, if there is a need for a doctor's surgery to serve a particular catchment area then it may be appropriate to reduce the search area to that catchment. Equally, in some circumstances it may be appropriate to expand the search area beyond the district boundary. For example the location of an oil refinery serving the whole country should be determined on a countrywide basis. The Sequential Test evidence should define the search area and include a summary of the functional requirements of the development in justification of the area chosen. Housing developments will generally need to consider reasonably available alternative sites across the whole of the Council area unless they are intended to meet a specifically identified need (e.g. the need for affordable housing in a particular settlement).
- 8.3.7 It is also necessary to identify the sources of reasonably available sites. This can be done through existing evidence bases such as the Local Plan, the SHLAA, the Employment Land Review and the Town Centre and Retail Capacity Study.
- 8.3.8 Reasonably available sites should NOT be discounted on the following grounds:-
- They are not owned by the developer
 - They are larger than the proposed site (a series of smaller sites accommodating an equivalent quantum may also be considered)
 - They do not offer sustainability benefits equivalent to the proposed site
 - They have an extant planning permission but development has not begun
- 8.3.9 For the reasons outlined in 8.1.2 and 8.1.4 above, the Flood Map will not provide information that is refined enough to carry out a meaningful Sequential Test due to the extent of Flood Zone 3 in this area. Therefore the hazard map and depth maps should be used (in that order) to refine the information on flood risk to the site and potential alternative sites.
- 8.3.10 Evidence should be presented to clearly show the name and location of the reasonably available site options being compared to the application site, together with the hazard and depth classification of the sites.
- 8.3.11 The evidence should include reasons as to why you may or may not conclude that the site is a reasonable alternative to the application site.
- 8.3.12 On receipt of this evidence it is for the Local Planning Authority, taking advice from the Environment Agency as appropriate, to consider whether or not the Sequential Test is passed.

8.3.13 In order to avoid unnecessary expense, it is strongly recommended that applicants discuss the likelihood of their proposal passing the Sequential Test with the Planning Authority's Development Management Team before formulating and submitting their application.

9.1.1 The Exception Test provides a method of managing flood risk for developments of certain flood risk vulnerability while still allowing necessary development to occur.

9.1.2 The Exception Test should be applied only after the Sequential Test has been undertaken and in the circumstances set out in Table 5 of the NPPG – i.e. when more vulnerable development and essential infrastructure cannot be located in Flood Zones 1 and 2 and when highly vulnerable development cannot be located in Flood Zone 1.

9.1.3 Essentially, the two parts to the Test require proposed development to show that a) it will provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, and b) that it will be safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reduce flood risk overall.

9.1.4 For Part (a), consideration of the wider sustainability issues draws on the criteria for assessing the effects of the South East Lincolnshire Local Plan Documents (defined in the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report). This document provides the most localised criteria for considering wider sustainability issues within South East Lincolnshire and a useful template for considering each Strategic Site within Part (a) of the Exception Test.

9.1.5 With particular reference to Part (b), there are a range of factors to be considered when determining whether or not a development is 'safe'. However, the minimum mitigation measures required for each flood hazard zone can be found in the standing advice matrix at Appendix C.

9.1.6 All planning applications for development on windfall sites will need to meet the requirements of the Exception Test where applicable, and this should be demonstrated in a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment.

10.1.1 The Environment Agency and the Local Planning Authorities have produced local flood risk standing advice in the form of a matrix. This document has been prepared to assist in the interpretation of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for individual developments, whilst also providing pre-application advice. This matrix forms part of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and is contained in Appendix C.

10.1.2 Please note that single storey proposals will be expected to incorporate mitigation for the extreme 1 in 1000 (0.1%) scenario.

10.1.3 The Environment Agency has also produced basic guidance, aimed at developers and applicants on how to complete a Flood Risk Assessment.

10.1.4 Developers are also advised to refer to the DCLG document https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/266266/How_to_reduce_flood_risk_to_new_properties.pdf. This document aims to provide guidance to developers and designers on how to improve the resilience of new properties in low or residual flood risk areas by the use of suitable materials and construction details. These approaches are appropriate for areas where the probability of flooding is low (e.g. Flood Zone 1) or areas where flood risk management or mitigation measures have been put in place.

- 11.1.1 The NPPF explains the key role of planning in ensuring new development is resilient to the impacts of climate change. Local Planning Authorities must adopt strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change, taking full account of flood risk and coastal change. Local Plans need to take account of climate change over the longer term and for residential development this is considered to be a minimum of 100 years, unless there is specific justification for considering a short period.
- 11.1.2 The lifetime of a non-residential development depends on the characteristics of that development. Developers will be expected to justify why they have adopted a given lifetime for the development in their site-specific flood risk assessment. Generally commercial development is considered against 75 years, unless otherwise agreed with the planning authority. The adopted lifetime should be agreed with the relevant Development Management Case Officer.
- 11.1.3 The impact of climate change needs to be taken into account in a realistic way and developers, the Local Planning Authorities and the Environment Agency will need to agree what allowances are acceptable for particular proposals.
- 11.1.4 The Environment Agency has published guidance to assist with assessing the impacts of climate change in site-specific flood risk assessment. The guidance includes recommended contingency allowances for net sea level rise and peak rainfall intensity, peak river flow offshore wind speed and wave height. Developers are requested to use this guidance when undertaking a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- 11.1.5 Please note when using this guidance, you will need to refer to the peak river flow allowances for the relevant river, not the catchment.

- 12.1.1 The NPPF requires that a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) should accompany all planning applications for development proposals of 1 ha or greater in Flood Zone 1 and all proposals for new development located in Flood Zones 2 and 3.
- 12.1.2 The FRA should identify and assess the risks of all forms of flooding to and from the development and should demonstrate how these risks will be managed, taking climate change into account.
- 12.1.3 For major developments in all Flood Zones, the FRA should identify opportunities to reduce the probability and consequences of flooding.
- 12.1.4 A FRA will also be required where the proposals (including change of use to a more vulnerable flood risk vulnerability classification) may be affected by other sources of flooding or where the Environment Agency, Internal Drainage Board or other bodies have indicated there may be drainage problems.
- 12.1.5 Any Site Specific FRA will be expected to incorporate the relevant mitigation measures found in the standing advice matrix.

- 13.1.1 The National Planning Practice Guidance advises one of the considerations to ensure that any new development is safe, including where there is a residual risk of flooding, is whether adequate flood warnings would be available to people using the development. A flood warning and evacuation plan is a requirement for sites at risk of flooding used for holiday or

short-stay caravans and camping and are important at any site that has transient occupants (e.g. hostels and hotels).

- 13.2.1 The National Planning Practice Guidance advises flood warning and evacuation plans will need to take account of the likely impacts of climate change (e.g. increased water depths) and the impact on how people can be evacuated. In consultation with the authority's emergency planning staff, the local planning authority will need to ensure that evacuation plans are suitable through appropriate planning conditions or planning agreements.
- 13.2.2 In advising the local planning authority, the emergency services are unlikely to regard developments that increase the scale of any rescue that might be required as being safe. Even with defences in place, if the probability of inundation is high, safe access and egress should be maintained for the lifetime of the development. The practicality of safe evacuation from an area will depend on:
- the type of flood risk present, and the extent to which advance warning can be given in a flood event;
 - the number of people that would require evacuation from the area potentially at risk;
 - the adequacy of both evacuation routes and identified places that people could be evacuated to (and taking into account the length of time that the evacuation may need to last); and
 - sufficiently detailed and up-to-date evacuation plans being in place for the locality that address these and related issues.
- 13.2.3 Lincolnshire County Council has prepared an evacuation plan for East Coast Flooding which includes signed evacuation routes.

- 13.3.1 There are two flood storage reservoirs within the district of South Holland, namely Surllee Reservoir, and the Crowland and Cowbit Washes. The latter is designated as large raised reservoirs under the Reservoirs Act 1975.

- 13.3.2 The National Planning Practice Guidance advises local planning authorities to consult with their emergency planning officers as early as possible during the preparation of Local Plans, and also regarding any planning applications which have implications for emergency planning. Where issues affecting emergency services are identified it may be relevant to contact the local resilience forum – multi-agency partnerships made up of representatives from local public services which prepare for local incidents and catastrophic emergencies. Or in some cases, it may be appropriate for the local planning authority to consult the emergency services on specific emergency planning issues related to new developments.
- 13.3.3 Local planning authorities are also advised to consult with the owners/operators of raised reservoirs, to establish constraints upon safe development.

- 14.1.1 Surface water flood risk on site should be managed using sustainable drainage systems such as swales, filter drains, bio-retention basins, permeable paving, rain gardens, green roofs, etc. Sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) should be designed to control surface water runoff as close to where it falls as possible and mimic the natural catchment process. The design should aim to reduce runoff by integrating stormwater controls throughout the site in small discrete units rather than using large flow attenuation and flow control structures.

14.1.2 SuDS provide opportunities to

- reduce surface water run-off
- encourage natural groundwater recharge
- reduce pollution
- enhance amenity and biodiversity

14.1.3 The National Planning Practice Guidance requires sustainable drainage systems to be provided for all proposed developments, unless demonstrated to be inappropriate, and states that priority must be given to the use of 'natural or near-natural' systems in areas at risk of flooding. All developments, regardless of scale and constraints, should seek to incorporate sustainable drainage.

14.1.4 Good SuDS design involves

- managing surface runoff at its source where it is reasonably practicable to do so
- managing surface runoff on the surface where it is reasonably practicable to do so
- using public space where it serves more than one property and is reasonably practicable to do so
- being cost-effective to operate and maintain over the life of the development
- taking account of the likely impacts of climate change and changes in impermeable area over the design life of the development where it is reasonably practicable to do so

14.1.5 Generally, the aim should be to discharge surface water runoff as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable:

- into the ground (infiltration)
- to a surface water body,
- to a surface water sewer, highway drain, or another drainage system
- to a combined sewer

14.1.6 SuDS design is an integrated process and must be considered early in the design process at the master planning stage. The runoff from properties and highways should be integrated and appropriate treatment stages applied. Flows should be managed in sequential sub-catchments (a management train) as close to the source as possible.

14.1.7 The minimum standards for SuDS are set out in the [SuDS Manual](#) ([www.suDSmanual.co.uk](#)) and [SuDS Design](#) ([www.suDSdesign.co.uk](#)).

14.1.8 Surface water management schemes should be designed to provide multiple benefits, contributing to the enhanced amenity of developed areas, providing wildlife habitats and opportunities for biodiversity enhancement, as well as providing flood risk management function.

14.1.9 Clear arrangements must be in place for the on-going maintenance and/or adoption of the proposed drainage system for the lifetime of the development. These arrangements must be approved at the planning or reserved matters stage for all major development.

- Appendix A: Flood and Tide Hazard Mapping
- Appendix B: Flood and Tide Depth Mapping
- Appendix C: Standing Advice

Partial Policy

Partial Policy

Partial Policy

APPENDIX 8

and Local Government

Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework

March 2012
Department for Communities and Local Government

© Crown copyright 2012

Copyright in this publication is licensed under the Creative Commons

You may reuse this information (not including logos) free of charge. Any formal requests under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit

or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.nationalarchives.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at

Department for Communities and Local Government
Land House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU
Telephone: 0300 3444 0100

March 2012

ISBN 978-1-4065-3410-6

Contents

Introduction	2
Flood risk	2
The Sequential and Exception Tests	2
Flood risk assessment	6
Strategic flood risk assessment	6
Site-specific flood risk assessment	9
Taking climate change into account	10
Managing residual flood risk	12
Flood resilience and resistance	12
Minerals policy	14
Proximity of mineral workings to communities	14
Dust emissions	14
General considerations	14
Health effects of dust	16
Noise emissions	17
Noise standards	18
Stability in surface mine workings and tips	18
Restoration and aftercare of mineral sites	19
Landscape strategy	19
Reclamation conditions schemes	19
Aftercare schemes	21
Financial guarantees	22
Landbanks for industrial minerals	23
Definitions in the National Planning Policy Framework	23
Glossary of technical noise terms	24

Introduction

1. This document provides additional guidance to local planning authorities to ensure the effective implementation of the planning policy set out in the National Planning Policy Framework on development in areas at risk of flooding and in relation to mineral extraction. This guidance retains key elements of Planning Policy Statement 25 and of the existing minerals policy statements and minerals planning guidance notes which are considered necessary and helpful in relation to these policy areas. The retention of this guidance is an interim measure pending a wider review of guidance to support planning policy.

Flood risk

2. As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere. For these purposes:
 - ‘areas at risk of flooding’ means land within Flood Zones 2 and 3; or, and within Flood Zone 1 which has critical drainage problems and which has been notified to the local planning authority by the Environment Agency;
 - ‘flood risk’ means risk from all sources of flooding - including from rivers and the sea, directly from rainfall on the ground surface and rising groundwater, overwhelmed sewers and drainage systems, and from reservoirs, canals and lakes and other artificial sources.

The Sequential and Exception Tests

3. As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, the aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. The flood zones (see table 1) are the starting point for this sequential approach. Zones 2 and 3 are shown on the flood map, with Flood Zone 1 being all the land falling outside Zones 2 and 3. These flood zones refer to the probability of sea and river flooding only, ignoring the presence of existing defences.
4. Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (see paragraphs 7-8) refine information on the probability of flooding, taking other sources of flooding and the impacts of climate change (see paragraphs 11-15) into account. They provide the basis for applying the Sequential Test, on the basis of the flood zones in table 1. Where table 1

To access the flood map, see the Environment Agency's website at:

<http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/floods/floodmap.htm>

indicates the need to apply the Exception Test (as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework), the scope of a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be widened to consider the impact of the flood risk management infrastructure on the frequency, impact, speed of onset, depth and velocity of flooding within the flood zones considering a range of flood risk management maintenance scenarios. Where a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment is not available, the Sequential Test will be based on the Environment Agency flood zones.

5. The overall aim should be to steer new development to Flood Zone 1. Where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 1, local planning authorities allocating land in local plans or determining planning applications for development at any particular location should take into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses (see table 2) and consider reasonably available sites in Flood Zone 2, applying the Exception Test if required (see table 3). Only where there are no reasonably available sites in Flood Zones 1 or 2 should the suitability of sites in Flood Zone 3 be considered, taking into account the flood risk vulnerability of land uses and applying the Exception Test if required.

Table 1: Flood zones

(Note: These flood zones refer to the probability of river and sea flooding, ignoring the presence of defences)

Zone 1 - low probability

Definition

This zone comprises land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding ($<0.1\%$).

Appropriate uses

All uses of land are appropriate in this zone.

Flood risk assessment requirements

For development proposals on sites comprising one hectare or above the vulnerability to flooding from other sources as well as from river and sea flooding, and the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere through the addition of hard surfaces and the effect of the new development on surface water run-off, should be incorporated in a flood risk assessment. This need only be brief unless the factors above or other local considerations require particular attention.

Policy aims

In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area and beyond through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage systems¹.

¹ Sustainable drainage systems cover the whole range of sustainable approaches to surface drainage management. They are designed to control surface water run-off close to where it falls and to manage, on the way as closely as possible.

Zone 2 - medium probability

Definition

This zone comprises land assessed as having between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1 000 annual probability of river flooding (1‰ – 0.1‰), or between a 1 in 200 and 1 in 1 000 annual probability of sea flooding (0.5‰ – 0.1‰) in any year.

Appropriate uses

Essential infrastructure and the water-compatible, less vulnerable and more vulnerable uses, as set out in table 2, are appropriate in this zone. The highly vulnerable uses are only appropriate in this zone if the Exception Test is passed.

Flood risk assessment requirements

All development proposals in this zone should be accompanied by a flood risk assessment.

Policy aims

In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage systems.

Zone 3a - high probability

Definition

This zone comprises land assessed as having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding ($>1‰$), or a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of flooding from the sea ($>0.5‰$) in any year.

Appropriate uses

The water-compatible and less vulnerable uses of land (table 2) are appropriate in this zone. The highly vulnerable uses should not be permitted in this zone.

The more vulnerable uses and essential infrastructure should only be permitted in this zone if the Exception Test is passed. Essential infrastructure permitted in this zone should be designed and constructed to remain operational and safe for users in times of flood.

Flood risk assessment requirements

All development proposals in this zone should be accompanied by a flood risk assessment.

Policy aims

In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to

- reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage systems.

- relocate existing development to land in zones with a lower probability of flooding; and
- create space for flooding to occur by restoring functional floodplain and flood flow pathways and by identifying, allocating and safeguarding open space for flood storage.

Zone 3b - the functional floodplain

Definition

This zone comprises land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood.

Local planning authorities should identify in their Strategic Flood Risk Assessments areas of functional floodplain and its boundaries accordingly, in agreement with the Environment Agency. The identification of functional floodplain should take account of local circumstances and not be defined solely on rigid probability parameters. But land which would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 (5%) or greater in any year, or is designed to flood in an extreme (0.1%) flood, should provide a starting point for consideration and discussions to identify the functional floodplain.

Appropriate uses

Only the water-compatible uses and the essential infrastructure listed in table 2 that has to be there should be permitted in this zone. It should be designed and constructed to:

- remain operational and safe for users in times of flood;
- result in no net loss of floodplain storage;
- not impede water flows; and
- not increase flood risk elsewhere.

Essential infrastructure in this zone should pass the Exception Test.

Flood risk assessment requirements

All development proposals in this zone should be accompanied by a flood risk assessment.

Policy aims

In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to:

- reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage systems;
- relocate existing development to land with a lower probability of flooding;

Table 2: Flood risk vulnerability classification

Essential infrastructure

- Essential transport infrastructure (including mass evacuation routes) which has to cross the area at risk
- Essential utility infrastructure which has to be located in a flood risk area for operational reasons, including electricity generating power stations and grid and primary substations, and water treatment works that need to remain operational in times of flood
- Wind turbines.

Highly vulnerable

- Police stations, ambulance stations and fire stations and command centres and telecommunications installations required to be operational during flooding.
- Emergency dispersal points.
- Basement dwellings.
- Caravans, mobile homes and park homes intended for permanent residential use
- Installations requiring hazardous substances consent¹ (Where there is a demonstrable need to locate such installations for bulk storage of materials with port or other similar facilities, or such installations with energy infrastructure or carbon capture and storage installations, that require coastal or water-side locations, or need to be located in other high flood risk areas, in these instances the facilities should be classified as 'essential infrastructure'.)

More vulnerable

- Hospitals.
- Residential institutions such as residential care homes, children's homes, social services homes, prisons and hostels.
- Buildings used for dwelling houses, student halls of residence, drinking establishments, nightclubs and hotels.
- Non-residential uses for health services, nurseries and educational establishments.
- Landfill and sites used for waste management facilities for hazardous waste.
- Sites used for holiday or short-let caravans and camping, *subject to a specific warning and evacuation plan*

Less vulnerable

- Police, ambulance and fire stations which are not required to be operational during flooding.
- Buildings used for shops, financial, professional and other services.

¹ For any proposal involving a change of use of land to a caravan, camping or chalet site, or to a holiday home site or park home site, the Sequential and Flood Risk Tests should be applied.

² See Circular 04/03, *Planning controls for hazardous substances* (paragraph 18) at

³ In considering any development proposal for such an installation, local planning authorities should have regard to planning policy on pollution in the National Planning Policy Framework.

⁴ For definition, see *Planning for Sustainable Waste Management: Companion Guide to Planning Policy Statement 13* at

⁵ See footnote 1.

restaurants and cafes, hot food takeaways, offices, general industry, storage and distribution, non-residential institutions not included in 'more vulnerable', and assembly and leisure.

- Land and buildings used for agriculture and forestry
- Waste treatment (except landfill and hazardous waste facilities).
- Minerals working and processing (except for sand and gravel working).
- Water treatment works which do not need to remain operational during times of flood
- Sewage treatment works (if adequate measures to control pollution and manage sewage during flooding events are in place)

Water-compatible development

- Flood control infrastructure
- Water transmission infrastructure and pumping stations
- Sewage transmission infrastructure and pumping stations
- Sand and gravel working
- Docks, marinas and wharves
- Navigation facilities
- Ministry of Defence defence installations.
- Ship building, repairing and dismantling, dockside fish processing and refrigeration and compatible activities requiring a waterside location.
- Water-based recreation (excluding sleeping accommodation).
- Lifeguard and coastguard stations.
- Amenity open space, nature conservation and biodiversity, outdoor sports and recreation and essential facilities such as changing rooms.
- Essential ancillary sleeping or residential accommodation for staff required by uses in this category, *subject to a specific warning and evacuation plan*

Notes to table 2:

- This classification is based partly on Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and Environment Agency research on *Flood Risks to People* (FD2321/TR2)¹ and also on the need of some uses to keep functioning during flooding
- Buildings that combine a mixture of uses should be placed into the higher of the relevant classes of flood risk sensitivity. Developments that allow uses to be distributed over the site may fall within several classes of flood risk sensitivity
- The impact of a flood on the particular uses identified within this flood risk vulnerability classification will vary within each vulnerability class. Therefore, the flood risk management infrastructure and other risk mitigation measures needed to ensure the development is safe may differ between uses within a particular vulnerability classification

Table 3: Flood risk vulnerability and flood zone 'compatibility'

Flood risk vulnerability class/location (see table 2)	Essential infrastructure	Water compatible	Highly vulnerable	More vulnerable	Less vulnerable
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Flood zone (see table 1)	Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Zone 2	✓	✓	Exception Test required	✓
	Zone 3a	Exception Test required	✓	✗	Exception Test required
	Zone 3b functional floodplain	Exception Test required	✓	✗	✗
Key: ✓ Development is appropriate ✗ Development should not be permitted					

Notes to table 3:

This table does not show:

- a. the application of the Sequential Test which guides development to Flood Zone 1 first, then Zone 2, and then Zone 3;
- b. flood risk assessment requirements; or
- c. the policy aims for each flood zone

Flood risk assessment

6. Properly prepared assessments of flood risk will inform the decision-making process at all stages of development planning. A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment is a study carried out by one or more local planning authorities to assess the risk to an area from flooding from all sources, now and in the future taking account of the impacts of climate change, and to assess the impact that changes or development in the area will have on flood risk. It may also identify, particularly at more local levels, how to manage those changes to ensure that flood risk is not increased. A site-specific flood risk assessment is carried out by, or on behalf of, a developer to assess the risk to a development site and demonstrate how flood risk from all sources of flooding to the development itself and flood risk to others will be managed now, and taking climate change into account. There should be iteration between the different levels of flood risk assessment.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

7. As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, Local Plans should be supported by Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment should be prepared in consultation with the Environment Agency

local planning authorities' own functions of emergency response and drainage authority under the Land Drainage Act 1984¹ and where appropriate, internal drainage boards. Initially the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be used to refine information on the areas that may flood, taking into account other sources of flooding and the impacts of climate change, in addition to the information on the flood map. Local planning authorities should use the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment to inform their knowledge of flooding, refine the information on the flood map and determine the variations in flood risk from all sources of flooding across and from their area. These should form the basis for preparing appropriate policies for flood risk management for these areas. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment should be used to inform the sustainability appraisal (incorporating the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive) of local development documents, and will provide the basis from which to apply the Sequential Test and Exception Test in the development allocation and development control process.

8. Where local planning authorities have been unable to allocate all proposed development and infrastructure in accordance with the Sequential Test, taking account of the flood vulnerability category of the intended use, it will be necessary to increase the scope of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment to provide the information necessary for application of the Exception Test. This should additionally, consider the beneficial effects of flood risk management infrastructure in generally reducing the extent and severity of flooding when compared to the flood zones on the flood map. The increased scope of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will enable the production of mapping showing flood outlines for different probabilities, impact, speed of onset, depth and velocity variance of flooding taking account of the presence and likely performance of flood risk management infrastructure.

Site-specific flood risk assessment

9. As set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, local planning authorities should only consider development in flood risk areas appropriate where informed by a site-specific flood risk assessment². This should identify and assess the risks of all forms of flooding to and from the development and demonstrate how these flood risks will be managed so that the development remains safe throughout its lifetime, taking climate change into account. Those proposing developments should take advice from the emergency services when producing an evacuation plan for the development as part of the flood risk assessment.

¹ The Environment Agency provides standing advice on flood risk – see the Agency's website at <http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk>. Applications for planning permission will often include a request for advice regarding a site-specific flood risk assessment for, and before, design, and for permission development and for ensuring extensions or alterations are designed and constructed to conform to any flood protection already incorporated in the property and include flood resilience measures in the design. The Agency also provides standing advice to enable local planning authorities to clearly identify the type of planning applications on which they should consult the Agency, and to make decisions on low risk applications where flood risk is an issue, without directly consulting the Agency for advice and technical support.

10. Minor developments¹ are unlikely to raise significant flood risk issues unless they would:

- have an adverse effect on a watercourse, floodplain or its flood defences;
- would impede access to flood defence and management facilities; or
- where the cumulative impact of such developments would have a significant effect on local flood storage capacity or flood flows.

Taking climate change into account

11. Global sea level will continue to rise, depending on greenhouse gas emissions and the sensitivity of the climate system. The relative sea level rise in England also depends on the local vertical movement of the land, which is generally falling in the south-east and rising in the north and west. In preparing a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment or a site-specific flood risk assessment, the allowances for the rates of relative sea level rise shown in table 4 should be used as a starting point for considering flooding from the sea, along with the sensitivity ranges for wave height and wind speed in table 5.

Table 4: Recommended contingency allowances for net sea level rises

	Net sea level rise (mm per year) relative to 1990			
	1990 to 2025	2025 to 2055	2055 to 2085	2085 to 2115
East of England (east midlands, London, south-east England (south of Humberough Head))	4.0	8.5	12.0	15.0
South-west England	3.5	8.0	11.5	14.5
North-west England, north-east England (north of Humberough Head)	2.5	7.0	10.0	13.0

Notes to table 4

- a. For deriving sea levels up to 2115, the 4mm per year, 3mm per year and 2.5mm per year rates (covering the three geographical groups respectively) should be applied back to the 1990 base sea level year. From 2026 to 2055, the increase in sea level in this period is derived by adding the number of years (i.e. from 2025 to 2055), multiplied by the respective rate shown in the table. Subsequent time periods 2056 to 2085 and 2086 to 2115 are treated similarly.

¹ Minor development means:

- Minor houses (detached, semi-detached or terraced) or extensions with a footprint less than 20sqm;
- Additions to development that does not increase the size of buildings or alterations to external appearance;
- Householder development (e.g. sheds, garages, summerhouses etc.) within the curtilage of the existing dwelling in addition to physical extensions to the existing dwelling itself. This definition excludes any proposed development that would create a separate dwelling within the curtilage of the existing dwelling (e.g. subdivision of houses into flats).

APPENDIX 9

Sustainable drainage systems

Sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) aim to provide a natural way to manage stormwater locally, as well as SuDS as a means to help to manage drainage and manage agents of erosion, attenuation and base water treatment.

SuDS are designed to both manage the flood and pollution risks resulting from urban runoff and to contribute wherever possible to environmental enhancement and place making. With this in mind, the multi-functionality and multiple benefits of SuDS need always be considered.

Key Principles

SuDS essentially operate through infiltration where possible and attenuation combined with slow conveyance. Many SuDS solutions employ a combination of infiltration and attenuation. Situations where infiltration is not an option (for example because of the soil type or contamination) tend to favour attenuation type SuDS.

Good SuDS design should follow the SuDS philosophy, which calls for the inclusion of a number of key principles:

1. A management plan – using a number of SuDS components in series and characterising areas into land use and drainage type
2. Source control – managing runoff as close as possible to where it falls as rain
3. Managing water on the surface – wherever possible, runoff should be managed on the surface
4. Early and effective engagement – consider the use of SuDS at the earliest stages of site selection and design

Good practice with attenuation and slow conveyance type SuDS is to use ‘soft engineered’ surface features rather than underground storage and to align the conveyance chain with local ecology to be retaining.

When SuDS are used in new developments with highways or other built in existing developed areas where there is also highway drainage, the highway drainage arrangements will generally be a key theme which shapes the form of the SuDS. This is because highway runoff often forms a high percentage of the total runoff from developed areas and is also the surface water element that contains the highest level of pollutants.

Benefits of SuDS

Sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) mimic natural drainage processes to reduce the effect on the quality and quantity of runoff from developments and provide amenity and biodiversity benefits. After specifying SuDS, any realisation of actual benefits and opportunities will depend on the best results.

The benefits of SuDS include:

- flood risk management – reducing the risk of flooding from developments
- water quality management – reducing the impact of diffuse pollution
- improved amenity and biodiversity – the inclusion of green infrastructure with SuDS solutions can help to create habitats in degraded and high-density areas
- water reuse – SuDS can help to recharge groundwater supplies and to capture rainwater for reuse purposes
- amenity benefits – attractive well-designed built open space that incorporates SuDS can help to create better environments through year-round use and quality of life improvements
- recreation – multi-purpose SuDS components can not only manage surface water, but also act as sports play areas
- education – SuDS in schools can be a fantastic learning opportunity whilst also providing additional recreational space
- enabling development – SuDS can help to free up capacity in already established drainage networks, and the provision of SuDS can often be a pre-requisite of planning permission.

Recent revisions to planning policy, and the National Planning Policy Framework, recognise the role that well-designed SuDS have in managing surface water.

More about the benefits of SuDS and their application

SuSdrain adds to



(<https://www.jdpipes.co.uk>)


01223 806000

Sizing a septic drainage field

Working out what size your septic drainage field should be can be difficult but our new tool makes it very simple to do. *Don't put in a too large or too small a field and the life span of your drainage field is halved.*

Septic drainage fields perform the treatment of effluent waste from a septic tank and are a very important part of a septic tank system. It's extremely important they're installed correctly in line with Building Regulation guidelines for good performance as well as legislative and environmental compliance.

What is a septic drainage field?



Septic drainage fields are designed to treat effluent waste from a septic tank. The effluent is distributed into a series of cells, where it is treated by natural processes. The treated effluent then infiltrates the ground, where it is further treated by the soil. The drainage field is designed to ensure that the effluent is treated effectively and does not contaminate the surrounding environment.

When sizing a septic drainage field, it is important to consider the volume of effluent waste that will be generated by the property. This will depend on the number of people living in the property and the type of activities taking place. It is also important to consider the local climate and the type of soil, as these factors can affect the rate at which the effluent infiltrates the ground.

SEPA's guidance on how to install a septic tank can be found at <https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidance on how to install a septic tank can be found at <https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidelines for increased sewage discharges to groundwater

<https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidelines for increased sewage discharges to groundwater

<https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidelines for increased sewage discharges to groundwater

<https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidelines for increased sewage discharges to groundwater

Key facts and rules

- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).
- You must not discharge sewage into the ground if you are in an Environment Agency Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ).

SEPA's guidance on how to install a septic tank can be found at <https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

SEPA's guidance on how to install a septic tank can be found at <https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

How to determine the size of your septic drain field?

SEPA's guidance on how to determine the size of your septic drain field can be found at <https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162688/sewage-treatment-guidance.pdf>

$$A_i = P \in V_p = 0.25$$

၆၂၅၀၂၁၀၆၂

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The *Agrobacterium* strains were grown in the YEA medium for 24 h at 28°C. The cell concentration of the strains was adjusted to 10⁸ cells/ml. The cell suspension was mixed with the plant tissue and the transformation efficiency was determined. The results were expressed as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

[illegible]

Percolation Tests

[illegible]

The Journal of Management Studies, Vol. 37, No. 6, pp. 809–826, 2004
© Blackwell Publishing Ltd. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.2004.00285.x

[illegible]

Test method from Building Regulations Part H

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 250 million to 450 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

The authors thank the following people for their assistance in the collection of data: J. A. B. de Gooijer, M. C. van der Wal, H. J. van den Broek, P. J. van der Meulen, R. J. van der Wal, and W. J. van der Wal.

• Building Regulations Part H2
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/drainage-and-waste-disposal-approved-document-h2>

.. .. .

.. .. .

Questions? Ask the Experts!

- 1. **Live Chat:** [Click here](#) to chat with our experts.
- 2. **Contact Us:** [Click here](#) to contact our experts.
- 3. **Find your local IDP:** [Click here](#) to find your local IDP.

- 2. **Live Chat** - [How to use Live Chat](#)
- 3. **Contact Us** - [How to use Contact Us](#)
- 4. **Find your local IDP** - [How to use Find your local IDP](#)

Can we help with something else?



Septic Tanks: Frequently Asked Questions

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are illiterate has increased from 1.2 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of illiterate people in the world is projected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2015. The number of illiterate people in the world is projected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2015. The number of illiterate people in the world is projected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2015.



What is the best sewage treatment plant?

[illegible]

www.pearsoned.com.au/education/healthcare

© 2014 Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without prior written permission from Pearson Education, Inc.

Printed in the United States of America

Connect with  DF

     www.pearsoned.com.au/education/healthcare

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

For more information on this title, please contact your local Pearson Education representative.

APPENDIX 10

Engineering Support Practice Ltd.
100, The Quadrant,
Barnet, London, N4 3JF
Tel: 0208 451 5555
Fax: 0208 451 5556
E-mail: info@esp-ltd.co.uk

DISCLAIMER

Whilst Engineering Support Practice Ltd., considers that the information and opinions given in this report are based on assumptions and information, some of which is provided by others that are subject to uncertainties.

Due to such uncertainties and because events may not occur as expected, there is a possibility that the results of assessments and observations presented in this report will be different from situations that occur in the future. As a consequence, ESP Ltd., will not assume any liability for any loss or damage out of the provision of this report



ESP

Engineering Support Practice Ltd

www.esp.me.uk