DECISION DELEGATED TO DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Application No:	**H21-1141-19**	**Applicant:**	**R K Wilson Builders Ltd**
Proposal:	Details relating to contamination and footway/disposal of surface water run-off from highway (Conditions 7 i) and ii) and 9 of H21-0859-16)		
Location:	Land Off Worlds End Road Tydd St Mary Spalding		
Terminal Date:	17th January 2020		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019	
02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
30	Pollution

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2019

Sections 12, 14 and 15

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments
HIGHWAYS & SUDS SUPPORT	0	0	0	1
NORTH LEVEL INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD	0	0	1	0
SHDC INTERNAL	0	0	0	1
OTHER STATUTORY BODIES	0	0	0	1

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

The application is to discharge conditions 7 and 9 of H21-0859-16 (details relating to contamination and footway and disposal of surface water run-off from highway)

Site Description

The site is located on the western side of Worlds End Road. It was formerly occupied by dilapidated farm buildings which have been demolished. Harrison House and other residential properties are situated to the north off Hix's Lane. Open land is located opposite and also to the rear.

History

H21-0859-16 - O/A - Residential development - Granted.

H21-0980-18 - RM - Erection of 6 dwellings - Approved

H21- 0976-19 - RM - Erection of 6 dwellings - Approved

Consultation Responses

LCC Highways/SUDs - Does not wish to restrict the grant of permission.

North Level IDB - No comments.

Environmental Protection - Recommend discharge of parts i) and ii)

Planning Considerations

Condition 7 (Contamination) - Environmental Protection have reviewed the submitted information and recommend discharge of parts i & ii of Condition 7 of H21-0859-16. Parts iii & iv remain outstanding and a remediation method statement and proposal for validations should be submitted for approval prior to the commencement of development.

Condition 9 (Footway and disposal of surface water run-off from highway) - LCC Highways/SUDs have indicated that the submitted details are acceptable.

Conclusion

That conditions 7 and 9 of H21-0859-16 be discharged.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.