FILE COPY

SOUTH HOLLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Chris Simpkins
Chief Executive
Terry Huggins
Director of Customer Services
Bob Atkins
Director of Corporate Services

Application no: H23/0490/01

Applicant: Mr & Mrs S W Hoyes
The Complex
Churchgate
Whaplode
Spalding Lincs PE12 6TA

Agent:
Mr & Mrs S W Hoyes
The Complex
Churchgate
Whaplode
Spalding Lincs PE12 6TA

Description: Erection of 24 x 1.8 metre high head profile sculptures

Location: South of The Tilney
Whaplode
Spalding
Lincs

Amendment: Amended appearance of sculptures

08-OCT-01

Dear Sir/Madam

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT

I acknowledge receipt of the amended details in respect of the above and confirm that the changes are able to be incorporated in the application which will now **only be considered in its amended form**.

As a result of the need for the Authority to repeat certain procedures because of the amendment, the period for determining the application has been extended to 27 OCT 2001

Your rights of appeal remain as explained on the original acknowledgement.
If you do not wish to agree to the extended time period and would rather have the application determined more quickly but on the basis of the application as originally submitted, please let me know in writing within the next 5 days.

You are reminded that revision to any submission made under the Building Regulations may also be necessary.

Yours faithfully

Director of Housing and Planning Services

Council Offices
Priory Road
Spalding
Lincolnshire
PE11 2XE

Telephone: (01775) 761161
Fax: (01775) 711253
Email: Info@sholland.gov.uk

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only
Application No. H23/0490/01/AM2
Date Registered 2 OCT 01
Case Officer DG

1. Please complete in block letters
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT
MR & MRS HOMES,

Tel. No.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)
/

Tel. No. (Contact)

Please complete in block letters
FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE
SOUTH OF THE TILNEY, WHAPLODE, SPALDING.

Please complete
APPLICATION No.
This amendment **cannot be considered** unless the relevant reference is stated
H23/0490/01.

Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if any)

2. Please tick **one** box only
Amendment is proposed where permission already granted — **A** [ ]
If yes, submit two forms and two plans.

or

Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered — **B** [✓]
If yes, submit four forms and four plans.

Please complete
I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment. I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate. If the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date.
Signed ..... Date .....

3. Please complete in block letters
FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION
ERECTION OF 24 x 1.8M HIGH HEAD PROFILE SCULPTURES

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered.)
Amended appearance of sculptures

State drawing no's of the current submission

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)
~~YES~~ / NO

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? Yes / No

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☐

Registered 8/10

Terminal date/committee updated 8/10

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/~~No~~ (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? ~~Yes~~/No *
If Yes, is it what was asked for? ~~Yes/No *~~

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment? (PC) WM Press (Residents) Other – Any other objections? None ☐

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/~~No~~

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/~~No~~ (Refer to Admin ?) ✓ 8/10 *

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :–

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/No Confirmed.....

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: DMS 8/10

Superseded details removed ☐

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued:
Public register updated:

DC Professional Assessment


NOTES: ✓ 8/10

The Complex,
Churchgate,
Whaplode,
Spalding, PE12 6TA
Tel: 01406 370408

SHDC
FINANCE & RESOURCES DEPT.
RECEIVED
- 2 OCT 2001
PASS TO (IBU)
CLIENT
ACCT SERV
REV SERV
DEM & CONT
PASSED TO (OFFICER)
JMB
REF FILE No.

Miss Jacqui Berridge,
Administrative Officer,
South Holland District Council,
Priory Road,
Spalding.
PE11 2XQ

1st October 2001



Dear Jacqui,

Thank you for your recent letter informing me about the rejection of my sculpture application. I was obviously very downcast by the result and upset by the shortsightedness of the committee.

However, I do believe that I am partly to blame and I would like to be given the opportunity in the near future to make some corrections to my application. The main reason I think there was so much uproar both from The Tilney residents and some of the council members was to do with my original drawing. This shows a row of grotesques on poles, that I drew as an example for the planning department so that they could get an idea of the concept and perspective of the idea. The heads bear no relationship to what I thought would be the final item. Subsequently, D.A. Green and Sons have told me that the steel available for the heads will be only about 6" deep, so in fact the heads will be quite small and much different to my drawing.

I would like this to be pointed out to the full council (I hope to speak to Councillor Poll in the meantime) and that my application can go before the Planning Committee so that the real ideas can be put before the public, their views taken into consideration and any alterations can then be applied to everyone's satisfaction. Please remember this is not just an artwork but an historical piece for future generations that I would think will be unique in the country. The profiles will only be those of villagers who give their consent.

Just to broaden their horizons will you remind the Councillors that firms such as Lingarden have said how difficult it is to recruit managing staff, Mr. Michael Stewart also has written about how reluctant teachers are to come to the area, we have a shortage of lawyers, doctors and dentists, mainly because of a dearth of visual culture. Mr. Simpkins said to me several years ago that the Enterprise Park "could

be filled tomorrow if we could get the people to manage the companies".

Not only should the Council be leading this cultural enterprise it should be encouraging people like me all over the district by offering sites to local benefactors or setting up competitions for public art.

One last thing is that I'm giving a talk to Moulton Primary School about sculpture in the near future because Sculpture is now on the curriculum of all 7 to 11 year olds.

Please make it possible for me to amend my drawings so that this artwork can be erected for a lot of people's enjoyment.

Yours sincerely,

Stan.

S.W.HOYES.

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET – LIVE APPLICATION

Admin

Validation:

Inadequate/Inappropriate ☐

Clarification/Details required:

Appears valid ☑

Registered 1/9/6

Terminal date/committee updated .....

DC Procedural Assessment

Is the information given correct? Yes/No (If No, state above what details are needed)

Has this amendment been requested? Yes/No *
If Yes, is it what was asked for? Yes/No *

Who do you need to reconsult as a result of this amendment? PC None ☐
WM
Press
Residents
Other –

Is it an amendment in principle? Yes/No

Is it the same character/description for fee purposes? Yes/No (Refer to Admin ?) *

If No, but Yes to (*) requested :–

Do development objectives outweigh financial objectives? Yes/No Confirmed.....

REJECTED as an amendment – new application needed

Confirmed: .....

Notes/Advice/Rejection Reason:

☐ Tick if you wish this note to be a paragraph in the Rejection Notice

AUTHORISED to treat as amendment

Confirmed: .....

Superseded details removed ☐

Give a revised description if the details accepted are different to the submission:

Acceptance issued:
Public register updated:

DC Professional Assessment

NOTES:

PLANNING AMENDMENT

For office use only

Application No. H23/0490/01/41

Date Registered 18 JUN 2001

Case Officer DG

1. Please complete in block letters

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

MR & MRS S.W. HOTES,
THE COMPLEX, CAIRNGATE,
WHAPLODE.

Tel. No.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENT
(if form completed by agent)

—

Tel. No. (Contact)

Please complete in block letters

FULL POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE APPLICATION SITE

SOUTH OF THE TILNEY, WHAPLODE, SPALDING.

Please complete

APPLICATION No.

This amendment **cannot be considered** unless the relevant reference is stated

H23/0490/01.

Building Regulation reference relating to same development (if any)

2. Please tick **one** box only

A — Amendment is proposed where permission already granted. If yes, submit two forms and two plans. [ ]

or

B — Amendment is to a proposal currently being considered. If yes, submit four forms and four plans. [✓]

Please complete

I attach the necessary plans and request that the changes described below be accepted as an amendment. I understand that the Council may require a fresh application, together with fee if appropriate. If the amendment relates to a current application, I agree to an extension of the terminal date.

Signed ..... Date .....

3. Please complete in block letters

FULL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

ERECTION OF 4 NO. HIGH HERD HOUSE SCHEMES.

State details of amendments proposed

(Give a full detailed list of the changes, and also mark these clearly on the accompanying plans. Only the changes listed here will be considered.)

AMENDED DESIGN.

State drawing no's of the current submission

Has this amendment been requested by the Council? (If so, state Officer dealing with the application)

YES / NO

Describe any part of the original development which is excluded by virtue of the amendment, and state any alteration to plot numbers

Has a similar amendment been submitted under Building Regulations? Yes / No

The Complex,
Churchgate,
Whaplode,
Spalding, PE12 6TA
Tel: 01406 370408

Received

12-6-01

All


Dear Dave,

Yesterday I had a talk with David Green about the "Heads" sculpture, and there is now an alternative to the design due to a misunderstanding about the size of the steel. The heads will now in fact be half heads as illustrated, welded on to the post. This is due to the steel being only about 6" wide, and as I think the end it might make a more amenable sculpture for the public.

I hope my parking makes everything clear.


Yours sincerely,

Stan



NOT TO SCALE.

The Complex,
Churchgate,
Whaplode,
Spalding, PE12 6TA
Tel: 01406 370408



THE COLOUR IS INDICATIVE ONLY. DO YOU THINK IT IS SUITABLE OR SHOULD THEY BE OF A SINGLE COLOUR?

THE PENTS WOULD HAVE LABELS INDICATING NAME OF PERSON AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH THE VILLAGE.

SC[illegible]
HO[illegible]
25 APR 2001
Ref: [illegible]