DECISION DELEGATED TO HEAD OF PLANNING

Application No:	H23-1061-22	**Applicant:**	ARC Survey & Design Consultants Ltd
Proposal:	Erection of dwelling - approved under H23-1049-20. Amendments to include addition of air source heat pump, amendments to bathroom windows and driveway and removal of porch		
Location:	Back Bank Whaplode Drove Peterborough		
Terminal Date:	12th December 2022		

Planning Policies

South East Lincolnshire Local Plan - Adopted: March 2019

02	Development Management
03	Design of New Development
04	Approach to Flood Risk
36	Vehicle and Cycle Parking
APPENDIX 6	Parking Standards

National Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework 2021

Sections 2, 12 and 14

Representations:

	Object	Support	No Obj.	Comments

CASE OFFICER ASSESSMENT

Proposal

The proposal is for amendments to the original planning permission approved under H23-1049-20. Amendments are for the addition of air source heat pump, adjustments to the bathroom windows and driveway as well as the removal of the porch.

Site Description

The site is a triangular piece of land located on the eastern side of Back Bank. To the rear and front of the property is agricultural land. The site sits in a row of detached properties, comprised of a mixture of single and two storey dwellings. The site is within the boundaries of Whaplode Drove. The proposed dwelling is a three-bedroom bungalow, designed to be in keeping with the local

character.

History

H23-0695-18- Outline approval for a residential development (one dwelling) - Granted 30 August 2018.

H23-0938-19 - Outline approval for a residential development - one dwelling - Granted 12 November 2019.

H23-1049-20- Details of reserved matters regarding the development- Granted 14 January 2021.

Consultation Responses

N/A

Planning Considerations

Section 96A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (introduced by S190 of the Planning Act, 2008) makes it possible to apply for a non-material amendment to existing planning permissions.

South Holland District Council's "Development Control Procedure Note: Non-Material Amendments to Planning Permissions" indicates that non-material amendments will be approved subject to the following criteria being met:

1.There would be no alteration to the application site boundary (red edge).
2.The amendment would not conflict with Development Plan Policies or other Government Guidance.
3.The proposal would not exacerbate concerns raised by third parties at original planning application stage.
4.The proposed change would not be contrary to a condition on the original approval.
5.The approved footprint/siting of the building will not be moved materially and will not have a material impact on a neighbour.
6.The height of the building or extension would not be increased.
7.The amendment would not result in any potential overlooking of any neighbouring property.
8.The amendments must not result in a fundamental change in the design of the building.
9.The proposal does not amount to new works or elements not considered by any Environmental Statement submitted with the application.

The criteria set out above are designed to prevent amendments being accepted that would have a detrimental impact upon neighbours or amenity in the wider public interest.

Assessing the application against the above criteria:

1.No alterations are proposed to the site boundary;
2.The proposal would not conflict with policies in the South-East Lincolnshire Local Plan, 2019;
3.The proposal would not exacerbate concerns raised by third parties at original planning application stage;
4.The proposed change would not be contrary to a condition on the original approval;
5.The positioning of the building would not be materially altered;
6.There would be no height increase to the building;
7.No potential overlooking issues would arise;
8.The proposal would not result in a fundamental change to the design of the dwelling. The addition of the heat pump and the alterations to the windows and driveway would not result in any significant change to the appearance of the property. The removal of a small overhanging porch would have no impact on the character of the development
9.No Environmental Statement was submitted.

Conclusion

Overall, it is considered that the proposed amendments satisfactorily comply with the criteria of South Holland District Council's Development Control Procedure Note Non-Material Amendments to Planning Permission and, as such, are acceptable as a Non-Material Amendment.

Additional Considerations

Public Sector Equality Duty

In making this decision the Authority must have regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under s.149 of the Equalities Act. This means that the Council must have due regard to the need (in discharging its functions) to:
A. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act
B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. This may include removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; taking steps to meet the special needs of those with a protected characteristic; encouraging participation in public life (or other areas where they are underrepresented) of people with a protected characteristic(s).
C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

The PSED must be considered as a relevant factor in making this decision but does not impose a duty to achieve the outcomes in s.149. It is only one factor that needs to be considered, and may be balanced against other relevant factors.

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case will have a disproportionately adverse impact on a protected characteristic.

Human Rights

In making a decision, the Authority should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as South Holland District Council to act in a manner that is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. The Authority is referred specifically to Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property).

It is not considered that the recommendation in this case interferes with local residents' right to respect for their private and family life, home and correspondence, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general public interest and the recommendation is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.